

Academic Integrity Survey - Sp 2004
Chico's Undergraduate Responses
Comparison with National Undergraduate Norms

Academic Environment

Q1. Faculty understanding of policies - r7

UG STUDENTS	Population		Observed	Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion								
Very low	0.010		6	3.45	1.88478				
	0.060		9	20.70	6.61304				
Medium	0.250		50	86.25	15.23551				
	0.400		156	138.00	2.34783				
Very high	0.280		119	96.60	5.19420				
n/a	0.010		5	3.45	0.69638				
Total ==>	1.010		345	Chi square=	31.97174	5	11.07	15.09	20.52

Q1. Student support of policies - r8

UG STUDENTS	Population		Observed	Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion								
Very low	0.040		12	13.80	0.23478				
	0.130		59	44.85	4.46427				
Medium	0.390		165	134.55	6.89114				
	0.330		88	113.85	5.86932				
Very high	0.100		15	34.50	11.02174				
n/a	0.010		6	3.45	1.88478				
Total ==>	1.000		345	Chi square=	30.36603	5	11.07	15.09	20.52

Q1. Faculty support of policies - r9

UG STUDENTS	Population		Observed	Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion								
Very low	0.010		5	3.45	0.69638				
	0.060		11	20.70	4.54541				
Medium	0.260		68	89.70	5.24961				
	0.410		161	141.45	2.70203				
Very high	0.250		93	96.60	0.13416				
n/a	0.010		7	3.45	3.65290				
Total ==>	1.000		345	Chi square=	16.98049	5	11.07	15.09	20.52

Q2. Where informed and how much learned about policies: Website

UG STUDENTS	Population		Observed	Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion								
Learned little	0.330		211	113.85	82.89963				
Learned some	0.150		74	51.75	9.56643				
Learned a lot	0.050		21	17.25	0.81522				
No response	0.470		39	162.15	93.53020				
Total ==>	1.000		345	Chi square=	186.81147	3	7.82	11.35	16.27

Q2. Where informed and how much learned about policies: Student Handbook

UG STUDENTS	Population		Observed	Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion								
Learned little	0.240		105	82.80	5.95217				
Learned some	0.390		135	134.55	0.00151				
Learned a lot	0.210		68	72.45	0.27333				
No response	0.170		37	58.65	7.99186				
Total ==>	1.010		345	Chi square=	14.21886	3	7.82	11.35	16.27

Q2. Where informed and how much learned about policies: RA/fac adviser-resadv

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Learned little	0.360	105	124.20	2.96812	3	7.82	11.35	16.27
Learned some	0.270	135	93.15	18.80217				
Learned a lot	0.170	68	58.65	1.49058				
No response	0.200	37	69.00	14.84058				
Total ==>	1.000	345	Chi square=	38.10145				

Q2. Where informed and how much learned about policies: Faculty

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Learned little	0.040	6	13.80	4.40870	3	7.82	11.35	16.27
Learned some	0.270	87	93.15	0.40604				
Learned a lot	0.460	233	158.70	34.78570				
No response	0.230	19	79.35	45.89946				
Total ==>	1.000	345	Chi square=	85.49989				

Q2. Where informed and how much learned about policies: TA's

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Learned little	0.390	205	134.55	36.88742	3	7.82	11.35	16.27
Learned some	0.170	56	58.65	0.11974				
Learned a lot	0.060	29	20.70	3.32802				
No response	0.390	55	134.55	47.03235				
Total ==>	1.010	345	Chi square=	87.36752				

Q2. Where informed and how much learned about policies: Deans/Admins-deans

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Learned little	0.630	234	217.35	1.27547	3	7.82	11.35	16.27
Learned some	0.150	30	51.75	9.14130				
Learned a lot	0.060	22	20.70	0.08164				
No response	0.160	59	55.20	0.26159				
Total ==>	1.000	345	Chi square=	10.76001				

Q3. In past year, how often instructors discussed policies: Plagiarism - discplag

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Never	0.040	6	15.44	5.77161	4	9.49	13.28	18.47
Very seldom	0.140	39	54.04	4.18582				
Seldom	0.290	99	111.94	1.49583				
Often	0.350	119	135.10	1.91865				
Very often	0.190	123	73.34	33.62579				
Total ==>	1.010	386	Chi square=	46.99770				

Q3. In past year, how often instructors discussed policies: Group work - disccoll

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Never	0.100	30	37.40	1.46417	4	9.49	13.28	18.47
Very seldom	0.190	60	71.06	1.72141				
Seldom	0.290	91	108.46	2.81073				
Often	0.310	113	115.94	0.07455				
Very often	0.110	80	41.14	36.70636				
Total ==>	1.000	374	Chi square=	42.77722				

