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LAW ENFORCEMENT CODE OF ETHICS

As a Law Enforcement Officer, my fundamental duty is to serve mankind; to safeguard lives and property; to protect the innocent against deception, the weak against oppression or intimidation, and the peaceful against violence of disorder; and to respect the Constitutional rights of all men to liberty, equality and justice.

I WILL keep my private life unsullied as an example to all; maintain courageous calm in the face of danger, scorn, or ridicule; develop self-restraint; and be constantly mindful of the welfare of others. Honest in thought and deed in both my personal and official life, I will be exemplary in obeying the laws of the land and the regulations of my department. Whatever I see or hear of a confidential nature or that is confided to me in my official capacity will be kept ever secret unless revelation is necessary in the performance of my duty.

I WILL never act officiously or permit personal feelings, prejudices, animosities of friendships to influence my decisions. With no compromise for crime and with relentless prosecution of criminals, I will enforce the law courteously and appropriately without fear or favor, malice or ill will, never employing unnecessary force or violence and never accepting gratuities.

I RECOGNIZE the badge of my office as a symbol of public faith, and I accept it as a public trust to be held so long as I am true to the ethics of the police service. I will constantly strive to achieve these objectives and ideals, dedicating myself before God to my chosen profession... law enforcement.
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LAW ENFORCEMENT CODE OF ETHICS

As a Law Enforcement Officer, my fundamental duty is to serve mankind; to safeguard lives and property; to protect the innocent against deception, the weak against oppression or intimidation, and the peaceful against violence of disorder; and to respect the Constitutional rights of all men to liberty, equality and justice.

I will keep my private life unsullied as an example to all; maintain courageous calm in the face of danger, scorn, or ridicule; develop self-restraint; and be constantly mindful of the welfare of others. Honest in thought and deed in both my personal and official life, I will be exemplary in obeying the laws of the land and the regulations of my department. Whatever I see or hear of a confidential nature or that is confided to me in my official capacity will be kept ever secret unless revelation is necessary in the performance of my duty.

I will never act officiously or permit personal feelings, prejudices, animosities of friendships to influence my decisions. With no compromise for crime and with relentless prosecution of criminals, I will enforce the law courteously and appropriately without fear or favor, malice or ill will, never employing unnecessary force or violence and never accepting gratuities.

I recognize the badge of my office as a symbol of public faith, and I accept it as a public trust to be held so long as I am true to the ethics of the police service. I will constantly strive to achieve these objectives and ideals, dedicating myself before God to my chosen profession... law enforcement.
PART I

Program Orientation
FIELD TRAINING OVERVIEW

Field training is intended to facilitate a peace officer’s transition from the academic setting to the performance of general law enforcement uniformed, patrol duties of the CSU, Chico Police Department. Although an officer graduating from the POST Regular Basic Course (Academy) has received a thorough introduction to basic law enforcement subjects, that officer cannot be expected to immediately assume the full responsibilities of an experienced officer. Newly assigned officers must receive additional training in the field, on actual calls for service, where they can learn from officers who already have practical patrol experience. Field training introduces a newly assigned officer to the personnel, procedures, policies, and purposes of the individual law enforcement department and provides the initial formal and informal training specific to the CSU, Chico Police Department and the day-to-day duties of its officers.

In order to make the new officers’ field training as effective as possible, they are assigned to a Field Training Officer (FTO). The FTO is an experienced officer selected and trained to conduct this type of training. It is the responsibility of the FTO to thoroughly review the field training program guide materials with the newly assigned officer (henceforth referred to as the trainee) and to demonstrate proper patrol procedures. Trainees will be required to perform various law enforcement duties under the guidance and supervision of their assigned FTO and a Field Training Program Supervisor/Administrator/Coordinator (FTP SAC). The trainee’s performance will be evaluated by the FTO and monitored by the FTP SAC through daily and/or weekly reviews. This one-on-one style of training, in actual law enforcement situations, sets it apart from any prior academic endeavor.

Field training has a significant impact on the individual trainee in terms of imprinting attitudes, style, values, and ethics in carrying out the duties of policing that will remain with the officer throughout a career. Because of this, it is probably the most effective influence on the future direction of a department. The law enforcement department head and his/her field training staff must be certain that their field training program not only develops the necessary technical skills, but also reflects the policing philosophy of the department and the community that it serves.
The field training staff has the responsibility of building the future of the department through the people they train. The field training program must have a training philosophy that ensures that each trainee is given the maximum opportunity to show that he/she can do the job. To accomplish this, the program must create a positive environment in which learning is maximized and in which trainees are able to perform to the best of their ability. The approach must be fair, firm, friendly, and, above all, professional. The example set must be beyond reproach. Evaluation must be sincere and given in a straightforward manner, emphasizing the positive as well as the negative aspects of performance. At no time should trainees be demeaned or ridiculed. Trainees should never be treated in a way that deprives them of their dignity. Every effort must be made to ensure that the stress felt by the trainee is caused by the job and not from the words or actions of the field trainers.

Department leaders and field training program staff have a responsibility to the community they serve. This responsibility requires that the department train and retain only the most competent officers. Not everyone has the capability to perform the complex, demanding tasks of the patrol officer position. If, after sufficient training, the trainee does not meet the acceptable standards, he/she must be removed from patrol duties. To do otherwise would be an injustice to the department, the community it serves, and to the trainee.

