

M E M O R A N D U M

**Minutes
Campus Planning Executive Committee
&
Land Use & Aesthetics Committee**

**May 21, 2009
1:00 p.m. to 2:00 p.m.
Kendall 103**

To: Herman Ellis (Chair), Drew Calandrella, Sarah Fry, Lori Hoffman, Kathy Kaiser, Linda Koch, James Kuiper, Stephanie Lingsch, Glennda Morse, James Newman, Sandy Parsons, Alan Rellaford, Arno Rethans, Paula Scholtes, Karla Zimmerlee, and Paul Zingg

cc: Teresa Arnold, Amy Boles, Kaari Martin, Mary Quiring, Rita Thomas, and Norma Young

Guests: Debra Smith (ANOVA Architects)

Ellis called the meeting to order at 1:04. Ellis thanked Morse for her many years of service to this committee, she will retiring next week. Morse noted that it had been a pleasure. Ellis had cake in honor of Morse's last day.

Kaiser introduced the new Chair for Academic Senate, Jennifer Meadows. Meadows will be attending CPEC going forward.

- 1) Information Items
 - (a) None

- 2) Discussion Items
 - (a) Housing Banners (Tentative)

Rellaford noted that the housing banners are ready to be installed. Banners with images were made for Lassen, Whitney and Shasta and banners with photos of Native American tribal members were made for Konkow, Esken and Mechoopda. It took some time with the negotiations with the tribes for getting the photos. Sings and Graphic Design will generate the banners; there are two poles that need to be installed. One is on Warner Street near the entrance to the stadium, with directions to the stadium and the housing units back by Nettelton. Then there will a new pole for banners at University Village. Two banners will be

affixed to the building at Shasta and Whitney. Two poles will be installed and the others already have poles in place. Sutter will have a banner when it is completed. Kaiser asked if the dining hall would be recognized. Ellis noted that it is within Sutter so it would be the same banner. Arno asked the timeline, Rellaford noted that the banners will be installed over the summer.

(b) University Center Demolition & Creek Restoration Project

Debra Smith from ANOVA was present to talk about some of the discussion items from the previous CPEC meeting that were incorporated into the revised design. The previous design had a central courtyard, a natural habit area, renewing payment behind and in front of Colusa. The updated design will keep the center area between Colusa and Selvesters more flexible and in order to open the path along the creek some of the elements were changed/

Some items discussed were, the greenscape trellis, canvas shades, paving with stamped concrete, and preamble pavers. Some items will be determined upon the return of the soils report. Previous some of the tables and chairs were shown with umbrellas instead of a trellis. The committee reviewed some of the different elements. The paving will be taken to Kendall to supply a nice ending. There is a trellis with greenscape, there was a decision to remove trees to allow more flexibility in the central gathering area. The ADA ramp curves along Selvesters, and has a small stage area. A green trellis is along the Selvesters where the louvers are, this will give more green to area. There is a little stage area that could be used for events. The trellis will allow filtered light. The canvas shades can be removed. The dumpsters are by the RCE building and there is a screen area back by the loading ramp for Selvesters. There is a seat wall along creek, close to Selvesters, with already has nice natural tree shading. Closer to the bridge, there is another shade trellis with a seating wall. This will be a nice garden area, restoring the natural area, leaving natural. This will also have the new paving pattern. Behind RCE will be a path for deliver vehicles. There will be small trees along the edges of Selvesters and Colusa, giving it greenery and giving it arms in which to capsule the area.

Most problems have been asked and resolved. Kaiser asked about the tree between Selvester and UC, Smith noted that it is towards the end of its life, this tree will be removed. The trees in the first renderings are removed and shade will be given by the green trellises. Kaiser noted that there is a lot of slope. Smith

noted that we will get away from the building to handle the slope more gradually. Kaiser asked about the LEED points. Smith noted that there is no LEED for this project, but in a quick analysis many of the items will be addressed. The LEED evaluation system is for buildings not sites. On paper this doesn't pan out well. The maximum points would 16 with would not even qualify for LEED certification. There is the potential to help the Colusa project if the projects were combines.

