California State University, Chico
Academic Senate
(530) 898-6201, Zip 020
MEMORANDUM

ACADEMIC SENATE MINUTES
Thursday, October 5, 2017, 2:30 p.m., KNDL-207/209

Academic Senate meetings are recorded. Traditionally the written minutes consist of a summary of topics discussed. For more detail, listen to the audio file here. Time stamps for each agenda item are provided in parenthesis for convenience. CSU, Chico is committed to making its resources accessible for all audiences. If you have accessibility-related difficulties with any of these documents, please email oats@csuchico.edu.

PRESENT:  Aird, Allen, Boyd, Camacho, Connolly, Cross, Crotts, Day, Douglas, Ferrari, Ford, Gray (Camacho), Henry, Hidalgo, Hostetter-Lewis, Hutchinson, Kim, Kirchhoff (Schulte), Larson, McConkey, McLemore, Miller, Pittman, Roll (Ferrari), Rowberg, Schierenbeck, Scholz, Schulte (Thompson), Selvester (McConkey), Sistrunk, Stivers, Sudick, Teague-Miller, Thompson, Trailer, Watkins, Wilking, Wyrick (Chair), Zartman

ABSENT:  Boura, Livingston

1. Approve Minutes of September 14, 2017 (7:22-9:40)
An editorial change to the minutes was requested by Sistrunk on page 8 “Committees on Committees” should be altered to reflect the document referred to “proposed EM on Academic Senate Permanent Committees and Outreach”. Hutchinson clarified that this is a draft EM, not an approved EM.
Minutes were approved.

2. Approve Agenda (9:55-11:40)
Ford requested the addition of an introduction item “Resolution on Executive Orders 1100 (revised) and 1110 – General Education Breadth Requirements and Academic Preparation”. After brief discussion, it was determined that it would be best placed on the agenda as a new item 15 with subsequent items being bumped. No objections to the addition were voiced, hence the agenda was approved as amended.

3. Announcements (11:45-17:25)
Miller – Dept of Music – Tuesday begins Green Day American Idiot, October 10th through the 15th check University Box Office for tickets. This is the 5th music festival he has directed and it is by far, the loudest.
Crotts – the annual Daniel Hiestand musical concert will be October 7, at 7:30 pm in Laxson Auditorium. Come and hear the best rendition ever of the Stars and Stripes Forever.
McConkey added that many alumni return to Chico for this event.
Zartman- CSU Center for Bilingual/Multicultural Studies – received grant funding on 9/15. Dept of Ed posted awardees and ranked – 269 sub, 42 funded, CSU Center for Bilingual/Multicultural Studies - #1 ranked 110/110 – funding for 40 consecutive years. Total of 16 million since his arrival in 1985

Thompson – from senators Schulte & Roll – highly successful visit with Christine Norris – civic engagement expert – Thanks to RESP & Student Judicial Affairs

Sistrunk – CFA continues its discussions on social justice and issues that impact university community with the 13th social justice venue titled White Responsibilities (Arts 112 from 5-7pm). The decision to host this topic was fueled by the recent Charlottesville incident and BLM movement.

4. Chair’s Prerogative (17:29-40:58)
   - Public Affairs New Visual Identity Guide (Waid; time certain 2:40) – postponed for future senate meeting
   - Campus Safety Presentation (Reid/Herbert/Mustafa; time certain 2:50)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student Safety: Off-Campus Housing Efforts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Key Stakeholders</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Off-Campus Housing Connections “partners”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Non-Connections student housing providers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Meeting Opportunities</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Semi-Annual Meeting of Connections Participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Next meeting scheduled for October 12th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• NVPOA Hosted (University Chaired) monthly meetings with CPD (new initiative)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Date to be determined within the next 30 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Discussion Points</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Private Security solutions to supplement CPD/UPD efforts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Landlord Best Practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Contract Terms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lease enforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Annex House challenges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Office of Greek Life partnerships as practical for recognized Greek organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Judicial Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Off-Campus Housing provided education when Title IX and Title 5 violations occur</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dan Herbert, Director, Off Campus Student Services

Dan Herbert, Director of Campus Student Services, provided a brief overview of Student Safety: Off-Campus Housing Efforts (slide 1). He explained that it has been a very active fall semester so far including shootings, and problems with houses that are not Greek sanctioned (annex houses). His efforts have included meetings with Greek life, and Chico and CSU PD to work on these problems. In an upcoming Oct 26th meeting they plan to discuss best practices, and every 90 days discuss protocols and let the respective PD groups troubleshoot the protocols.

Herbert reviewed off campus housing participation – fundraising, part of connections program – improve town and gown - $50,000 has been raised to help with food security
Campus Student Services is partnering with Sandy Parsons in Student Judicial Affairs
Will report back to Academic Senate on new developments.
Herbert reported on town and gown quarterly meetings. The focus is to work collectively
with 30-40 stakeholders (students included – Associated Students presidents from Butte
and Chico) to strategize on ways to tackle issues.

---

### Student Safety: University Police Department Efforts

**John Reid, Interim Chief, University Police Department**

The interim Chief of Police intends to assess any current strategies for addressing
AOD related problems off campus. These may include:

- Lines of On / Off Campus Communication;
- Student Education;
- Student-Neighbor Connections;
- Community Mediation Programs;
- Landlord Education and Accountability;
- State Laws/Ordinances;
- Extending Campus Judicial Affairs to Off-Campus Behavior;
- Targeted Enforcement Strategies; and
- Cooperating Business Programs.

