



**National Survey
of Student Engagement**

California State University-Chico

Benchmark Comparisons

August 2006

To focus discussions about the importance of student engagement and guide institutional improvement efforts, NSSE created five clusters or "benchmarks" of effective educational practice: (1) Level of academic challenge, (2) Active and collaborative learning, (3) Student-faculty interaction, (4) Enriching educational experiences, and (5) Supportive campus environment. This Benchmark Comparisons Report compares the performance of your institution with your selected peers or consortium, selected Carnegie peers, and all 2006 NSSE institutions.¹ In addition, page 8 provides two other comparisons between your school and above-average U.S. institutions with benchmarks in the top 50% of all U.S. NSSE institutions and high-performing U.S. institutions with benchmarks in the top 10% of all U.S. NSSE institutions. These displays allow you to determine if the engagement of your typical student differs in a statistically significant, meaningful way from the average student in these comparison groups. More detailed information about how benchmarks are created can be found on the NSSE Web site at www.nsse.iub.edu/html/2006_inst_report.htm.

Class and Sample
Means are reported for first-year students and seniors (institution reported). All randomly selected students are included in these analyses. Students in targeted or locally administered oversamples are not included.

Mean
The mean is the *weighted* arithmetic average of student level benchmark scores. Although institutional benchmark score calculations have not changed from prior years, reference group calculations were revised in 2005.

Benchmark Description & Survey Items
A description of the benchmark and the individual items used in its creation are summarized.

Statistical Significance

Benchmarks with mean differences that are larger than would be expected by chance alone are noted with one, two, or three asterisks, denoting one of three significance levels ($p < .05$, $p < .01$, and $p < .001$). The smaller the significance level, the smaller the likelihood that the difference is due to chance. Please note that statistical significance does not guarantee that the result is substantive or important. Large sample sizes (as with the NSSE project) tend to produce more statistically significant results even though the magnitude of mean differences may be inconsequential.


Effect Size

Effect size indicates the *practical significance* of the mean difference. It is calculated by dividing the mean difference by the standard deviation of the group to which the institution is being compared (selected peers, Carnegie peers, or all NSSE 2006 schools). In practice, an effect size of .2 is often considered small, .5 moderate, and .8 large. A positive sign indicates that your institution's mean was greater, thus showing an affirmative result for the institution. A negative sign indicates the institution lags behind the comparison group. Look for patterns of effect sizes that point to areas of student or institutional performance that warrant attention.

Level of Academic Challenge (LAC)

Benchmark Mean Comparisons

Class	NSSEville State				NSSEville State compared with:					
	Mean *	Sig *	Effect Size *		Mean *	Sig *	Effect Size *	Mean *	Sig *	Effect Size *
First-Year	51.9				51.6			52.6		
Seniors	54.3				56.0			56.5		



Level of Academic Challenge (LAC) Items

Challenging intellectual and creative work is central to student learning and collegiate quality. Colleges and universities promote high levels of student achievement by emphasizing the importance of academic effort and setting high expectations for student performance.

- Preparing for class (studying, reading, writing, rehearsing, etc. related to academic program)
- Number of assigned textbooks, books, or book-length packs of course readings
- Number of written papers or reports of 20 pages or more; number of written papers or reports of between 5 and 19 pages; and number of written papers or reports of fewer than 5 pages
- Coursework emphasizing analysis of the basic elements of an idea, experience or theory
- Coursework emphasizing synthesis and organizing of ideas, information, or experiences into new, more complex interpretations and relationships
- Coursework emphasizing the making of judgments about the value of information, arguments, or methods
- Coursework emphasizing application of theories or concepts to practical problems or in new situations
- Working harder than you thought you could to meet an instructor's standards or expectations
- Campus environment emphasizing time studying and on academic work

Bar Charts

A visual display of first-year and senior mean benchmark scores for your institution and three reference groups.

¹ U.S. institution reports include U.S. schools only. Canadian institution reports include U.S. and Canadian institutions.

