Masters Thesis Checklist:

Rate each of the following 1 – poor to fair	ng categories according to the following criteria: 3 – good to very good	
2 – fair to good	4 – very good to excellent	
Scientific Content:		
Introduction		
Goals and Objectiv	res or hypotheses clearly stated	
Background		
Thorough review o	f the existing relevant current and historic literature	
Methods		
Results		
Discussion		
	res or hypotheses addressed	
Scientific Merit and	d Significance	
Limitations		
Communication		
Organization		
Clarity		

____Logic _____

____Style ____

____Flow _____