---

John Reid, interim CSU, Chico Police Chief, “on loan” from Channel Islands, briefly
introduced himself, described some of his qualifications, and shared some of his
observations on the Chico environment. His background includes 12 yr as police chief.
He has been in his position for a month, is asking questions, doing a lot of assessment,
and has met with all local law enforcement areas/leaders. He has been taken aback by
some of the incidents – specifically, crimes of violence. Believes many of the issues we
struggle with may have root in AOD (alcohol & other drugs).
Several areas he is looking at include (but not all):

- Integrating public information functions between off- and on-campus
- Education – student neighbors – landlord education, hold accountable landlords in
city ordinances
- Extending SJA student code of conduct to off-campus
- Distributing information

Sistrunk commented about consultation – faculty voice – campus safety committee is
called by the campus PD chief – Reid looks forward to that opportunity.
Camacho asked if Reid would come to a Student Academic Senate meeting. Reid replied,
of course!
Abeer Mustafa, Executive Director of University Housing, reviewed Student Safety: University Housing Efforts and added other comments.

- We have started out better this year. For example, 56 bicycles were stolen in first few days of last year – 0 bicycles reported stolen this year.
- Wildcat bus – from University Village on Nord to campus – helpful for nighttime – starts at 7:30 am, but complaints that the bus was full so started at earlier time of 7:00 am – not a “vomit comet” – another benefit for students is they can take advantage of meal plan at Sutter Hall because of the new bus schedule
- Guest check in for residence halls is now 24 hrs. rather than only 8 am to 8 pm, so increased the time to monitor who is allowed in the building
- Improving lighting, and smoke detectors
- About $4 mil in safety improvements
- Extend bus hours during finals? Yes. Extend bus for late night finals? 25 passenger bus, because has to get over the speed hump in the road. There is also the campus connections bus (7 passenger van)
- Question from senator: Extend bus service to Craig Hall? Yes we already have one. The bus is labeled and has closed eyes (eyelashes) on the front
- Another security measure is adding full swipe access to University Village

Camacho – commented that the B-Line is also available to students

Wyrick inquired – inquiry re: regarding security considerations for guest speakers and counter events. We are really lucky because there could have been deaths. The question is, are we doing any kind of worst case scenario planning? Would it be possible to get students involved in the planning? Mustafa replied that we have a campus safety task force subgroup, including two Associated Student representatives, that is creating a plan for the university.
Hutchinson noted that we have an university emergency preparedness group and advised that we invite Marvin Pratt (Director of Environmental Health and Safety) for a discussion on this topic.

- **UBC Update** (Hutchinson/Kitchell/Larson; time certain 3:00) (41:10-1:00:50)
  Hutchinson provided a brief overview of UBC, noting the collaborative link between Academic Senate and Cabinet.
  We need to encourage more attendance at UBC and look at possibly streaming the presentations in the future so that attendance can be improved.
  She noted that the following presentation is intended as a primer to excite people into attending the next meeting.

### 3. UBC OVERVIEW AND BUDGET CYCLE REVIEW

An overview of the state budget cycle was provided (slide 1).
In Sept, we looked at budget to actual; in Nov, CSU proposes to governor; June finalization – initiates a tremendous amount of work on campuses to “dot i’s and cross t’s”. All this hard work paid off because we were able to get budgets to all the units earlier than last year (which was earlier than the year prior).
Slide 2 –
The need was noted for Academic Senate discussions on how to get input from Nov to Mar.

Slide 3 – Jeni Kitchell, Director of the University Budget Office, shared highlights of the allocation process, with a comparison of AY 11/12 and 17/18, and additional context with AY 07/08 (included in lower right arrow of slide). The impact of salary increases and mandatory costs, retirement, and heath care were noted as encumbering much of the
allocations. Mandatory costs, retirement, and health care are using much of the allocation. For full view of circumstances, see the UBC agenda on the Academic Senate website and come to the next UBC meeting on November 9th at 2:30 p.m. None of you are booked!

STATE BUDGET ALLOCATION PROCESS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2011-12 Amounts</th>
<th>2017-18 Amounts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State of California - $129 Billion</td>
<td>State of California - $183 Billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governor’s January Budget → May Revise → Final June Budget</td>
<td>Governor’s January Budget → May Revise → Final June Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher Education - $11.1 Billion</td>
<td>Higher Education - $15.4 Billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.6% of State Budget</td>
<td>8.4% of State Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California State University - $2.2 Billion</td>
<td>California State University - $3.4 Billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.5% of Higher Education Budget</td>
<td>22.2% of Higher Education Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSU, Chico - $83.3 Million</td>
<td>CSU, Chico - $115 Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7% of CSU Campus Budgets</td>
<td>3.4% of CSU Campus Budgets</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Provost Larson – provided some background on the timeline for budget development in Academic Affairs (AA). Leaders in AA started to engage early on in May. The focus this year was to minimize deficit spending behaviors. The division of AA does have reserves, but we are at an uncomfortable point where we only have about 1 mo. of salary in reserve (which is not enough). For AY 17/18 we took a balanced approach, but we will have to spend a bit more on infrastructure changes.