To accomplish the field training task requires the utmost dedication and patience throughout the department. All levels must support the training mission and accommodate training needs. The future of the department rests in the implementation of a well organized and administered field training program. Support of the program and the program staff will result in successful trainees who can perform the duties of a patrol officer in a safe, effective, and competent manner.

CSU, Chico Police Department’s Role/Expectations of Field Training Program

The CSU, Chico Police Department Field Training Program and the collaborative field training regulations are intended to support a competency-based training system. Trainees need to develop competencies relevant to their position as new patrol officers. The program helps trainees achieve specific objectives in order to be successful in their new organizational role and to develop skills, knowledge, abilities, and attitudes at a personal and professional level. In this program, competency includes behaviors that demonstrate effective (acceptable) or superior performance. These behaviors may not always include specific knowledge (i.e., exact penal code references) but do include learned or practical experience, or the behavioral application of knowledge that produces a successful result. Competencies are not necessarily specific skills but, rather, the application of skills that produces a successful result.
As shown above, competencies have several components. Many of these are addressed in the hiring process; however, it is usually only in the field training program, when these components actually have to work and come together, that potential success and true competence is revealed. The field training program staff has the responsibility to evaluate the competence and the success of each trainee. The future of the department rests in their hands.
FIELD TRAINING
PROGRAM ELEMENTS

The CSU, Chico Police Department’s Field Training Program Guide has been designed based upon research and input from numerous law enforcement departments throughout California and the nation. POST’s regulatory standards and requirements for program approval are incorporated into these elements.

Scope of the Program

The CSU, Chico Police Department’s Field Training Program is designed to be completed by peace officers who have successfully completed the POST Regular Basic Course (Academy) and have been assigned to perform general law enforcement uniformed patrol duties. POST regulations exempt lateral officers who have a POST Basic Certificate and one year prior solo patrol experience; however, a structured training program is highly recommended to introduce new officers to the department’s philosophies, procedures, and community services.

This program also meets the 400-hour field training requirement for Level 1 Reserves. Another POST exemption allows department heads to hire their own Level 1 Reserves if the officer: 1) is appointed to a full-time peace officer position within the same department and previously completed the department’s entire POST-approved Field Training Program within 12 months of the new appointment, or 2) has the signed concurrence of the department head attesting to the individual’s competence, based upon experience and/or other field training, as a solo general law enforcement uniformed patrol officer.

These requirements and/or exemptions can be reported by the department head when applying for POST approval of their field training program on POST form 2-229.

Length of the Program

POST-Approved Field Training Programs must minimally be 10 weeks long. The CSU, Chico Police Department’s Field Training Program Guide is presented in such a way as to provide maximum flexibility in the time required to present its objectives. Research and experience in presenting similar programs have shown that a minimum of 10 weeks is required to provide a trainee time to become minimally proficient in general law enforcement, uniformed patrol duties to the extent that he/she can operate independently of a field training officer. Most California programs are between 12 to 16 weeks.
This period allows sufficient time for the FTO and Field Training Program Supervisor/Administrator/Coordinator (FTP SAC) to provide further department-specific training, guidance, and evaluation to the trainee. It is incumbent upon the field training staff to work, within acceptable limits, to individualize a training approach for each trainee. **Trainees need time to learn.** Department administrators with input from their field training staff should establish a set time period for their field training program, based on department needs and philosophy, before they consider a recommendation to release a trainee from the program. CSU, Chico Police Department’s Field Training Program is a 16 week Field Training Program. This time frame can be shortened or extended by the Field Training Program Supervisor/Administrator/Coordinator (FTP SAC) as outlined in the Remedial Extensions section. However, the Field Training Program will never be shortened below the minimum 10 weeks for a non-lateral trainee.

**Orientation**

The field training program shall begin with an orientation period of two weeks. This orientation allows for a smooth transition from the academy, or prior department, to the field training program. The trainee’s first few days in the field training program may prove to be the most critical in terms of “setting the stage” for trainee learning and development.

When possible, CSU, Chico Police Department’s Field Training Program will establish an initial classroom setting under the direction of the FTP SAC. The purpose of this assignment is to address performance objectives or agency-specific needs more appropriate for a classroom setting. This orientation must include firearms and impact weapons qualification as well as trainee-demonstrated proficiency in arrest and control techniques. The introduction to the field training program should also include a discussion of goals of the program, the procedures by which those goals are met, and what is expected of the trainee in order to attain those goals.

Orientation should provide a familiarization with the California State University Chico, City of Chico, the County of Butte and the department’s personnel and equipment. This orientation period is **not** evaluated. The goal of this orientation is to give trainees a solid foundation from which they can actively enter into the program.

**Standardized/Phase Training**

In order to maintain uniformity, a concentrated effort must be made to standardize certain aspects of field training that fall within each topic/area of performance skills. FTOs must have confidence in the training that has preceded
their segment of training. Without standardized training, the second FTO (or third, or fourth, etc.) is evaluating the trainee not only on the trainee’s shortcomings but on the training deficiencies of the other FTO(s) as well. Training must take place before evaluation and must be uniform if the evaluation is to be valid.