Level of Academic Challenge (LAC)


Benchmark Comparisons

CSU Chico compared with:

Class	CSU Chico Mean ^a	Selected Peers			Carnegie Peers			NSSE 2006		
		Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c
First-Year	51.0	49.5	***	.11	50.5			51.8		
Senior	55.0	54.7			55.3			55.8		

First-Year

Senior



Level of Academic Challenge (LAC) Items

Challenging intellectual and creative work is central to student learning and collegiate quality. Colleges and universities promote high levels of student achievement by emphasizing the importance of academic effort and setting high expectations for student performance.

- Preparing for class (studying, reading, writing, rehearsing, etc. related to academic program)
- Number of assigned textbooks, books, or book-length packs of course readings
- Number of written papers or reports of 20 pages or more; number of written papers or reports of between 5 and 19 pages; and number of written papers or reports of fewer than 5 pages
- Coursework emphasizing analysis of the basic elements of an idea, experience or theory
- Coursework emphasizing synthesis and organizing of ideas, information, or experiences into new, more complex interpretations and relationships
- Coursework emphasizing the making of judgments about the value of information, arguments, or methods
- Coursework emphasizing application of theories or concepts to practical problems or in new situations
- Working harder than you thought you could to meet an instructor's standards or expectations
- Campus environment emphasizing time studying and on academic work

^a Weighted by gender, enrollment status, and institutional size.

^b * p<.05 ** p<.01 ***p<.001 (2-tailed).


^c Mean difference divided by comparison group standard deviation.

Active and Collaborative Learning (ACL)

Benchmark Comparisons

CSU Chico compared with:

Class	CSU Chico Mean ^a	Selected Peers			Carnegie Peers			NSSE 2006		
		Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c
First-Year	41.8	40.2	**	.10	40.9			41.3		
Senior	52.6	50.4	**	.13	50.8	*	.11	50.4	**	.13



Active and Collaborative Learning (ACL) Items

Students learn more when they are intensely involved in their education and asked to think about what they are learning in different settings. Collaborating with others in solving problems or mastering difficult material prepares students for the messy, unscripted problems they will encounter daily during and after college.

- Asked questions in class or contributed to class discussions
- Made a class presentation
- Worked with other students on projects during class
- Worked with classmates outside of class to prepare class assignments
- Tutored or taught other students
- Participated in a community-based project as part of a regular course
- Discussed ideas from your readings or classes with others outside of class (students, family members, co-workers, etc.)

^a Weighted by gender, enrollment status, and institutional size.

^b * p<.05 ** p<.01 ***p<.001 (2-tailed).


^c Mean difference divided by comparison group standard deviation.

Student-Faculty Interaction (SFI)

Benchmark Comparisons

CSU Chico compared with:

Class	CSU Chico Mean ^a	Selected Peers			Carnegie Peers			NSSE 2006		
		Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c
First-Year	28.9	31.0	***	-.12	31.8	***	-.16	32.1	***	-.18
Senior	41.2	39.7			40.4			41.3		



Student-Faculty Interaction (SFI) Items

Students learn firsthand how experts think about and solve practical problems by interacting with faculty members inside and outside the classroom. As a result, their teachers become role models, mentors, and guides for continuous, life-long learning.

- Discussed grades or assignments with an instructor
- Talked about career plans with a faculty member or advisor
- Discussed ideas from your readings or classes with faculty members outside of class
- Worked with faculty members on activities other than coursework (committees, orientation, student-life activities, etc.)
- Received prompt written or oral feedback from faculty on your academic performance
- Worked with a faculty member on a research project outside of course or program requirements

^a Weighted by gender, enrollment status, and institutional size.

^b * p<.05 ** p<.01 ***p<.001 (2-tailed).

^c Mean difference divided by comparison group standard deviation.