Sistrunk briefly shared concerns over the budget model for AA and asked whether the committee to do this would be reconstituted? Response: One category of budget is that 55% of the budget is allocated to academic colleges. We used a decent good model this year, but it’s not perfect, ABC model, with recently added DE (Delaware) model, but need better data capability. Jeff Bell has been asked to work with Academic Senate to populate a task force. The constraint however, is the task force will have to work relatively fast, since the division will need something by Apr/May in order to plan for next AY. Wyrick added that UBC is looking for nominations for this task force and to please contact members of Executive Committee with suggested nominations. We are interested in getting people with relevant knowledge and availability.

Schierenbeck noted the importance of a contextual understanding of the data.
Response: There is no free lunch, or magic pot, no additional allocations which is really significant when talk about shifting funds from one college to another. Identification of guiding principles before beginning will be essential to this work. McConkey added that it is important to include who we are and what we mean to the university and to the town in those guiding principles.
EO 1000 Facility Fees

A revision to what constitutes an internal user with non-normal time events in sync with our mission.

SUMMARY
Current facility use practices were evaluated, using the FFA field day as an example, as well as the CFU recommendation (Feb 2016) and feedback from the deans (May 2016). The following modified CFU Recommendations have been approved by Cabinet.

CFU Recommendation

- Approved university users include those hosting events that support the university mission:
  - Division, college, and department meetings and events
  - University recognized student organizations
  - IRA funded student programs
- Change normal hours to include Fridays from 5pm-10pm, Saturday 8am – 5pm, and Sunday starting at 12pm (Academic Year):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Normal Hours*</th>
<th>CURRENT</th>
<th>PROPOSED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monday – Thursday</td>
<td>7am – 10pm</td>
<td>7am – 10pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friday</td>
<td>7am – 5pm</td>
<td>7am – 10pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>8am – 5pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>1pm – 10pm</td>
<td>12pm – 10pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>(limited users &amp; space)</em></td>
<td><em>(no specific limitations)</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Library hours may vary
Hutchinson noted that we are continuing to examine EO1000.
Hutchinson recognized Chief of Staff, Brooke Banks to continue the presentation. There has been lot of feedback about this EO, and despite a few reports being composed a few years ago, the campus had not made progress with any changes. FFA field day was used as an example of an organization that had experienced a difference in charges for campus use, this has now been revised. Additional changes included an expanded definition of Approved University User, and hours were added to normal business hours on Sat and Sun. The ultimate goal with any changes to implementation of this EO is to make the university a lively and well used place – and sustainable. Banks provided an overview of guidelines and explained that they are looking at a lot more data to help make additional decisions for changes. Camacho noted that she loves that FFA field day is used as an example. Thank you – FFA helped her make decision to come to Chico. Zartman noted that these changes “help with indirect” – that nebulous area of indirect and double charges between grants and university. Thank you for alleviating some of this problem. McConkey added that this allows us to demonstrate huge support and connection for our community with high school events.

5. University Report – Hutchinson/Larson (1:06:00-1:13:02)
Hutchinson – Reported on several items
Reminder about fall reception, starts at 6:30 – invitation to all – hope you come, it is my thank you, to you.
Hutchinson recognized the chair of EMEDC, Zartman to provide an update on Vice President for Student Affairs (VPSA) and Vice Provost for Academic Programs (VPAP) searches: committee discussed VPSA finalists today, tomorrow meet with President, share findings and comments. Developments over next couple weeks. VPAP – zoom interviews on Friday and Monday
Hutchinson extended kudos to EMEDC and her staff for their work on these searches. Hutchinson added that two CSU Board of Trustees members were visiting last week. Peter Kimbell and Lillian Taylor were “purposely” invited. They met with Academic Senate and several student groups, toured the University Farm, Holt – science, Stivers and FMS gave them tour of awesome learning environments and those that are really in need of replacement or upgrading. Board of Directors of the University Foundation are visiting the campus today. These individuals help us recruit dollars to university – so we have been sharing what happens on our campus with them.
Wyrick reported faculty officers of Executive Committee met with Trustee Taylor and had a good discussion.
Larson:
Announced that next week many campus leaders will be in Long Beach for the Graduation Initiative symposium, and following that meeting – all three VPs will be there for their respective meetings.
Larson expressed appreciation to those involved with CELT – “thank you for all those participating” – and hopes it continues to grow.
Research Foundation Board of Directors met last Monday, making good progress.
We are hosting visitors today from Shinju University in Japan, and the general focus of the visit is nurturing foreign relationships.
Twenty-seven searches have been approved for TT faculty.

Camacho reported:
On Sept. 26th we collaborated with other student organizations on campus. It was a successful event with 240+ in attendance. Students indicated they felt supported on this campus.
Camacho reported on collaboration with other campuses and the California State Student Association (CSSA) regarding voting on the DREAM Act.
CSSA is concerned over deferred maintenance on campuses.
Camacho noted student involvement in the VPSA search.
The Student Academic Senate discussed the proposed foreign language requirement.
The Student Academic Senate is willing and happy to add agenda items to get student perspective on any issues.