In following the CSU, Chico Police Department’s Field Training Program Guide’s structured learning content (topical areas of instruction and performance objectives), problems that arise from inconsistent training will be minimized and it will ensure maximum uniformity in the training process. A fundamental element of the field training program is phase training. Phase training is designed to provide the following:

1. a systematic approach to field training,
2. consistent and standardized training,
3. the means of assuring the trainee’s capability to perform competently as a solo patrol officer, and
4. the opportunity to train with various FTOs and be exposed to their methods and techniques while operating within standardized guidelines.

During each phase, the trainee will complete a portion of the program, including specific performance objectives, designed to ensure that the trainee has learned specific skills. The CSU, Chico Police Department’s Field Training Program, is divided into four phases.

**Phase I** is the introductory phase. It consists of the orientation period (of two weeks) followed by four weeks of instruction and training. During this time, the trainee will be taught certain basic skills. These include officer safety and other areas of potential liability to the organization and the trainee. FTOs assigned to Phase I responsibilities are identified as the “Primary FTO” (Occasionally, Primary FTOs are assigned, as they may be the best prepared to deal with what is believed to be the trainee’s biggest challenge, based on the information available). The important elements of this phase are the molding of the trainee’s attitude toward the experienced officers and making it clear that the program is not “just something else they have to get through.” The FTO’s function as a role model is particularly important here. The trainee’s ultimate success may hinge on his/her attitude toward the training program and on the image projected by the FTO.

**Phase II** is somewhat more complex than the first phase and is the phase where trainees become more adept with their new role. During this phase, it is expected trainees will begin handling calls for service with less input required
from their FTO. They should begin to master the skills at hand. The FTO must acknowledge the trainee’s growing assertiveness and remain constantly aware of and monitor the workload, guarding against under or over loading, to ensure a proper learning environment. This phase is four weeks in length.

**Phase III** is the last phase of formal training. Trainees will be expected to handle all patrol details, except those they have not yet been exposed to, without assistance. They should be initiating all patrol activities on their own. During Phase III, training continues to a lesser extent in an environment where critical evaluation takes on ever increasing importance. This is also an opportunity for the FTO to review those tasks previously accomplished and to be sure the trainee is prepared for the final phase. This phase is four weeks in length.

**Phase IV** is the test phase. It is predominantly an evaluation-only phase. It consists of two weeks of observed patrol activity. The training guide (and all performance objectives) should have been completed prior to the trainee’s entry into this phase. An important aspect of this phase is the trainee’s return to his or her Primary FTO for evaluation. This is done so that the FTO who originally observed the trainee will be able to evaluate the final product and compare performance levels. To ensure the trainee acts as the lead officer during this phase, the primary FTO should observe the actions of the trainee from a “ride- along” position while wearing plain clothes. The FTO will not take any action except in instances where his/her intervention is necessary. This FTO intervention should occur under the following circumstances:

1. **Officer Safety** – If the actions of the trainee constitute a hazard or potentially dangerous situation to officers or citizens, the FTO must take whatever action is necessary to reduce the hazard and ensure proper safety practices are followed.
2. **Illegal and Unethical Activity** – The FTO must ensure that the trainee’s actions are legal and ethical at all times. Neither of these conditions shall be sacrificed for training purposes.
3. **Embarrassment to a Citizen, the Department, or the FTO** – The FTO must not allow an incident to get to the point where the trainee embarrasses or brings discredit to a citizen, the Department, the FTO, or himself/herself at any time.

If it is determined the trainee has demonstrated a pattern of difficulty or an inability to perform to the established standards of achievement in any phase, he/she should either receive an extension of training, be given a remedial training assignment or “contract”, or be terminated from the program. A phase training overview is provided in Figure 1.
Standardization and consistency of phase training are essential to the success of any field training program. Standardized training provides for uniform application of policy, procedure, and law throughout the department. Consistency in training ensures fair and impartial treatment of all trainees.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase I</th>
<th>Phase II</th>
<th>Phase III</th>
<th>Phase IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FTO &quot;A&quot;</td>
<td>FTO &quot;B&quot;</td>
<td>FTO &quot;C&quot;</td>
<td>FTO &quot;A&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIMARY FTO</td>
<td>PRIMARY FTO</td>
<td>PRIMARY FTO</td>
<td>PRIMARY FTO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Orientation</td>
<td>• Daily Evaluations</td>
<td>• Daily Evaluations</td>
<td>• Daily Evaluations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(no evaluation)</td>
<td>• Weekly Progress Reports</td>
<td>• Weekly Progress Reports</td>
<td>• Weekly Progress Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Daily Evaluations</td>
<td>• Weekly Progress Reports</td>
<td>• Weekly Progress Reports</td>
<td>• Weekly Progress Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Weekly Progress Reports</td>
<td>• End of Phase Report</td>
<td>• End of Phase Report</td>
<td>• End of Phase Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 weeks in duration</td>
<td>4 weeks in duration</td>
<td>4 weeks in duration</td>
<td>2 weeks in duration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rotating Trainers/Trainees**