Enriching Educational Experiences (EEE)


Benchmark Comparisons

CSU Chico compared with:

Class	CSU Chico Mean ^a	Selected Peers			Carnegie Peers			NSSE 2006		
		Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c
First-Year	25.9	25.0	*	.07	25.8			26.7		
Senior	40.9	36.3	***	.27	37.4	***	.20	39.9		

First-Year

Senior



Enriching Educational Experiences (EEE) Items

Complementary learning opportunities enhance academic programs. Diversity experiences teach students valuable things about themselves and others. Technology facilitates collaboration between peers and instructors. Internships, community service, and senior capstone courses provide opportunities to integrate and apply knowledge.

- Participating in co-curricular activities (organizations, publications, student government, sports, etc.)
- Practicum, internship, field experience, co-op experience, or clinical assignment
- Community service or volunteer work
- Foreign language coursework & study abroad
- Independent study or self-designed major
- Culminating senior experience (capstone course, senior project or thesis, comprehensive exam, etc.)
- Serious conversations with students of different religious beliefs, political opinions, or personal values
- Serious conversations with students of a different race or ethnicity
- Using electronic technology to discuss or complete an assignment
- Campus environment encouraging contact among students from different economic, social, and racial or ethnic backgrounds
- Participate in a learning community or some other formal program where groups of students take two or more classes together

^a Weighted by gender, enrollment status, and institutional size.

^b * p<.05 ** p<.01 ***p<.001 (2-tailed).


^c Mean difference divided by comparison group standard deviation.

Supportive Campus Environment (SCE)

Benchmark Comparisons

CSU Chico compared with:

Class	CSU Chico Mean ^a	Selected Peers			Carnegie Peers			NSSE 2006		
		Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect Size ^c
First-Year	57.8	57.3			58.7			59.1	*	-.07
Senior	58.9	55.2	***	.20	56.6	**	.12	56.6	**	.12



Supportive Campus Environment (SCE) Items

Students perform better and are more satisfied at colleges that are committed to their success and cultivate positive working and social relations among different groups on campus.

- Campus environment provides the support you need to help you succeed academically
- Campus environment helps you cope with your non-academic responsibilities (work, family, etc.)
- Campus environment provides the support you need to thrive socially
- Quality of relationships with other students
- Quality of relationships with faculty members
- Quality of relationships with administrative personnel and offices


^a Weighted by gender, enrollment status, and institutional size.

^b * p<.05 ** p<.01 ***p<.001 (2-tailed).


^c Mean difference divided by comparison group standard deviation.

CSU Chico compared with


	CSU Chico	NSSE 2006 Top 50%			NSSE 2006 Top 10%		
		Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect size ^c	Mean ^a	Sig ^b	Effect size ^c
First-Year	LAC	51.0	***	-.37	60.5	***	-.78
	ACL	41.8	***	-.25	50.7	***	-.55
	SFI	28.9	***	-.45	42.0	***	-.67
	EEE	25.9	***	-.31	34.4	***	-.66
	SCE	57.8	***	-.39	69.7	***	-.67
Senior	LAC	55.0	***	-.32	64.1	***	-.73
	ACL	52.6	**	-.12	58.6	***	-.36
	SFI	41.2	***	-.33	56.9	***	-.72
	EEE	40.9	***	-.33	57.9	***	-1.06
	SCE	58.9	***	-.21	67.7	***	-.48



Active and Collaborative Learning (ACL)



Student-Faculty Interaction (SFI)




Legend


- CSU Chico
- Top 50%
- Top 10%

This display compares your students with those attending schools that scored in the top 50% and top 10% of all NSSE 2006 U.S. institutions on the benchmark.

Enriching Educational Experiences (EEE)



Supportive Campus Environment (SCE)



^a Weighted by gender, enrollment status, and institutional size.

^b * p<.05 ** p<.01 ***p<.001 (2-tailed).

^c Mean difference divided by comparison group standard deviation.