Q3. In past year, how often instructors discussed policies: Attribution - discattr

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Never	0.040	12	15.36	0.73500	4	9.49	13.28	18.47
Very seldom	0.120	35	46.08	2.66420				
Seldom	0.220	55	84.48	10.28729				
Often	0.370	112	142.08	6.36829				
Very often	0.260	170	99.84	49.30314				
Total ==>	1.010	384	Chi square=	69.35792				

Q3. In past year, how often instructors discussed policies: Internet - discnet

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Never	0.070	21	26.74	1.23215	4	9.49	13.28	18.47
Very seldom	0.160	43	61.12	5.37196				
Seldom	0.240	66	91.68	7.19309				
Often	0.320	120	122.24	0.04105				
Very often	0.210	132	80.22	33.42269				
Total ==>	1.000	382	Chi square=	47.26094				

Q3. In past year, how often instructors discussed policies: False research-discre

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Never	0.250	80	85.25	0.32331	4	9.49	13.28	18.47
Very seldom	0.200	65	68.20	0.15015				
Seldom	0.230	73	78.43	0.37594				
Often	0.210	66	71.61	0.43949				
Very often	0.110	57	37.51	10.12690				
Total ==>	1.000	341	Chi square=	11.41579				

Q4. How frequently occur on campus: Plagiarism written assignments - plagoth

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Never	0.020	0	6.82	6.82000	4	9.49	13.28	18.47
Very seldom	0.220	57	75.02	4.32845				
Seldom	0.450	154	153.45	0.00197				
Often	0.230	100	78.43	5.93223				
Very often	0.080	30	27.28	0.27120				
Total ==>	1.000	341	Chi square=	17.35386				

Q4. How frequently occur on campus: Inappropriate share grp assignments-colloth

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Never	0.020	2	6.78	3.36997	4	9.49	13.28	18.47
Very seldom	0.140	23	47.46	12.60623				
Seldom	0.340	112	115.26	0.09221				
Often	0.340	121	115.26	0.28585				
Very often	0.160	81	54.24	13.20239				
Total ==>	1.000	339	Chi square=	29.55665				

Q4. How frequently occur on campus: Cheating during test - testoth

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Never	0.060	9	20.52	6.46737	4	9.49	13.28	18.47
Very seldom	0.370	102	126.54	4.75906				
Seldom	0.350	143	119.70	4.53542				
Often	0.160	66	54.72	2.32526				

Very often	0.070	22	23.94	0.15721				
Total ==>	1.010	342	Chi square=	18.24432	4	9.49	13.28	18.47

Q5. How often seen another cheating during test - suspect

UG STUDENTS	Population	Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion							
Never	0.500	148	171.50	3.22012				
Once	0.130	43	44.59	0.05670				
A few times	0.240	110	82.32	9.30737				
Several times	0.080	26	27.44	0.07557				
Many times	0.050	16	17.15	0.07711				
Total ==>	1.000	343	Chi square=	12.73686	4	9.49	13.28	18.47

Specific Behaviors

Q1. How serious consider this form of cheating: Group work for indiv work - ser2

UG STUDENTS	Population	Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion							
Not cheating	0.230	48	72.45	8.25124				
Trivial cheating	0.440	143	138.60	0.13968				
Moderate cheating	0.260	97	81.90	2.78400				
Serious cheating	0.080	27	25.20	0.12857				
Total ==>	1.010	315	Chi square=	11.30350	3	7.82	11.35	16.27

Q1. How serious consider this form of cheating: Q/A from someone took test-ser5

UG STUDENTS	Population	Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion							
Not cheating	0.130	22	40.69	8.58481				
Trivial cheating	0.200	52	62.60	1.79489				
Moderate cheating	0.310	111	97.03	2.01135				
Serious cheating	0.360	128	112.68	2.08291				
Total ==>	1.000	313	Chi square=	14.47396	3	7.82	11.35	16.27

Q1. How serious consider this form of cheating: Copy computer program - ser6

UG STUDENTS	Population	Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion							
Not cheating	0.060	10	17.16	2.98751				
Trivial cheating	0.100	20	28.60	2.58601				
Moderate cheating	0.320	73	91.52	3.74771				
Serious cheating	0.520	183	148.72	7.90155				
Total ==>	1.000	286	Chi square=	17.22278	3	7.82	11.35	16.27

Q1. How serious consider this form of cheating: Helping someone cheat - ser7

UG STUDENTS	Population	Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion							
Not cheating	0.060	9	18.24	4.68079				
Trivial cheating	0.070	7	21.28	9.58263				
Moderate cheating	0.200	62	60.80	0.02368				
Serious cheating	0.670	226	203.68	2.44591				
Total ==>	1.000	304	Chi square=	16.73301	3	7.82	11.35	16.27