Whenever possible, the department’s field training program should be separated into a set of phases or evaluation periods encompassing a certain number of weeks and certain topics/areas of instruction. When a phase has been completed, the FTP SAC should assign the trainee to another FTO and, if possible, to another shift. The assignment of a different FTO will expose the trainee to a variation of training styles and personal techniques to the job. Trainees who are having difficulty in the program will sometimes improve their performance significantly after such a change. The FTP SAC should attempt to match training difficulties of the trainee with specific expertise of an FTO (e.g., a trainee having report writing difficulties should be assigned to an FTO who is an exemplary report writer, or a trainee who needs exposure to enforcement activity, should be assigned to the area or shift with the highest number of calls for service). If the department can provide FTOs on each shift, trainees should be rotated to a different shift for at least one evaluation period to provide exposure to the variation of responses that are appropriate at different times of the day.
Evaluation Frequency

Each trainee’s progress, as he/she proceeds through the field training program, is recorded by means of written evaluations. The evaluation process is as important as the training process. One without the other would make the learning process unachievable. Evaluations have many purposes. The obvious is to document a trainee’s progress, but there are other purposes as well. Evaluations are excellent tools for informing trainees of their performance level. They are also used for identifying training needs and documenting training efforts. Further, they chronicle the skills and efforts of the trainers. In essence, evaluation represents feedback on many aspects of the program.

Evaluation should be immediate, consistent, and fair. POST field training regulations require that evaluation come in several ways from several levels of involvement in the field training program. FTOs are expected to complete Daily Observation Reports (DORs), and End of Phase Reports on each trainee. Shift Supervisors (Sgts) are expected to review and sign each DOR and complete their own Supervisor’s Weekly Report (SWR). The FTP SACs are expected to review and sign each DOR and keep the Chief of Police apprised of the trainee’s progress. Collectively, over the duration of the program, these written evaluations relate a chronological story of performance. These evaluations describe the trainee’s successes, failures, improvements, digressions, and attempts to manage each of these occurrences. Honest and objective evaluations of trainees must be a prime consideration of all members of the field training staff. Part II of this guide contains more information on evaluation.

Organizational Structure/Chain of Command

CSU, Chico Police Department’s Field Training Programs is administered/supervised by the patrol division. This includes the selection, training, and daily supervision of the FTOs, as well as the day-to-day operation of the program. The Chief of Police will assign at least one FTP SAC to coordinate tasks such as trainee/FTO assignments, remediation, review of the DORs and other weekly and end of phase reports. Patrol provides the framework and virtually all of the opportunity for trainees to apply the skills they learned in the academy. Patrol also has a chain of command that can be adapted to administering a field training program. The patrol division can effectively handle administration of the field training program as long as there is communication with other interested divisions (i.e., personnel, training, etc.) and the FTP SAC has time to manage the program. Figure 2 represents a patrol supervised chain of command for the field training program.
In some departments, another division or service bureau may oversee the field training program. Regardless of the bureau or division assigned to manage the program, a chain of command must exist for the field training program. This chain of command is to be adhered to as long as the business being conducted relates to the field training program and its goals. There may be times when the program administrator or a field training sergeant is not available. In this case, a departure from this procedure is allowable if a matter of urgency exists and action must be taken immediately. In most cases, however, time is not a factor and the chain of command should be followed.

It is important that each member of the field training program staff have a sense of organizational loyalty. As information flows up and down the chain of command, decisions get made and the program runs smoothly. Decisions made at an inappropriate level may interfere with program staff and department goals and create feelings of anxiety among the staff as well as with the trainees. The field training program staff operates as a team and, consequently, decisions made affect every member of that team. Decisions made at the proper level, with sufficient input, benefit all.

**Program Staff/Personnel Training**

Glenn Kaminsky, one of the founding fathers of the field training concept, states in *The Field Training Concept in Criminal Justice Agencies*, 2002, that “everyone must understand all the aspects of the field training program. Everyone must be on the same page. Only one path leads to success in the implementation of field training, and that path is training...for all.”
POST, with input from field training participants and experts throughout the state, has established minimum training requirements for field training program staff that have the most influence over and the most direct responsibility for trainees—the FTP SAC and the FTOs.

The FTP SAC training requirement states that every peace officer promoted, appointed, or transferred to a supervisory or management position overseeing a field training program shall successfully complete a POST-certified Field Training Supervisor/Administrator/Coordinator (SAC) Course prior to or within 12 months of the initial promotion, appointment, or transfer to such a position. Departments in the POST program are only required to appoint one SAC; however, it is recommended that any and all officers with direct day-to-day responsibility over FTOs and trainees attend the SAC Course.

The Chief of Police may appoint the FTP SAC. The FTP SAC shall attend the POST-certified Field Training Supervisor/Administrator/Coordinator (SAC) Course prior to or within 12 months of the initial promotion, appointment, or transfer to the position.

FTOs must successfully complete a POST-certified Field Training Officer Course prior to training new officers and complete 24 hours of update training every three years. This update training can be satisfied by completing a POST-certified Field Training Officer Update Course or by completing 24 hours of department-specific training in the same field training topics contained in the Field Training Officer Update Course.

Every reassigned FTO, after a three year-or-longer break in service as a FTO, must successfully complete a POST-certified Field Training Officer Update Course prior to training new officers and then complete the same 24 hours of update training, described above, while they remain in the FTO assignment.