First-Year Students

	N	Mean Statistics			Distribution Statistics					Reference Group Comparison Statistics			
		Mean	SD	SE	5	Percentiles			95	Mean Diff.	SE	Sig.	Effect size
LEVEL OF ACADEMIC CHALLENGE (LAC)													
CSU Chico	751	51.0	12.3	.5	33	42	51	60	71				
Selected Peers	26,733	49.5	13.5	.1	28	40	49	59	72	1.5	.5	.001	.11
Carnegie Peers	36,167	50.5	13.5	.1	29	41	50	60	73	.5	.5	.308	.03
NSSE 2006	119,979	51.8	13.4	.0	30	43	52	61	74	-.8	.5	.088	-.06
Top 50%	38,554	55.8	12.9	.1	34	47	56	65	77	-4.8	.5	.000	-.37
Top 10%	5,824	60.5	12.2	.2	40	52	60	69	80	-9.5	.5	.000	-.78
ACTIVE AND COLLABORATIVE LEARNING (ACL)													
CSU Chico	783	41.8	14.8	.5	19	33	43	52	67				
Selected Peers	29,297	40.2	16.1	.1	17	29	38	48	67	1.6	.5	.002	.10
Carnegie Peers	39,375	40.9	16.1	.1	19	29	38	52	71	.9	.5	.097	.06
NSSE 2006	130,142	41.3	16.0	.0	19	29	38	52	71	.5	.5	.348	.03
Top 50%	38,001	45.8	15.9	.1	24	33	43	57	75	-3.9	.5	.000	-.25
Top 10%	5,004	50.7	16.0	.2	29	38	48	62	81	-8.8	.6	.000	-.55
STUDENT-FACULTY INTERACTION (SFI)													
CSU Chico	753	28.9	15.2	.6	6	17	28	39	56				
Selected Peers	27,093	31.0	17.5	.1	6	17	28	39	67	-2.0	.6	.000	-.12
Carnegie Peers	36,611	31.8	17.5	.1	11	17	28	39	67	-2.9	.6	.000	-.16
NSSE 2006	121,275	32.1	17.7	.1	11	20	28	44	67	-3.2	.6	.000	-.18
Top 50%	27,964	37.1	18.3	.1	11	22	33	50	72	-8.2	.6	.000	-.45
Top 10%	3,887	42.0	19.4	.3	17	28	39	56	78	-13.1	.6	.000	-.67
ENRICHING EDUCATIONAL EXPERIENCES (EEE)													
CSU Chico	746	25.9	11.7	.4	8	17	25	33	47				
Selected Peers	26,103	25.0	12.8	.1	8	16	23	33	47	.9	.4	.038	.07
Carnegie Peers	35,334	25.8	12.9	.1	8	17	25	33	48	.2	.4	.727	.01
NSSE 2006	117,301	26.7	13.0	.0	8	17	25	35	50	-.8	.4	.061	-.06
Top 50%	48,100	30.0	13.1	.1	11	21	29	38	52	-4.1	.4	.000	-.31
Top 10%	6,485	34.4	12.9	.2	14	25	34	43	56	-8.4	.5	.000	-.66
SUPPORTIVE CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT (SCE)													
CSU Chico	738	57.8	17.4	.6	28	47	58	69	86				
Selected Peers	25,691	57.3	18.7	.1	25	44	58	69	89	.5	.7	.434	.03
Carnegie Peers	34,727	58.7	18.8	.1	28	47	58	72	92	-.9	.6	.163	-.05
NSSE 2006	115,416	59.1	18.5	.1	28	47	58	72	89	-1.3	.6	.040	-.07
Top 50%	33,535	64.7	18.0	.1	33	53	64	78	94	-7.0	.7	.000	-.39
Top 10%	5,852	69.7	17.7	.2	39	58	69	83	97	-11.9	.7	.000	-.67

^a All statistics weighted by gender, enrollment status, and institutional size. The N is weighted to show the correct degrees of freedom for the statistical tests.