Rellaford asked about the slope at the Selvester loading dock. Smith noted that there will be some leveling along the northwest side; have to be careful to not be over the top of the creek bank. Smith noted that the doors are at the level at which the level should be. Hoffman asked if this was a civil engineering question or a design issue. Hoffman noted that the correct engineering will be done. Rellaford noted that this is an engineering question.

Kaiser asked if the seat wall would have backs. Smith noted that the bench near the bridge will have a back, but the one closer to Selvesters will not have backs, so you can set on each side of the seat. There will be 50=60 seating in the center area, but up to 200 people can be seated in this area. Kaiser noted that skateboard issues have been taken into consideration. Smith noted that this is being taken into consideration.

Rethans asked if the learning spaces would have electrical outlets. Hoffman noted that there will be some, more towards an event setting. It would be designed for wireless internet access and then the amount of electrical outlets could become a hazard when the cords are streamed across the yard. Rethans noted that since this is a professional center then maybe we would need to have access to power. Smith noted that this comes back to accessibility and hazards.

Rethans asked about the shade cloths, how are they affixed. They will anchor to the trellis and/or poles. There are two free standing poles and one point off of the building. They will be put up and removed by FMS. Smith noted that they are canvas and come in a variety of colors. They will be at an angle so they should roll off, and should be power washed periodically. Koch asked about the life expectancy. Smith noted that the darker colors will fade in about 10 years, lighter will be closer to 20.

Smith noted that umbrellas were considered up there are the set-up and storage issues to be addressed. Hoffman noted that the committee noted that the umbrellas may hinder the view if

you had a speaker. It was also noted that canvas umbrellas are a maintenance issue.

Rethans asked about the flexibility of the area are there any areas where this furniture is stored. Hoffman noted that movers could use the tables from the Warren's center.

Kaiser thought it wouldn't be unreasonable to have power outlets in the wall systems. Most things can be considered a risk. The committee could look at to see if there are some safe places where power could be added.

Ellis asked if there weren't any additional questions. Morse noted that Debra has done a great job of incorporating the comments from the group. Morse noted that this is schematic, then we go to DD and then CD. Facilities Planning is working with on environmental study of the area. Would like to have the CD phase done within the next 60 days. Hopefully start construction soon after UC comes done.

UC is coming down in a different CEQA process.

Rethans asked if this design is accepted. Ellis noted that this is a discussion item and Morse added that the final plan will be run by the committee.

Plans will be sent out electronically.

3) Introduction Items

(a) CSRV Antenna

Claverie noted that CSRV will like to put an antenna, wireless building out is about 99.9% complete the remaining the outdoor coverage. This would be an extra antenna. Free Speech is not Trinity Commons, and the area to the north and south of the library. The roof of the library is straight. Propose to put a sled on the roof, it comes off the parapet of the library, with a somewhat small unit that would hang over the side of the roof. The unit is about 8" square. The roof is not penetrated. They can be easily moved, without impact. FMS knows of project, there will be a conduit across the roof. Kaiser asked if the coverage is as good as the traditional antenna. If these work well they may be used in other areas. Whitehouse noted that when the item is up higher there is less interference and more coverage, this makes it more flexibility. Kaiser asked if it interferes with nesting birds. Whitehouse said not to his knowledge. Many areas have been looked at but there are things that interfere, such as trees. The three identified were the best areas. Other buildings would have to be analyzed as they come up.

Ellis noted that it seems that everyone likes these new
Kaiser moved and Scholtz second moving this item to an action
item.

Move to action by the Committee. Ellis asked if someone wanted
to approve, Kaiser moved, seconded by Scholtz that three places
on campus with further study for others. All approved.

- 4) Action Items
 - (a) None
- 5) Other
 - (a) None