The Staff Council will celebrate its 50th anniversary at CSU, Chico. We are planning a two-phase luncheon, with awards in Laxson and luncheon mix & mingle on the Kendall lawn.
The Faculty and Staff Art show in Kendall rotunda, reception is in the works.
Needy Children fundraiser is in the works. Be on the lookout for ways to participate.
Aird is making a special effort to make sure to get staff to understand shared governance. He is looking forward to changing the culture of how staff participate on campus committees to ignite interest in shared governance

8. Standing Committees Reports (1:21:10-1:24:15)
- Educational Policies and Programs Committee – Ferrari
Ferrari directed attention to an important change, specifically revisions to the RFP for curricular redesign. After working on the RFP with Bill Loker a new deadline of November 3, 2017 will be instituted. The revised RFP will be emailed tomorrow.
The following items were passed as introduction items and will return as action items on the EPPC agenda for September 28, 2017:

1. Discontinuation of M.A. in Geography
2. Discontinuation of Minor in Information Technology (Department of Computer Science)

EPPC members approved the EPPC guidelines.

EPPC members also discussed the RFP for Curriculum Redesign, and shared concerns about its deadlines. EPPC recommended having a discussion and Q&A with Interim Vice Provost for Academic Programs Loker (planned for the EPPC meeting on September 28th).

The following items were passed as action items and forwarded to the Academic Senate office for inclusion as an introduction item on the Academic Senate agenda for October 5, 2017:

3. Discontinuation of M.A. in Geography
4. Discontinuation of Minor in Information Technology (Department of Computer Science)
5. New Certificate in Data Science (Department of Mathematics and Statistics and Department of Computer Science). The item passed with the understanding that the proponents should provide clarification about who will issue the certificate. The recommendation is that both departments should issue it.

EPPC members also discussed the following items:

1. A draft proposal for foreign language requirement (CAB)
2. RFP for Curriculum Redesign. EPPC requested to postpone the deadline for applications and EPPC Chair Chiara Ferrari is working with Interim Vice Provost for Academic Programs Loker to revise the RFP and send it out by the end of the week.

- Faculty and Student Policies Committee – Wilking
  Wilking provided an overview of the report

Faculty and Student Policies (FASP) Report 9/21 & 9/28

9/21
- FASP did not meet. Subcommittees worked.
A draft internship policy returned from a 16-17 FASP subcommittee in July. At the 9/28 meeting, we re-formed an internship policy subcommittee to see this draft policy through the consultation, revision and passage process. The subcommittee members from FASP include Judy Hennessy and Barbara Sudick.

A subcommittee to draft a policy on “traveling partners” has been formed. Jonathon Day will chair the subcommittee and Jeff Livingston and Barbara Sudick are members.

Matthew Bently, Director of Contracts and Development at the Office of Research and Sponsored Programs presented proposed changes to EM 12-065 Conflicts of Interests in Grants and Contracts. The proposal is to delete the requirement for investigators to complete a conflict of interest form upon the completion of the grant, to make our practice consistent with changes in legal requirements.

Minor and editorial changes to the FPPP were reviewed, and a set of these changes was moved to an introduction item and passed. For example, “faculty files” was replaced with “PAF”. When additional minor changes are approved at the next FASP meeting, the minor changes will be bundled and moved as one item to senate.

Subcommittee updates
- The FPPP subcommittee is working on revisions regarding standards for early tenure.
- The Policy on Policies subcommittee is collecting data from other CSUs regarding their policies, definitions and practices around dissemination of policies, procedures and guidelines.
- The subcommittee to revise EM 04-043 is pausing further work on revision of this EM, pending consultation from the President’s office regarding the status of EM 03-010.
- The subcommittee to revise EM 12-014 on the Equity Council has invited individuals named as members of the Equity Council to participate in revision of the EM.

Executive Committee – Crotts
Crotts explained that the role of secretary would be temporarily handled by others as a result of his injury.
Management Evaluation and Development Committee to support the Executive Management Selection Committee policy (EM 04-043) will continue through the period of the policy review.

- The proposed Academic Senate resolution on DACA
- A possible proposed resolution on EO 1100 and EO 1110
- How to rescind (or retire) EM 02-108 Smoking Policy, now superseded by EO 1108
- Editorial changes to EM 10-001 Approval of General Education Program and next steps
- Forming a FASP subcommittee to address proposed changes to the Campus Alcohol Policy
- The Consolidated Course Fee
- Forming an EC subcommittee from both FASP and EPPC members to revise the Senate Constitution as recommended at the Fall Senate Retreat


- ASCSU Resolutions & Summaries
- Other ASCSU Reports
  Ford shared the ASCSU report from John Tarjan. Apparently, Tarjan has been composing these reports for quite some time and Ford will continue to share them in future.
  Highlights from the most recent meeting:
  - EO 1100 (revised) and 1110 drew much attention
  - New faculty trustee is Romey Sabalius
  - In reaction to statewide senate resolution, series of actions by other senates – will cover more with new agenda added
  - GEAC reacting to another set of changes from CO – given deadline of 8 am tomorrow morning. Shared governance challenged by deadlines – this is another example
  Zartman asked why is there a short deadline? Is it legislation? Ford responded that multiple measures is a legislative mandate May 2018 – beyond that not aware of other mandates, other than expectations of the Chancellor to make progress on GI2025 goals.

