

Faculty and Student Policies Committee

Minutes

For FASP meeting on April 28,

2016

California State University,

Chico Academic Senate, Zip

020 530.898-6201

Present: Meadows, Sistrunk, Thompson (Shepard), Schulte, Traver, Parsons, O'Donnell, Zartman, Livingston, Ratekin, Wilking McLemore, Scholz, Blakeslee, Parsons, Hennessy

Call to Order: 2:30 pm, Kendall Hall rm 209, Chair Meadows presiding.
Secretary for today's meeting is Maris Thompson (Jodi Shepard)

1. Approved minutes of 4-7-2016
2. Approved today's agenda
3. Announcements: Brief discussion on e-portfolio application deadline to pilot e-, Charrette on 5/12 to learn more, Also on 5/12 Media Art students screening at 7 pm, PAC 144, Students for Quality Ed on 5/2 12-2 in Trinity Commons holding a protest/funeral for the demise of the Master Plan reminders for climate survey ends 4/29
4. Revision to EM 12-025 Policy on Campus Behavior and Violence Prevention – Introduction Item. Sistrunk introduced the work of the group over the year and the major areas of revision. Discussion on whether this EM and an EO (1096-97-98) are in conflict. Discussion about the degree to which EMs have authority in light of EOs and to what degree they should be consulting with Eos. A suggestion that a member of FASP be part of the organization process with the Chief of Staff. A motion to be sent back to the subcommittee to better coordinate with the EO. Seconded. Motion carries.
5. Proposed EM on Workplace Abusive Conduct and Bullying – Introduction Item. Sistrunk introduced the work of the group over the year and the major areas of revision. A suggestion to add language to the first paragraph, at end of first sentence, that refers to EO 1096-97-98. A suggestion to add “one or more of the following” to the definition of bullying. Further discussion about the 60 day timeline, and interest in the language within DTSS: Preventing Discrimination and Harassment training. Passes introduction.
6. USET Committee Report. Meadows gave background to the work. Ratekin asked a question about what problem this work was intended to address. Discussion involved response rate concerns for junior faculty, differences in qualitative data if students access by phone, evaluations for departments that have supervisors for clinical experience.
7. Proposed language pre-set delivery – Discussion Item. Meadows asked for feedback on the voluntary statement that faculty can read to students about the value of SETs. Feedback was positive.
8. Subcommittee Discussion/Reports
 - a. Internship Policy. Mike Rehg absent so no report.
9. Other. A question about the role of the Equity Council.

10. Adjourn