Seniors

	Mean Statistics				Distribution Statistics					Reference Group Comparison Statistics			
	N	Mean	SD	SE	5	Percentiles			95	Mean Diff.	SE	Sig.	Effect size
LEVEL OF ACADEMIC CHALLENGE (LAC)													
CSU Chico	470	55.0	14.2	.7	32	46	55	65	78				
Selected Peers	28,595	54.7	14.3	.1	31	45	55	65	78	.3	.7	.629	.02
Carnegie Peers	37,831	55.3	14.3	.1	32	46	55	65	79	-.4	.7	.578	-.03
NSSE 2006	122,463	55.8	14.2	.0	32	46	56	66	79	-.8	.7	.208	-.06
Top 50%	35,715	59.3	13.7	.1	36	50	60	69	81	-4.3	.6	.000	-.32
Top 10%	4,245	64.1	12.6	.2	43	56	65	73	83	-9.1	.7	.000	-.73
ACTIVE AND COLLABORATIVE LEARNING (ACL)													
CSU Chico	481	52.6	16.4	.7	24	43	52	62	81				
Selected Peers	29,795	50.4	17.1	.1	24	38	48	62	81	2.3	.8	.004	.13
Carnegie Peers	39,373	50.8	17.1	.1	24	38	48	62	81	1.8	.8	.018	.11
NSSE 2006	127,971	50.4	17.0	.0	24	38	48	62	81	2.3	.8	.004	.13
Top 50%	35,722	54.7	16.8	.1	29	43	52	67	83	-2.0	.8	.008	-.12
Top 10%	4,958	58.6	16.7	.2	33	48	57	71	86	-6.0	.8	.000	-.36
STUDENT-FACULTY INTERACTION (SFI)													
CSU Chico	474	41.2	20.5	.9	11	28	39	56	78				
Selected Peers	28,805	39.7	20.4	.1	11	22	39	50	78	1.5	.9	.115	.07
Carnegie Peers	38,109	40.4	20.5	.1	11	28	39	56	78	.7	.9	.436	.04
NSSE 2006	123,401	41.3	20.8	.1	11	28	39	56	83	-.2	1.0	.869	-.01
Top 50%	28,536	48.2	21.3	.1	17	33	44	61	89	-7.0	1.0	.000	-.33
Top 10%	2,821	56.9	21.7	.4	22	39	56	72	94	-15.8	1.0	.000	-.72
ENRICHING EDUCATIONAL EXPERIENCES (EEE)													
CSU Chico	461	40.9	15.7	.7	15	30	41	53	65				
Selected Peers	28,125	36.3	17.2	.1	11	23	35	47	67	4.6	.7	.000	.27
Carnegie Peers	37,232	37.4	17.7	.1	11	25	36	50	68	3.5	.7	.000	.20
NSSE 2006	120,604	39.9	17.9	.1	12	26	39	52	71	1.0	.7	.186	.05
Top 50%	41,194	46.6	17.6	.1	17	34	47	59	76	-5.7	.7	.000	-.33
Top 10%	3,828	57.9	16.0	.3	30	47	58	69	83	-17.0	.8	.000	-1.06
SUPPORTIVE CAMPUS ENVIRONMENT (SCE)													
CSU Chico	459	58.9	17.9	.8	28	47	58	69	89				
Selected Peers	27,794	55.2	18.8	.1	25	42	56	67	89	3.7	.9	.000	.20
Carnegie Peers	36,804	56.6	18.9	.1	25	44	56	69	89	2.3	.9	.008	.12
NSSE 2006	119,163	56.6	18.9	.1	25	44	56	69	89	2.3	.9	.008	.12
Top 50%	33,171	62.8	18.3	.1	31	50	64	75	94	-3.8	.9	.000	-.21
Top 10%	6,261	67.7	18.2	.2	36	56	69	81	97	-8.8	.9	.000	-.48

^a All statistics weighted by gender, enrollment status, and institutional size. The N is weighted to show the correct degrees of freedom for the statistical tests.