

# 2022 Outstanding Master's Thesis Nomination Form

Office of Graduate Studies  
California State University, Chico  
Chico, CA 95929-0875

DATE \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Nominee: \_\_\_\_\_

Title of Thesis: \_\_\_\_\_

College: \_\_\_\_\_ Department: \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Graduation:                      Spring 2021  
   Summer 2021  
   Fall 2021

Thesis Committee Chair: \_\_\_\_\_

Thesis Committee Members: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

College Nomination Panel: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**Please Note: You may use up to two additional pages for your responses.**

**This completed nomination form is due to the Office of Graduate Studies via email at [graduatestudies@csuchico.edu](mailto:graduatestudies@csuchico.edu) by 5:00 p.m. Tuesday, March 15, 2022.**

The Outstanding Thesis Committee is composed of one faculty member representing each of the nominating colleges. All members of the Outstanding Thesis Committee will read the theses which are nominated for the award. The members of the committee rely heavily upon the statements of the College Nominating Committee to understand the uniqueness and importance of the thesis: the attached nomination form is critical to that understanding.

## Guide to Outstanding Master's Thesis Review

Office of Graduate Studies  
California State University, Chico

In responding to the following questions, please be as specific as possible. Cite examples and page numbers.

### A. Introduction

1. Was the research question well defined? Yes No
2. Was the need for the study clearly stated? Yes No
3. Is this study a significant contribution to the field? Yes No
4. Was the review of the literature comprehensive? Yes No

### B. Methodology

1. Was the methodology appropriate for the task undertaken? Yes No
2. Was the description of the methodology clear and orderly? Yes No

### C. Results

1. Were analytical methods clearly implemented? Yes No
2. Were adequate statements made regarding the support or rejection of the research question? Yes No

### D. Discussion and Conclusion

1. Did the discussion account for the results? Yes No
2. What are the limitations of this project and were they clearly delineated?
3. Were implications for future research clearly thought out and described? Yes No
4. Was the writing style clear, appropriate, and interesting? Yes No

## Summary

Please make an analytic statement summarizing why this thesis is outstanding within the criteria of the discipline.