Q1. How serious consider this form of cheating: False lab data - ser8

UG STUDENTS	Population	Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion							
Not cheating	0.090	15	25.56	4.36282				
Trivial cheating	0.260	56	73.84	4.31021				
Moderate cheating	0.360	113	102.24	1.13241				

Serious cheating	0.300	100	85.20	2.57089				
Total ==>	1.010	284	Chi square=	12.37632	3	7.82	11.35	16.27

Q1. How serious consider this form of cheating: Help on assignment - ser3

UG STUDENTS	Population	Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion							
Not cheating	0.180	31	54.18	9.91717				
Trivial cheating	0.350	116	105.35	1.07663				
Moderate cheating	0.330	113	99.33	1.88129				
Serious cheating	0.140	41	42.14	0.03084				
Total ==>	1.000	301	Chi square=	12.90593	3	7.82	11.35	16.27

Q1. How serious consider this form of cheating: Copy w/o footnote - ser12

UG STUDENTS	Population	Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion							
Not cheating	0.100	19	30.80	4.52078				
Trivial cheating	0.330	85	101.64	2.72422				
Moderate cheating	0.370	140	113.96	5.95017				
Serious cheating	0.200	64	61.60	0.09351				
Total ==>	1.000	308	Chi square=	13.28868	3	7.82	11.35	16.27

Q1. How serious consider this form of cheating: Turning in paper mill - ser13

UG STUDENTS	Population	Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion							
Not cheating	0.050	7	15.00	4.26667				
Trivial cheating	0.040	8	12.00	1.33333				
Moderate cheating	0.180	34	54.00	7.40741				
Serious cheating	0.730	251	219.00	4.67580				
Total ==>	1.000	300	Chi square=	17.68321	3	7.82	11.35	16.27

Q1. How serious consider this form of cheating: Copying material as own - ser16

UG STUDENTS	Population	Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion							
Not cheating	0.050	8	15.00	3.26667				
Trivial cheating	0.040	5	12.00	4.08333				
Moderate cheating	0.150	26	45.00	8.02222				
Serious cheating	0.760	261	228.00	4.77632				
Total ==>	1.000	300	Chi square=	20.14854	3	7.82	11.35	16.27

Q2. How likely student would report violations - L2

UG STUDENTS	Population	Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion							
Very unlikely	0.250	96	85.50	1.28947				
Unlikely	0.570	207	194.94	0.74609				
Likely	0.170	36	58.14	8.43102				
Very likely	0.020	3	6.84	2.15579				
Total ==>	1.010	342	Chi square=	12.62238	3	7.82	11.35	16.27

Q3. How strongly agree: Cheating serious problem - agree3

UG STUDENTS	Population	Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion							
Disagree strongly	0.090	18	31.05	5.48478				
	0.320	83	110.40	6.80036				
	0.440	199	151.80	14.67615				
	0.120	34	41.40	1.32271				
Agree strongly	0.040	11	13.80	0.56812				
Total ==>	1.010	345	Chi square=	28.85212	4	9.49	13.28	18.47

Q3. How strongly agree: Review process for acad dishonest is fair - agree4

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Disagree strongly	0.030	8	10.35	0.53357	4	9.49	13.28	18.47
	0.080	16	27.60	4.87536				
	0.590	196	203.55	0.28004				
	0.250	114	86.25	8.92826				
Agree strongly	0.040	11	13.80	0.56812				
Total ==>	0.990	345	Chi square=	15.18536				

Q3. How strongly agree: Faculty change exams regularly - assess1

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Disagree strongly	0.040	21	13.68	3.91684	4	9.49	13.28	18.47
	0.140	58	47.88	2.13898				
	0.260	57	88.92	11.45846				
	0.430	157	147.06	0.67186				
Agree strongly	0.140	49	47.88	0.02620				
Total ==>	1.010	342	Chi square=	18.21234				

Q3. How strongly agree: Amount of work is reasonable - access2

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Disagree strongly	0.040	5	13.76	5.57686	4	9.49	13.28	18.47
	0.140	30	48.16	6.84771				
	0.100	16	34.40	9.84186				
	0.600	217	206.40	0.54438				
Agree strongly	0.130	76	44.72	21.87921				
Total ==>	1.010	344	Chi square=	44.69002				

Q3. How strongly agree: Difficulty of exams is appropriate - assess3

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Disagree strongly	0.040	5	13.56	5.40366	4	9.49	13.28	18.47
	0.130	41	44.07	0.21386				
	0.100	19	33.90	6.54897				
	0.610	203	206.79	0.06946				
Agree strongly	0.120	71	40.68	22.59839				
Total ==>	1.000	339	Chi square=	34.83434				