Special Assignments

As a rule, trainees should be under the direct and immediate supervision (physical presence) of a qualified field training officer throughout the program. However, field training can be significantly enhanced by an experience that is not included in the training guide. If a department has the resources, assignments can be made for brief periods to allow the trainee to work with another senior officer (non-FTO) or civilian (non-law enforcement duties) on special investigations or in specialized training areas (i.e., field evidence technician, criminal investigation, narcotics, etc.). A few hours spent in the communications center or at the patrol information counter can also be productive. Special occurrences, such as a mutual aid request for a demonstration or anticipated civil disobedience, or a request for added manpower at a department-involved event, should be met by assigning the
trainee(s) as a group and with as much supervision as practical. These assignments must have the prior approval of the FTP SAC whenever possible.

At no time should another officer (or civilian) who has not attended a POST-certified Field Training Officer Course evaluate a trainee. However, documentation of the special assignment as well as significant training or action that occurred is recommended. This documentation should be provided on the DOR narrative continuation page. The officer, detective, dispatcher, or civilian to whom the trainee was assigned should write a brief narrative of the assignment and any significant training and/or performance that was accomplished. This action can also be followed if the FTO misses a shift due to illness, court, etc., and another employee provided training and/or supervision. Again, these assignments must have the prior approval of the FTP SAC whenever possible.

Remedial Extension(s)

As mentioned before, a program length of 16 weeks is used in the CSU, Chico Police Department Field Training Program (POST minimum is 10 weeks). It should be understood, however, that situations might occur which make it difficult to always adhere to a set time limit. These situations may have their source in the trainee’s performance; other times they are administrative in nature. For whatever reason(s) they occur, trainees must be given a fair opportunity to prove themselves.

Trainees may have their field training extended to allow them sufficient time to master complex tasks. This is not a guarantee that every trainee has the right to an extension. The decision to extend shall be that of the FTP SAC and is usually made before the trainee enters Phase IV (the final phase). This decision should be based on a review of performance and other information available as well as the recommendations of the FTOs and program staff. The extension provides an opportunity to have any diagnosed and documented problems remediated.

An extension in the field training program may be handled several ways. The trainee may continue to work with the same FTO or may be assigned to a different FTO on any of the available shifts. A decision may even be made to utilize an outside resource. The field training extension should be tailored to fit the needs of the trainee. This is a difficult time for the trainee and a time when he or she might “give up.” It is the FTO’s responsibility to see that the extension is viewed from a positive perspective and as a strategy that will lead to success. The foundation for a decision to extend is whether or not the cause is viewed as something that can be corrected. Field training program extensions should occur infrequently and should not be granted by the program staff unless the probability of success is anticipated. Part II of this guide contains more information on the remediation process and remedial strategies.
Termination

The field training program is designed to develop competent solo patrol officers. This level of competence, unfortunately, is not always reached. Some trainees can perform many, but not all, of the tasks required of solo patrol officers, while still others are simply unable to deal with the stress of the job. Whatever the reason(s), some trainees will not be able to meet the performance standards of a competent solo patrol officer.

CSU, Police Department’s Field Training Program has a policy and/or procedure established to deal with these situations. CSU, Chico Police Department procedures include the following: If, during the field training program, it is concluded by consensus that a trainee should be recommended for termination, it then becomes necessary that all memoranda having bearing on an eventual decision be gathered. This documentation summarizing the trainee’s performance should include all evaluation instruments, remedial training assignment worksheets, and other written memos with conclusions and recommendations concerning retention or dismissal. It should reflect the writer’s (FTO and FTP SAC) point of view and not be influenced by others’ opinions, as well as reflect the positive and negative aspects of the trainee’s work.

The recommendation to the department head (or his/her designee) to terminate a trainee should be made only after all submitted reports are reviewed by the FTOs involved, the FTP SAC, and the training and patrol command staff. The trainee should be advised of the pending recommendation only after all the memoranda have been submitted through the chain of command to the department head. It should not be the FTO’s role to notify the trainee of his/her impending termination but that of the FTP SAC. The trainee should be given the right to speak to anyone he/she wishes in the chain of command. Many trainees will elect to resign prior to being terminated from the program. Even if the trainee resigns, all memoranda and other reports or evaluations should be completed and maintained in his/her file to document the field training performance.

FTO and Program Critique

An important element of running a consistent and successful field training program is the continuous evaluation of FTO performance and the relevance of the program itself. The FTP SAC has the responsibility to seek feedback from trainees who are participating in or who have completed the field training program. The feedback should encompass both the program and its FTOs.
CSU, Chico Police Department has written critique forms to assist in this process. Sample forms can be found in Appendices V and VI. Critique forms should be structured so the trainee is encouraged to offer candid opinions, concerning the training program and the FTO's performance as an instructor. Critiques completed by the trainees offer insights into the training ability of particular FTOs and an overall assessment of the effectiveness of the field training program from the perspective of the trainee. To the extent possible, the FTP SAC should maintain trainee confidentiality and any information provided from the critiques to program staff should be in the form of general training and improvement material. The FTP SAC must ensure that FTOs understand the purposes of the program critique/evaluation policy. The FTP SAC shall provide (at least annually) a detailed evaluation to each FTO on his/her performance as a Field Training Officer or provide information to the Supervisor conducting the Field Training Officer's yearly evaluation so that the information regarding his/her performance as a Field Training Officer can be incorporated into the yearly evaluation.

