

**SUBJECT: EPPC MINUTES – January 27, 2022, 2:30 p.m.**

Quorum met, commenced at 2:33 p.m., January 27, 2022

Attendance: Adamian, Allen, Buffardi, Cline, Ellis, Ferrari, Ford, Grassian, Gray, Jenkins, Kralj, Lawrence, Maas, Medic, Millard, Miller, Peterson, Salehi, Seipel, Son, Thompson, Vela

1. **Approve Minutes** for November 18, 2021 – Approved, no comments or questions

2. **Approve Agenda** for January 27, 2022– Approved, no changes

**Introduction Items:**

- Due to absence of the Guest Presenter for item #3, Dr. Diana Flannery, Chair Holly Kralj said with permission, let's go ahead and start with Dr. Gregory Watkins, the Guest Presenter for item #4.

4. **Introduction Item:** Undergraduate Program Name Change-Minor in Manufacturing to Minor in Advanced Manufacturing

Guest Presenter: Dr. Gregory Watkins, MMEM Department Chair

Gregory Watkins started by saying in Spring 2020 a proposal came through the EPPC and Senate for the major. We did a major revision of the major from “Sustainable Manufacturing and refiguring and reimaging that program as “Advanced Manufacturing and Applied Robotics” and this minor is part of this major. We kind of realized after that we really ought to change the name of the minor instead of it just being the Minor in Manufacturing that it should track the name of the major a little better. So, we are proposing the Minor in Advanced Manufacturing. Before somebody asks why isn't the minor in Advanced Manufacturing and Applied Robotics? The duplicate of that name is if you dig into the weeds of the minor. There are four different ways to get the minor, four different collections of courses. Some of those collections include robotics courses, and some do not. So, it is possible to get this minor without exposure to robotics. So, we felt putting robotics in the name would not be appropriate. And so, it's just more like a generic Advanced Manufacturing. And that's all we're doing today is just adding the word “Advanced” to the title of the minor.

There were no questions.

Vote: YES: 18  
NO: 0

The introduction item was approved. The proposal will be brought back next week as an action item.

### **3. Introduction Item:** Undergraduate Program Name Change-Minor in California Studies to Minor in California: People, Places and Cultures

Guest Presenter: Dr. Diana Flannery, Program Coordinator of Social Science

Dean Eddie Vela presented the proposal.

Dean Eddie Vela started by saying the Social Science program was trying to play nice with CAB and when CAB were coming up with their names for their GE pathways. One of the names that they wanted to use was the name already in use by Social Science program. They've had a Minor in California Studies for many years. And so, Jason Nice reached out to us and others about the use of that name. There's a problem to have a Minor in California Studies and there is a pathway, exactly the same thing. The original proposed name for the GE pathway was California People, Places and Cultures. They decided that they wanted to do California Studies. So, we thought that they can have our name. And we like their original proposed name for the GE pathway. So, if there's no objection, we'd like to take that name. And so, there was sort of a swapping basically. And Social Sciences tried to play nice with GE, and it seems pretty straightforward, and the proposed name change to California People, Places and Cultures. That is not inconsistent with the coursework that offered in the minor.

There was a concern on getting students confused between the GE Minor in California Studies and the Minor within the Social Science.

- The answer was CAB advisors and other advisors for the Social Science Minor can take care of those confusions in the regular advising process.
- It was added that the official name of the GE Minor will be the "GE Minor in California Studies", it will include that GE reference in the title of the minor.

Vote: YES: 19  
NO: 0

The introduction item was approved. The proposal will be brought back next week as an action item.

### **Discussion Items:**

#### Call for Cross-Listing Protocol Subcommittee

- Holly Kralj said I have been asked to create a subcommittee to develop a cross-listing course policy. We have some kind of protocols in place at the university, but they aren't apparently formalized in the way that would be advantageous for us with some of the new ethnic studies, proposals and different GE changes. It would be very beneficial for everybody involved to have clarity regarding cross listing. A number of links to other CSU policies have been shared, just to take a look at the different options that people have already done and then craft something that would work well for us. Membership of the committee must include the Vice Provost of academic programs and the university curriculum coordinator.
- Rick Ford said I'm happy to work on it, as long as I'm not the only one. And I see plenty of qualified folks to look at this. And I do think that one of these policies could be copied

and then tweaked a little bit and that might be the seeds of starting it. To clarify is the charge of this committee to draft an EM.

- Holly Kralj said my understanding is to draft an EM. The goal would be to just kind of more formalized what the Cross-Listing Policy is for the university.
- Chiara Ferrari said a few years ago, there was a co-teaching initiative that was sponsored by the Provost, and I know that this is slightly different, but I wonder if part of the conversation about cross-listing courses can include not just cross-listing, but co-teaching. So, one of the issues for the cross-listing and the co-teaching is usually how do we organize it? How do we organize space? If that's part of the conversation, I probably would be very interested in being part of it. There are some resources about this online. I can find them and share them in the chat, but there were a lot of conversations about possibilities for co-teaching, possibility of cross-listing, the benefits for curriculum, the benefits that could potentially also come from interdisciplinary research that arises from these collaborations. So, I'm going to look for those resources.
- Rick Ford, Chiara Ferrari, Holly Kralj, Daniel Grassian, Nicol Gray, and Eddie Vela will be on this subcommittee.

## 5. Announcements & Other

Need for UWC Representative for rest of the semester

- Holly Kralj said that we need a person to represent EPPC on UWC for the rest of the semester because the current representative, Ted Geier, is going to be out this semester.
- It was mentioned that this representative should write a report to EPPC. Think of that as a summary of the work that was done within the committee rather than taking minutes. Therefore, it is not something that should contain a lot of details, more like a summary report or like a general overview of the work of the committee.
- George Thompson volunteered to represent EPPC on the University Writing Committee.

Announcements from Chiara Ferrari:

- There is an extended call for faculty learning community. We have an open call for a closing the equity gaps, FLC.

Announcements from Rick Ford:

- Update and a question for the body here regarding AB 928. The Statewide Academic Senate has now reached out to all of the Senate chairs and provided links to the chairs regarding a feedback portal for individual faculty and others to provide their structured feedback. The new law requires a singular pathway to be developed by the joint academic leadership of the community colleges, the UC and CSU. I guess is charged in the law with developing a singular pathway that will be the only pathway for completion and admission into either the UC or the CSU. So that's the quick reminder of what that's all about. And so, we're seeking feedback on the critical components of that pathway that need to be preserved. And, and to maybe give you a little more background I provided a link in the chat that takes you or should take you to a page that shows you what I get C looks like now. And I guess a is the starting point for building the singular pathway. And my position on this is that collective input is more unbiased and potentially more

powerful than individual input. And so, I would encourage the body to entertain that notion of potentially creating a subcommittee that would work on a recommendation that would go to the Statewide Academic Senate. Then, that would go into the campus feedback, which will have a folder in a box at the Statewide Academic Senate that all of that feedback from all the 23 campuses are going to go into.

- It was suggested to put it on the agenda again next week.

Announcements from Holly Kralj:

- I would like to take a moment and recognize and introduce James Lawrence. He is new EPPC member.

## **6. Adjourn**

Adjournment at 3:27 pm.