

# WASC REACCREDITATION PROCESS

## PREPARATORY (CAPACITY) REVIEW

Report Date: **Dec. 13, 2006** Site visit: **March 7-9 2007**

Review / reaffirm critical success factors for each Strategic Priority

Build on the foundation provided by the CSU Accountability Process by augmenting system-defined performance indicators with campus-defined performance indicators that address our unique goals and priorities

Assemble inclusive work groups (evidence teams) to identify / refine performance indicators and develop reporting strategies.

Develop infrastructure to use PBViews as reporting system for Performance Measurement System



### Preparatory Review Evidence Teams

Team 1	Institutional Purposes
Team 2	Institutional Integrity
Team 3	Diversity
Team 4	Undergraduate Education
Team 5	General Education
Team 6	Graduate Programs
Team 7	Faculty Development
Team 8	Student Academic Policies
Team 9	Student Co-Curricular Programs
Team 10	Student Support Services
Team 11a	Faculty Resources
Team 11b	Staff Resources & Development
Team 12	Fiscal Resources
Team 13	Information Resources
Team 14	Physical Resources
Team 15	University Planning & Evaluation
Team 16	Academic Planning & Evaluation

## REFLECTIVE ESSAYS

Submit draft by June 1, 2006

### 1) High Quality Learning Environment

M. Siegall w/ consults

### 2) Superior Faculty/ Staff Resources

C. Worth w/ consults

### 3) Superior Learning-Enabling Resources

B.Post w/ consults

### 4) Deepened Service Engagement

D.Graham w/ consults

### 5) Institutional Effectiveness

B. Gentry w/ consults

### 6) An Environmentally-Engaged Campus

S. McNall w/ consults

### WASC Standards

<b>Std. 1.</b> Clear sense of who the institution is and where it is going. Integrity, Diversity
<b>Std. 2.</b> Infrastructures to support Learning -- Outcomes -- Levels of Achievement for graduates -- Structure for program review -- Support for scholarship -- Support for student learning
<b>Std. 3.</b> Core Resources (Faculty, staff, finances, library, technology) Organizational structures and decision-making processes
<b>Std. 4.</b> Planning/data/evidence systems

## EDUCATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS REVIEW

Report Date: **Nov. 2008** WASC Site Visit: **Spring 2009**

### The Nature of Student Engagement at a Residential Campus

First Year Experience  
Academic & Social Engagement (NSSE data)  
Substance Abuse Education

### Diversity

Gather data for Diversity Score Card  
Embed diversity in our assessment of educational effectiveness

### Innovative Use of Technology in the Delivery of Effective G.E.

History of the impact of Learning Productivity Projects;  
Technology in large enrollment venues; especially G.E. Courses

### Refine the Academic Program Review Process

Develop a new framework for Academic Program Review;  
Enhance Assessment in G.E.



### Educational Effectiveness Review Projects

Project	Lead	CIE&A Link
1. Nat. Survey of Student Engagement	Worth	Worth
2. Diversity	Blackstone	Rethans
3. Substance Abuse Education	Ellis	Graham
4. First Year Experience	Loker	CW/DG
5. Academic Programs	Rethans	Rethans
6. GE Assessment	M. Owens	TBD
7. Learning Productivity Projects History	TBD	TBD
8. Academic Technology	Post	Siegall