10. Resolution in Support of CSU Students, Staff, and Faculty Admitted or Employed Under the Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) Program and in Support of the DACA Program – EC – Introduction Item. (1:28:01-2:03:01)

Wyrick provided a brief overview of the resolution. Boyd further introduced the resolution explaining the origin and motivation for the resolution. Wyrick added that this is currently an introduction item

Discussion ensued over the resolution. Thompson noted concern over the possibility of ICE reps coming to campus. VP Stivers clarified that local police has a right to be here but “usually” notify us of their intent to come onto campus; federal authorities also have the right to access public land.
The phrase “deny voluntary consent” generated concern among members. Interpretation varied among members. However, they remain open to other language. Discussion and comments over choice of words, specifically Scholz noted problems with a couple of words. “Deplore” are emotionally charged and the word “immoral” and “unethical” could be conceived as personal attacks. Sistrunk expressed surprise that the language in the resolution could not be more definitive and wondered about the removal of the terms “sensitive place” as they had legal resonance. Because of this change to wording it makes the fourth whereas redundant. Chair Wyrick explained rationale of change – something fuzzy in “sensitive”. Ferrari noted that the choice was to use the strongest possible language – while agree with words, this is personal, of the 800,000 affected by DACA, 240,000 are in CA, including 72,000 in public schools; 8,000 in CSU system. She expressed that this issue is closely related to our campus community and prefers emotional language that personally connects us.

Trailer suggested a change in tone from negative to positive language. Too much negativity hurts our ability to be helpful.

**VOTE: Approved as an introduction item.**

Camacho/Ferrari moved to suspend rules and make this an action item. Camacho explained this is a personal matter to me. We should move on this document while it is still fresh in our minds. It is a wonderful document and will be more impactful the sooner it comes in

**VOTE: Motion to suspend the rules was approved.**

The proposal was considered as an action item, and subject to amendment.

Motion to amend by Pittman/Sistrunk

Change “inclusive community” to “sensitive place”.

Thompson asked for “inclusive community AND sensitive place”

Pittman amended to reflect this and Sistrunk seconded.

**VOTE: Motion to amend to insert “and a sensitive place” after “inclusive community” was approved.**

The motion was made and seconded to: In the first resolved, replace “immoral” with “unethical.”

Senators debated this motion and comments included:

Schierenbeck: not a time to dial it back, believes in original language.

Pittman: “unethical” is not as strong
Thompson, agrees with Ferrari, Schierenbeck, and Pittman — agrees with other senators, use strong language that fits with our mission. 

Cross: like language to stay as is – not in favor of motion. Context of outdoor education started in opposition to Mussolini – would like to see language stand.

Camacho: her position has been stated.

**VOTE: Motion to amend the first resolution clause failed.**

The motion was made and seconded to:

In the 4th whereas, replace “recognizing” with “ensuring”

**VOTE: Motion to amend the fourth whereas to replace “recognizing” with “ensuring” was approved**

Hutchinson researched “sensitive place” and found “sensitive locations” may be the more accurate terminology. Crotts explained this could be editorial.

The motion was made and seconded to:

In the 2nd Resolved, 3rd bullet, delete “except as otherwise required by federal law”.

Concern over it being regarded as a loophole was noted.

**VOTE: Motion to amend to delete “except as otherwise required by federal law” was approved.**

**VOTE: Resolution, as amended, was approved as an action item and followed by applause.**


An overview of the proposal was provided by Ferrari and Robin Donatello (faculty, Mathematics and Statistics). NS will take on roll of awarding certificates. Main goal is to put Chico State on map for Data Science – management and analysis of big data and, preparation for masters. The certificate is interdisciplinary – viewed as a starting point in order to see where Chico wants to go in future with data science.

Loker mentioned no computer science faculty were at EPPC, but the chair of the Department of Computer Science is present. Concern was noted over sufficient faculty to cover courses.

No promise to cover courses – but interest in department to cover it. Loker concerned that a stronger level of support not present.

Ferrari asked per requests from EPPC for written confirmation from deans ensuring courses would be offered. The dean of NS pointed out that both deans did sign proposal, but to Ferrari, this was not quite enough, and would like to see that commitment.
Schierenbeck emphasized that it is really important to service our students and the North State.
Ford noted that financial realities are true for all of us – enrollment dictates offering a course – no department chair can guarantee that course will be supported. If no students arrive, then the certificate will languish. If students arrive, the certificate will be strongly supported.
Ferrari responded that a concern about not having a faculty member to teach – different issue than enrollment.
Donatello noted that other faculty are able to teach these courses. Support for data science has been there – emotionally, but not financially.
Wyrick asked which course would not be supported, year of computer program, more likely CS 385, but Wyrick noted this is not required.
Donatello replied that the intention is rotation teaching of courses between math and computer science. Without rotation, The burden falls entirely on ability of math to teach those courses every year.
Larson noted that dollars follow FTEs. The trouble is the process is lagging – when we get students, there is a lag before the department gets the funding. She feels the conversation has gotten derailed. The College of Engineering, Computer Science and Construction Management has just received notification of 6 hires. This should be taken into consideration in considering this certificate and the needs of the North State. Funds will eventually follow the FTEs. Larson appreciates that EPPC and Department of Computer Science have indicated concern about budget, but she wants us to be realistic and understand the FTEs model – that it can eventually support the courses if students enroll in them.
Crotts noted that this is still an introduction item, and so if passed, we are only giving opportunity for a second read.
Larson, asked if a statement of support could be added at action?
Donatello requested clarification of what is meant by stronger support?

