Faculty and Student Policies Committee Agenda

TO: Faculty and Student Policies Committee
FROM: Deb McCabe, Chair
DATE: September 7, 2013
SUBJECT: FASP AGENDA – September 12, 2013, 2:30 p.m., Kendall 209

1. Approve minutes of April 18, 2013 meeting
2. Approve today’s agenda
3. Announcements: Introduction of members.
   a. FASP representative needed for Campus Equity Council
4. Election of Vice-Chair and Secretary
5. Overview – FASP role and operation
   a. Review and approval of FASP guidelines
6. Items for Discussion
   a. **FPPP 8.2 & 8.3 on evaluation of Temporary faculty.** Carryover from last year. 
      Issue: Why are there two sections on evaluation of Temporary faculty, one about all of them (8.2) and the other about only “full-time” ones (8.3)? Should this difference be eliminated (because it’s apparently not in the CBA) or clarified?
   b. **FPPP 8.5 on life cycle of department RTP documents.** Carryover from last year. Issue: Should the FPPP and department personnel documents used in RTP processes be the ones in effect at time of hire or at time of review? Department practices differ. We discussed this last year, but some FASP members think the FPPP language is still not clear.
   c. **FPPP 8.1.b on SET Policy.** Carryover from last year. Issue: Should we revisit the question of how many classes are evaluated: two (our former practice) versus all (current CBA requirement)? The President rejected Senate’s proposal from last year, but has indicated that he is open to a resubmitted proposal based on broader consultation with affected parties (Deans, Chairs, and students).
   d. **2013-14 FPPP changes.** A document is distributed by Faculty Affairs each year that reflects FPPP changes passed by Senate in the prior year. Issue: Should FASP subcommittee members review this document to make sure it matches our memories/records of last year’s Senate actions?
e. **Lashzone.** Many of us received an email at the beginning of this semester advertising what appears to be academic-dishonesty-for-hire. **Issue:** Should we look into whether our campus policies adequately address this type of thing?

f. **Speech and Advocacy Policy.** Senate has worked on this policy in the past. We may be asked to participate in drafting a new campus policy, as the last proposal did not meet with CSU Council approval. This a time-sensitive matter.

g. **Title IX (Sexual Harassment & Assault).** Federal law establishes fairly strict standards for how recipients of federal aid handle these issues. The Chancellor’s Office has centralized the CSU’s approach, but each campus must still ensure compliance and handle implementation. We may be asked to participate in this work at some level. This a time-sensitive matter.

h. **IRA Policy.** Status update on the “Instructionally Related Activities” policy relating to alcohol consumption.

i. **Intellectual Property Policy.** Status update on the campus Intellectual Property Policy.

7. Other

8. Adjourn

Attachments:  Minutes, April 18, 2013 FASP Meeting  FASP guidelines  Senate Meeting Schedule  Senate roster

Links to:  Academic Senate  Current Executive Memoranda  The FPPP  The CBA  The Constitution of the Faculty  Student Judicial Affairs, Campus Policies