

M E M O R A N D U M

**Agenda for
Campus Planning Executive Committee
&
Land Use & Aesthetics Committee**

**August 13, 2009
1pm
Kendall 103**

Present:

Herman Ellis (Chair),, Rick Deaver, Sarah Fry, Lori Hoffman, Linda Koch, James Kuiper, Jennifer Meadows, Sharon Millman, Alan Rellaford, Paula Scholtes, Karla Zimmerlee,

Guest: Elena McReynolds

cc: Teresa Arnold, Tamra Donnelly, Kaari Martin, Mary Quiring, Rita Thomas, and Norma Young

- 1) Information Items
 - (a) None

- 2) Discussion Items
 - (a) None

- 3) Introduction Items
 - (a) Willie Simmons - Acker Bench
Students and friends want to put a bench in front of Acker Gym under the tree in the grass. Hoffman asked if this location was on the donor bench map. Deaver said it is not on the approved site. Deaver noted that there are not any located in this area. Hoffman noted that we should look at the locations on the map. There is a draft of the plaque, trying to reduce the text. Deaver will send the bench map to be distributed to the committee. Mike Strand and Don Carlson are the leaders in this donor item. Ellis said that once the map take it to Bob Alber to show the approved locations to see if any of these can work as a new site location and then bring back to CPEC. Deaver noted that this was due to be installed October 10th.

Koch noted that VP Ellison said that the bench map was full, Hoffman noted that the plan is to replace old benches with new donor benches. Hoffman noted that donors should only be given the option of the bench map, to eliminate problems with sprinklers and or underground utilities.

4) Action Items

(a) University Center Landscape Project

Ellis introduced the revised UCLP. Millman noted that this is what was presented last week in written and visual form. The revisions are more functional for multiple uses and flexibility. The focal point was not supported about design, the existing entry still there. On the education garden design there was little campus input and it was not clear what the educational purpose was. The campus is backing away from this approach. There was a lot of paving and non-direct path. Reduce the three paths to one direct path. Parking was moved to west side of Colusa allowing more room for garden. Parking would be consolidated on the west side as part of the Colusa remodel, but not their budget. The garbage would move take to dock of Selvester's. This would expand the garden area. There was miscommunication of construction budget, so the scope was reduced and design was simplified. The project could be upgraded if money was available. The scope had crept so it was pulled back, the walking path between Colusa and Kendall has been removed and the money put into the courtyard.

Schedule: there was an expectation to get this project done as soon as possible. Project phased so easy parts could go forward this will us more options.

Risk Management: the pervious pavement would requirement of three feet excavation this may present a problem in this sensitive area.

Solution, three section; parking, dock, courtyard. Scope flexibility keep at conception level until we know if we can afford it. Affordability. Phase construction, easy and critical items. Simplify, square design is less to construct more harmounious with historical area. With two zones, the Colusa and Selvester zones. These areas can be two separate or one combines. Primary access from Kendall to creek in both directions. This will also connect Selvester's to Colusa. Sense of enclosure with trellis but still able to see through. Open for wide range of table/chair configurations. Tents could be used for shade when events are planned. Some built in perimeter seating.

Garden with interruptive signage. The creekside bench is a good idea and should be inviting. Dock is enclosed; the garbage will be in this area. The noise from the compressors will be reviewed by the architect for possible remediation.

Courtyard of some length. Connect space together. Focal point in Kendall planter area, even though it is the back of a building it is still a nice building that could be enhanced with an art piece.

Experiment with light weight smaller furniture to see what might work in this area. Try unattached furniture to see if it gets moved or stolen. Study how courtyard is used and then committee to permanent furniture.

Kuiper said this makes a lot of sense. Who would use the educational process? How does this connect to the program process? Millman noted that she wanted to connect with the right people to talk about this process; ANOVA hadn't approached anyone within the college departments. Meadows said Houppis would be a good contact.

Kuiper noted that we have two phenomenal artists Mike Bishop or Sherry xx to design the connection between Kendall and bench area. Hoffman noted that we can put in hardscapes and then add the focal points.

Cont Ed is thrilled with new direction-Elena. Asked if there would be power in the area. Millman said yes. This will also have wireless ability. Power for event support will be available. McReynolds asked about safety lighting of paths. Millman this is a normal element that will come with construction.

There will still be an emergency blue light and safety lighting in the area.

Meadows noted that people may cut through the grass for a more direct path to the bridge. This will be reviewed.

Millman asked if the committee approved this approach. Hoffman said that the committee doesn't really approve the project. Hoffman asked that the committee not approve. Approving a change of direction. Does the committee recommend this

Approve the change of direction as presented to the committee., Meadows and Kuiper all agree

5) Other

(a) None

Rellaford noted that the missing banners are being replaced and poles cleaned and tuned-up.