**VOTE: Approved as an introduction item.**

Ferrari gave a brief introduction of the proposal stating it was created in 1970, program is currently suspended. She then introduced Dean Fairbanks, Chair of the Department of Geography and Planning, who continued to provide background to the proposal. This was one of first MS programs on this campus, at time of suspension had 28 students. Program faculty dropped below 10 faculty to 8 faculty which made it difficult to run both UG and MS programs. Title V restrictions make it impossible to support other models that could have worked to provide the program. Ultimately, this proposal represents the wishes of the faculty.
Schierenbeck expressed opposition. She is supportive of the program faculty, however, feels this is a huge loss for the North State. Discontinuing this program is not serving the mission of this campus.

Ferrari noted that most of EPPC members support faculty wishes but felt sad about this discontinuation.

Camacho asked Fairbanks to clarify a fact shared at EPPC that discontinuation of program would only result in $12,000 savings. Fairbanks confirmed the immediate program savings was $30,000, but when factoring in the loss of grad students to teach, and the need to hire lecturers to teach labs, then the net savings was $12,000. However, the quality of labs has improved with lecturers, which has been better for students in long run.

Zartman felt this was a moment of defeat, and felt conflicted since the program in 2012 had 28 students and was relatively healthy. He noted that decreasing faculty is only reason for loss of this program… not sure of measure of support he could contribute for this proposal.

McConkey commented that we are seeing an alarming trend happening from time of suspension and discontinuation from before we had the EM on suspension – alarming that all are because of lack of faculty.

Camacho commented that the CSU system is known for having accessible education – certainly understand faculty position, generally opposed to decrease in accessibility in CSU not to this proposal specifically, but to the larger issue.

Fairbanks added that it is difficult for smaller departments to maintain graduate programs without taking it out of hide. Faculty are teaching 4/4 and servicing 8 or so student theses projects. This has led to very tired faculty. He added that the alumni advisory board was not happy, but understood after a while regarding the budget situation.

Larson noted that the comments expressed are larger than this particular proposal. She added that we will continue to advocate with CSU Board of Trustees for a budget model that supports Master’s programs, but not out of hide of UG programs. We need to advocate for budget models that support master’s programs that feed into the workforce. This is also something that the ASCSU could take steps to support.

Thompson expressed thanks to Larson for comments and then concern that reputation of some campuses are really good at UG, not Master’s. Such is the context from Education – support for faculty. She could feel better about a yes vote for this proposal because the discussion was now on the record. She added that graduate education is on life support on this campus.

Fairbanks added, faculty are tired, please support this proposal.

**VOTE: Approved as an introduction item.**
13. Proposed Discontinuation of Minor in Information Technology – EPPC – Introduction Item (2:36:20-2:40:00)

Ferrari explained that this program was first offered in 2008, and it was a Minor Program that never worked out. She introduced Tyson Henry, Chair of the Department of Computer Science, to add to the overview. He added that the program was offered for about 10 years and it never really took off. Two of courses are not offered anymore. Idea has not worked out very well. Still have a Minor in Computer Science that is much stronger.

VOTE: Approved as an introduction item.

Ferrari – motion to suspend rules, seconded – justification – seems not to be doubts or discussion seems we can move forward.

VOTE: Motion to suspend the rules passes was approved.

Henry added that Computer Science is willing to discuss other possibilities in the future if there is interest.

VOTE: Approved as an action item.
**Graduation Initiative 2025 Funding** – Discussion Item (Loker) (2:40:02-3:06:05)

**Graduation Initiative 2025 Campus Plan: AY 16-17 expenditures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Student Affairs</th>
<th>Academic Affairs</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coded Memo B 2016-03</td>
<td>$243,000</td>
<td>$324,000</td>
<td>$567,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPO 16-6441</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,100,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Initial Allocations</strong></td>
<td>$243,000</td>
<td>$324,000</td>
<td>$1,867,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2017 U courses to support retention, other retention efforts: $45,000 carry forward to 17-18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2017 additional course sections to support 4.5/2.5 graduation rates to 4/2. Provide additional sections for Spring Transfers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Uses</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$597,558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$909,554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,507,112</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remainder to be Transferred by B&F to SA, AA**

- $354,558
- $585,554
- $940,112

**Unallocated**

- $359,888

**Additional Requests: Pending Review/Approval**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EMS Admissions: CRM Software</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS Admissions: ADT Verification</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS AAP: Informational Publication</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS AAP: Graduate Level Interns</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMS AAP: 3 iPads</td>
<td>$2,100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Requested: Pending Review/Approval**

- $176,100
- $0
- $176,100

Effective 7/31/17
CSU Short-term Actions: Graduation Initiative 2025 (GI 2025)