**Competency Attestation/Completion Record**

CSU, Chico Police Department shall document a trainee's successful completion of the Field Training Program per POST regulations. Usually at the end of the final evaluation phase, the final phase FTO will attest to the trainee's competence and successful completion of the field training program. A statement that releases the trainee from the program, with the signed concurrence of the department head, or his/her designee, shall be retained in department records. A sample Completion Record/Competency Attestation form can be found in Appendix VII.

**Documentation**

Throughout the program various forms and reports are necessary to ensure proper documentation of trainee performance. Samples of all of the forms mentioned thus far can be found in the Appendices of this guide. The structure of each form is designed to facilitate the training function and/or assist in evaluation. Retention of these forms and any other field training records shall be based upon department record policies.

**Field Training Staff Meetings**

At or near the end of each phase, a meeting should be scheduled for all FTOs who have, or are about to receive, a trainee. The involved FTP SAC should also attend. The purpose of these meetings is to review the progress of each trainee and pass on information relative to special training problems and remediation efforts. The FTP SAC is afforded the opportunity to review drafts of the End of
Phase Reports (EPR) and see that they are consistent with what the FTOs are reporting at the meeting. A requirement that the evaluation(s) be submitted on or before the final day of the phase, or at the meeting, will improve the turnaround time for presenting the evaluation to the trainee in a timely manner.

The field training staff should meet at least once a year, preferably quarterly, for additional training, information and ideology exchange, and review of evaluation standards. This will allow the FTOs the opportunity to enhance the department’s standardization and consistency within the program. These meetings could also serve as one way to meet the POST requirement for FTO update training.

Field Training Program Revisions

The FTP SAC and Field Training Program Staff will review the Field Training Program structure, goals, policies, and related written materials annually. Any changes will be made in compliance with POST regulations.
Role/Expectations of Trainees

Role of the Trainee

The role of the field training program trainee is to demonstrate the ability to perform at a solo uniformed patrol officer level by the end of the program. This is the standard by which the trainee will be measured throughout the training program.

The trainee’s primary responsibility while assigned to the field training program is to devote his/her full attention and efforts toward successfully completing that program. This may be a very intense and stressful time in the trainee’s life. The field training program staff will make every effort to provide the tools necessary for the trainee to succeed in this task. Trainees must simply give their best effort each and every moment they are assigned to the program.

Expectations of Trainees

Trainees are to be respectful to their FTOs and other program staff. The FTO’s direction is to be accepted and followed at all times. If the trainee believes that a specific order is improper, or an evaluation is not fair, he/she should discuss it with the FTO. If the trainee is still unable to resolve the issue, the trainee should ask to meet with the FTP SAC. If the trainee still has a concern or problem, the trainee may ask the FTP SAC to set up a meeting with the commanding officer of the field training program. The FTP SAC shall notify the commanding officer, and a meeting shall be scheduled.

Trainees will complete all assignments in a prompt, timely manner. They will follow all policy and procedures as outlined in the department manuals.

Trainees should ask questions when they arise. FTOs are an information resource and trainees should not wait for the FTO to cover an area of concern they may have. Trainees are expected to make mistakes. They should not be overly concerned with errors when they are made. Instead they must channel their efforts into recognizing and correcting the error(s).

While off duty, trainees should not respond to police calls, nor should they conduct police investigations unless the situation is life threatening. Trainees should discuss these types of situations with their FTO and follow department policy when dealing with off-duty situations.
Trainees will receive evaluations (Daily Observation Reports, Supervisor Weekly Reports, and End of Phase Reports). Trainees should use these forms to track their progress and to help identify any areas requiring additional effort on their part. Trainees should be open and honest during the review of these evaluations. Trainees shall be receptive to constructive criticism given by FTOs and field training program staff. They may verbalize an explanation for their action; however, repeated rationalization, excessive verbal contradictions, and hostility are not acceptable and are counter-productive to the field training program itself.

Trainees’ relationships with field training program staff, other trainees, and co-workers shall be respectful and strictly professional, both on and off duty, while they are in the training program. Dating and socializing shall be prohibited unless the relationship began before the trainee was hired or assigned and the department head or field training program commanding officer is aware of the relationship. Department policy regarding these issues should be fully explained and followed.
Role of the Field Training Officer

Field Training Officers (FTOs) have significant additional responsibilities over and above their law enforcement duties when assigned to train a new officer. In addition to performing in an exemplary manner, while trainees closely watch, FTOs must slow their pace to review the purpose and detail of every new encounter. FTOs must guide trainees through a comprehensive curriculum that requires the blending of knowledge and skills, and the good judgment of when, where, and how to apply them.

The essentials of the FTO’s role are that he/she applies the techniques of coaching by providing a role model to follow and giving encouragement and direction to the trainee to apply what has been taught. The FTO must follow that up by giving feedback on the trainee’s performance. It is important that this assessment have a positive impact on the performance of the trainee. The FTO’s appraisal of the trainee’s abilities should always be followed with positive reinforcement and encouragement to continue good performance or an adjustment of training techniques and methodologies to meet the needs of the trainee in rectifying any performance deficiencies.