Application for GI 2025 Funds to Support Student Success and Increase Graduation Rates: SUMMARY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>College</th>
<th>Adding Sections: Amount Requested</th>
<th>Adding Sections: Amount Allocated</th>
<th>Adding Sections: Amount to College (C-Benefits)</th>
<th>Other: Amount Requested</th>
<th>Other: Amount Allocated</th>
<th>Other: Amount to College</th>
<th>Total Allocated (C+F)</th>
<th>Total to College (D+G)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGR</td>
<td>24,074</td>
<td>18,383</td>
<td>10,936</td>
<td>10,936</td>
<td>10,936</td>
<td>10,936</td>
<td>35,010</td>
<td>29,319</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSS</td>
<td>89,133</td>
<td>60,665</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89,133</td>
<td>60,665</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CME</td>
<td>37,538</td>
<td>27,575</td>
<td>4,300</td>
<td>4,300</td>
<td>4,300</td>
<td>4,300</td>
<td>41,838</td>
<td>31,875</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COB</td>
<td>22,236</td>
<td>11,030</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14,824</td>
<td>11,030</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFA</td>
<td>103,768</td>
<td>77,210</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>103,768</td>
<td>77,210</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSC</td>
<td>136,680</td>
<td>112,019</td>
<td>43,918</td>
<td>40,124</td>
<td>40,124</td>
<td>40,124</td>
<td>176,804</td>
<td>152,143</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Revised Allocation Based on Actual Sections Offered in Spring 17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>College</th>
<th>Adding Sections: Amount Requested</th>
<th>Adding Sections: Amount Allocated</th>
<th>Actual Expenditures for Additional Sections Offered</th>
<th>Other: Amount Requested</th>
<th>Other: Amount Allocated</th>
<th>Other: Amount to College</th>
<th>Total Allocated (C+F)</th>
<th>Total to College (D+G)</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGR*</td>
<td>24,074</td>
<td>6,500</td>
<td>10,936</td>
<td>10,936</td>
<td>10,936</td>
<td>10,936</td>
<td>35,010</td>
<td>17,436</td>
<td>11,883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSS</td>
<td>89,133</td>
<td>50,158</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89,133</td>
<td>50,158</td>
<td>10,507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CME</td>
<td>37,538</td>
<td>23,518</td>
<td>4,300</td>
<td>4,300</td>
<td>4,300</td>
<td>4,300</td>
<td>41,838</td>
<td>27,818</td>
<td>4,057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COB</td>
<td>22,236</td>
<td>11,030</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14,824</td>
<td>11,030</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HFA</td>
<td>103,768</td>
<td>66,904</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>103,768</td>
<td>66,904</td>
<td>10,306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSC</td>
<td>136,680</td>
<td>112,019</td>
<td>43,918</td>
<td>40,124</td>
<td>40,124</td>
<td>40,124</td>
<td>176,804</td>
<td>152,143</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graduation Initiative 2025 Campus Plan: Proposed AY 17-18 expenditures

Sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Student Affairs</th>
<th>Academic Affairs</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One-time GI 2025 Funds, 17-18</td>
<td>$188,000</td>
<td>$279,000</td>
<td>$467,000</td>
<td>Includes $100,000 to SA for SI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carry forward from 16-17</td>
<td>$1,295,753</td>
<td>$2,348,135</td>
<td>$3,643,888</td>
<td>Earmarked for Math and English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Preparation – EO 1110</td>
<td>$1,240,000</td>
<td>$1,400,000</td>
<td>$2,640,000</td>
<td>Flexible earmarked, currently unallocated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
<td>$2,400,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$3,283,753</td>
<td>$3,653,135</td>
<td>$7,936,888</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proposed Uses, Academic Affairs Funds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Academic Community: Faculty, Pedagogy, Curriculum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Faculty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other instructional support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA, peer mentors, tutoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five FLCs focused on inclusive pedagogy, other proven effective strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Learning Communities for pedagogical improvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty Design Intensives for additional U-Courses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum Redesign: Majors and Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Academic Preparation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$140,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter, Summer Session course discount incentives to support 4.5/2.5 to 4/2 year graduation rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$69,135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensified Outreach; Minority Males and other intentional efforts to close graduation gaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Peer Mentors for new U-Courses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Proposed Uses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unallocated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
William Loker, Interim Vice Provost for Academic Programs, opened his remarks stating that EPPC members were asking for more information on GI funds available to university, hence this presentation. He explained that in AY 16/17, a total amount of one-time funds --- $1,867,000 funds came late to campus. In order to determine how to distribute funds he formed an ad hoc Graduation Implementation Team subcommittee of the Graduation Initiative Team. The Implementation team made recommendations for funding and essentially served as the “Traffic cops” so the Provost would not be inundated with proposals.

He then directed attention to bottom line, unallocated funds of $359,888 which were carried forward to AY 17/18. In AY 17/18 a total of $467,000 was allocated in one-time funds. There was also $140,000 ear marked for EO 1110. Additionally, there was also $120,000 Other following a CO memo for use either to invest in data systems/analytic to understand, direct financial support, financial education – how to manage money better.

- The proposed uses for the fund are going to address AA direction and thinking for these funds. Uses include: Supplemental instruction – 3x as many students are being serviced this year – continuing investment in SI
- Largest amount of money allocated for extra sections to help offer additional sections to help students graduate – will continue to do this this year
- Funds arrived earlier, but now also have long term plan, funds allocated in line with this plan
- Long term plan includes Pedagogical improvement – faculty to increase teaching effectiveness
- Initiative for curricular redesign – revised rfp will come out tomorrow
- $140,000
- Discounts for students to sign up for winter and summer intersession courses. Looking for assessment from RCE to see if grants were a good investment

He emphasizes that proposed allocations are just that, proposals.