The system that effectively identifies and selects qualified personnel to be FTOs will more often produce technically competent and active officers because patrol supervisors and commanders generally focus on these attributes and recommend officers who have them. It follows that the system will select FTOs who not only set very high standards for themselves but for the trainees as well. In discussing the role of the FTO, although high standards are desirable, the trainee must measure up to the standards that the department sets for the field training program, not higher standards set by the FTO.

FTOs must be flexible and able to change as the challenges change; otherwise, the trainee, the program, and the department will suffer. A bad FTO can disrupt the entire training process and potentially destroy the department. A great deal of trust and responsibility go with this assignment and good FTOs can make major positive impacts within their department.
Expectations of Field Training Officers

Teacher/Trainer

Any officer who becomes a Field Training Officer must have a passion for teaching. The most obvious function of the FTO is that of a teacher. In most cases, this teaching will occur on calls for service and during self-initiated activity. Other times teaching may occur over a cup of coffee or during casual conversation. Teaching may also occur in a formal classroom environment using lesson plans and audiovisual aids. FTOs are often selected for their subject matter expertise (formal training and education) and their practical experience. FTOs must understand the learning process and teaching methodologies and work hard to develop and maintain their skills. As teachers, FTOs should be willing to accept the responsibility for the progress of the trainee, or lack of it, until they can identify any other uncontrollable factors that are the cause of the trainee’s performance.

FTOs should recall how they felt when they began training and, consequently, they may appreciate the trainee’s state of mind. The trainee’s problems and fears can be dispelled by the FTO through a genuine display of concern about the trainee and his/her success in the program. The trainee should not be pampered but should be treated in a professional, realistic, objective, friendly, and empathetic manner.

FTOs should immediately establish a positive relationship with the trainee. There should be a clear understanding of the FTO role and the trainee role, and it should be explained to the trainee. The sooner trainees know what the training program expectations are, the less apprehensive and more responsive they will be.

It is incumbent upon the program staff and the FTO to work, within acceptable limits, to individualize a training approach for each trainee. Sufficient flexibility has been built into this field training program so that the individual needs of the trainee and the organization can both be met. It is expected that the trainee has the necessary qualities to succeed and, with effective training, he/she will successfully complete the field training program.

FTO training methods should be conducive to producing a successful trainee. Ineffective training methods can seriously alter a trainee’s self image. The use of loud, profane speech or humiliation tactics is not acceptable conduct. These methods do not contribute to the learning environment.

FTOs should reinforce positive attributes and accomplishments instead of downgrading weaknesses. Trainees respond more quickly to positive statements than to negative ones. Above all, within the limits of good judgment, FTOs
should use realistic and established training methods that are conducive to the trainee’s temperament, needs, and development as a patrol officer.

FTOs must conduct themselves in a professional manner at all times. They must teach and reinforce department policy and procedures. FTOs who focus on values and teach real life lessons will have a profound impact on the trainee’s success. They should remember that trainees will be a product of what they are taught and of the behavior that is demonstrated to them. FTOs should attempt to set the highest standards in all areas of their performance. FTOs with a true desire to teach are often more concerned about their contribution to the success of each trainee and the program than any compensation or recognition they might receive.

Role Model

FTOs must be positive role models! They must lead by example exhibiting integrity, honesty, and ethical behavior. Maintaining a professional demeanor and appearance; adhering to department rules and regulations; supporting the department’s vision, mission, and values; adhering to program guidelines in terms of policies and confidentiality; and having a positive attitude toward the department, the training program, the job, and the trainee accomplish the best aspects of role modeling. FTOs dedicated to the goals and success of the field training program will be respectful of, and respected by, trainees, peers/co-workers, and supervisors.

During the orientation process, and each time a trainee is introduced to a new FTO, the FTO should establish a friendly, open, and professional rapport with the trainee. Learning is enhanced through effective communication. Rapport is important to communication because trainees are not likely to share their ideas, questions, or feelings unless they feel their FTO is open or empathetic to them.

FTOs should also convey an attitude that trainees can succeed in the training program. Trainees are not likely to develop when they feel or are told that success is not possible. Trainees need to believe that their FTOs want them to succeed and that the FTOs will help them achieve success. There is nothing more disconcerting than facing a “stacked deck.” Everyone needs to know that they have a chance to succeed. FTOs should expect trainees to succeed.

It is particularly important that FTOs maintain a positive and objective attitude when assigned a trainee who has not performed well with another FTO. The subsequent FTO must give the trainee every opportunity to succeed in that: 1) the trainee should not be stereotyped or be discriminated against, and 2) judgments should be based on independent observations, not on the comments of others. It is entirely possible that the change of FTOs and the
application of a positive attitude by the subsequent FTO may be sufficient to elicit an acceptable performance from the trainee. The emphasis should be placed on developing a competent, proactive solo patrol officer, rather than on finding a way to discharge the trainee.