Additional ideas needing further investigation before being considered for funding include:

- Last year Chico Student Success Center recruited students (30) to XX course – 20 improved, 3 acad disqual, 1 not return for other reason, level of controversy that it was offered only to male students.
- Gender gap is larger than the minority gap, females higher at 15, minority males have lowest grad rates.
- Student mentors in Ucourses

A senator expressed that we need direct money going into financial support of programs that support students.

Boyd requested the specific CO budget memos related to Graduation Initiative funding to help determine alignment of Chico’s Long Term Plan Goals and those of the Chancellor.
Zartman asked for clarification of estimates for 30 students in that class? What happens to students in that class? Loker – no –environment for future investment. Time

Ford requested clarification for the $467,000 split, we are taking $100,000 off top for SI? What was process for splitting AA and SA? Why aren’t we using carry forward? And where is carry forward going? Loker explained that first SI $100,000 was taken off the top which left $367,000 remaining. For the split, he and Pedro Douglas, interim Vice President for Student Affairs, looked at percent of distribution last year and split difference between normal allocation model. The resulting division (split) of funds was made based on those figures.

Ford commented that he hoped there would be some involvement of faculty in that decision.

Larson expressed that she appreciated the intention and will try to bring more to EC. She needed to make quick judgements this summer and didn’t think faculty were available. Ford replied that there were faculty present during that time.

Camacho extended an invitation for GI information to be shared at Student Academic Senate.

Boyd asked for clarification on base vs one-time in terms of AA and SA split, specifically she thought the speed was only needed with regard to the $2.1 of $2.9 mil. allocated to AA. Larson explained that both one-time and base dollar amounts were needed to make decision on AA budget during the summer.

Boyd requested all CO memos related to Graduation Initiative funding be presented to the Academic Senate.

15. Resolution on Executive Orders 1100 (revised) and 1110 – General Education Breadth

Resolution on Executive Orders 1100 (revised) and 1110 – General Education Breadth Requirements and Academic Preparation

\textbf{Whereas,} The Chancellor of the California State University System has revised Executive Order (EO) 1100, the General Education Breadth Requirements and issued new Executive Order 1110; and

\textbf{Whereas,} The ASCSU has issued Resolution AS-3304-17 urging Chancellor White to immediately put EO 1100 (Revised) and EO 1110 into abeyance and defer their implementation date to, at earliest, Fall 2019; and

\textbf{Whereas,} The Chancellor’s General Education Advisory Committee (GEAC), in a letter dated September 18, 2017 has requested that the Chancellor delay the implementation of EO 1100
by at least one academic year in order to allow clarity and conversations as CSU campuses and their community college counterparts work on these issues; and

Whereas, CSU faculty and campus constituents have expressed serious concerns about the content and the timeline of revised Executive Order 1100 and newly released Executive Order 1110, and

Whereas, The changes to EO 1100 (revised) and EO 1110 will impact students, faculty, departments, both small and large; and

Whereas, When an Executive Order (EO) is issued, time is needed to understand and interpret the changes and engage in clarifying conversations. Campuses also need time to discuss changes and develop appropriate curricular and pedagogic responses, and

Whereas, These changes, made in haste will likely result in unintended consequences contrary to the interest of serving students and

Whereas, The timeline for implementation will be impossible for many of the CSU campuses to meet based on normal policy, procedures, and traditions; therefore be it

Resolved, That the CSU Chico Academic Senate supports the letter of September 18, 2017 from GEAC and the ASCSU Resolution AS 3304-17 and the concerns those documents express about implementation; and be it further

Resolved, That the CSU Chico Academic Senate supports delaying implementation of the changes to EO 1100 (revised) and EO 1110 until fall, 2019 and be it further

Resolved, That copies of this resolution be distributed to the Chair of the Board of Trustees Eisen, CSU Chancellor White, ASCSU Chair Miller, CSU Chico President Hutchinson, CSU Chico Vice Presidents, Deans, and Department Chairs.

Ford introduced the resolution. He hoped that this would pass as an introduction item so it could be edited at action. The intent is that then senators would have time to digest the resolution. If accepted as introduction, then he can share with ASCSU Chair Miller that we are in process of moving forward with a resolution.

Pittman asked for clarification of where resolutions came from. Wyrick added perspective that most other campus resolutions are completed or in the works. Boyd commended Ford for composing this resolution a timely and non-confrontational manner. Day commented on adding a clause asking for what is needed from the CO.

VOTE: Approved as an introduction item.

Stivers shared an editorial comment that “the mind can absorb what the seat can endure”. Trailer asked for clarification on EM 03-010. Wyrick clarified by reading language from EC synopsis.
Wilking clarified further that evaluation section of the EM is not up for revision, but that subcommittee can move forward with other sections of the EM.

17. Other

18. Adjourn.
   The meeting adjourned at 5:47 pm.

Respectfully submitted,
Elizabeth “Betsy” Boyd, Immediate Past Chair, rotating Secretary