What FTOs expect from their trainees and how they (the trainees) are treated largely determines the trainees’ success in the program. Trainees, more often than not, perform at a level they believe is expected of them. The expectation of an event can actually make it happen in field training. FTOs cannot avoid the cycle of events that stem from low expectations by merely hiding their feelings toward the trainee. It is virtually impossible to do this in that messages are constantly being conveyed through actions, mannerisms, expressions, tone of voice, and omissions. FTOs will often communicate the most when they think they are communicating the least. To say nothing, for example, may be viewed as coldness, anger, or disinterest. What is critical in the communication of expectations is often not what the FTO says but how the FTO behaves.

The goals of the program, the department, the trainee, and the FTO can be simultaneously achieved through open, honest, professional, and positive attitudes.

Evaluator

FTOs are also expected to be evaluators. They must develop and use skills to determine if learning is occurring and whether or not remedial training is necessary. Evaluation skills are of primary importance to the field training program. FTOs must give critical feedback and clear direction to guide the trainee to an acceptable level of competence. If FTOs cannot evaluate, they cannot train. Evaluation is accomplished by the use of Daily Observation Reports, Standardized Evaluation Guidelines, Supervisors Weekly Reports, End of Phase Reports, and through the use of worksheets, remedial training, evaluation sessions, and verbal feedback. The principle element of effective evaluation is objectivity. Use of Standardized Evaluation Guidelines (SEGs) when completing the Daily Observation Reports (DORs) and frequent field training staff meetings, are several ways to ensure standardization of evaluations in the training program.

FTOs should not discuss their trainee’s progress with other department personnel, other than those who have a need and right to know. Supervisors involved in evaluations should ensure that positive as well as negative aspects of a trainee’s performance are discussed and documented. They should also ensure that the comments are based on direct observation and not on speculation.
FTOs are expected to exhibit evaluation skills that assess performance with fair and impartial feedback and that provide objective and honest documentation.

Leader

FTOs should exemplify the department’s vision, mission, and values in the program and the community. FTOs should share responsibility with their trainee, delegating through problem-solving, and training him/her to engage in pre-planning. The FTO must develop and utilize multiple resources.

FTOs are expected to take charge. They are often the most proactive officers in the department. They should motivate and support the trainee while holding him/her accountable for his/her own success in the training program. Trainees will want to succeed because of the FTO’s leadership.
Role/Expectations of the Field Training Program Supervisor/Administrator/Coordinator (SAC)

Role of the Field Training Program SAC

The role of the Field Training Program Supervisor/Administrator/Coordinator (FTP SAC) is to ensure that the standards and objectives of the department’s field training program are adhered to. To meet these requirements, the FTP SAC must monitor the training activities of the FTOs and seek periodic feed-back on the newly assigned officer’s training progress. In administering the program, the FTP SAC is responsible for ensuring that the department’s program is in compliance with the minimum standards established by POST. FTP SACs must be trained in the various components of the program and should have influence within the department. The FTP SAC is expected to protect and promote the department’s field training program through the following:

Expectations of the Field Training Program SAC

Observation

While it is not necessary to routinely respond to calls that are assigned to a training team, a FTP SAC should, in the course of his/her duties, observe the trainee perform. Since the FTP SAC is responsible for providing feedback to both team members, the interaction between the trainee and his/her FTO should also be observed.

Feedback

Direct feedback from a FTP SAC to the trainee can have a significant impact (sometimes officers can recall these incidents throughout their entire careers); therefore, it should be done judiciously. To praise a trainee, or both the trainee and the FTO, openly for an incident of good performance, will serve to positively reinforce the program. Negative comments on the trainee’s performance should be made to the FTO privately, while giving support to his/her role in bringing the trainee’s performance up to an acceptable level.

Counsel

Just as a FTP SAC would assess and guide officers in their other law enforcement duties, he/she must often counsel the FTO through the training
process. A personal style that the FTO has may have an adverse impact on trainees, or other issues such as a personal relationship, favors, or a serious conflict with a trainee must be detected and remedied.

Trainee Assignments

The FTP SAC should have an overview of the training progress of each trainee in the program and the assignment status of each FTO. To effectively manage trainee assignments requires planning and a working knowledge of vacation schedules, special assignments, or training courses that the FTOs could be assigned to during a training cycle/phase. The FTP SAC should also be in a position to cross administrative lines (shifts, platoons, etc.) for the purpose of making FTO/trainee assignments that meet the needs of the trainee. To maintain the integrity of the program, the assignment of trainees to FTOs should remain with the FTP SAC.

Extending/Terminating Trainees in the Program

Based on the recommendation of the FTO and a review of trainee performance and evaluation reports, the FTP SAC shall have the authority to extend field training for a trainee who is responding to remedial efforts. Conversely, the FTP SAC, in accordance with the department’s policy, should make a recommendation for termination of employment for a trainee who is not responding to remedial training efforts.

Program and FTO Evaluation

The FTP SAC has the responsibility to seek feedback from trainees who are participating in or who have completed the field training program. The feedback should encompass both the program and its FTOs. Meeting with the trainees and/or reviewing evaluation instruments can accomplish this. The FTP SAC must ensure that FTOs understand the FTO evaluation policy. The FTP SAC shall provide (at least annually) a detailed evaluation to each FTO on his/her performance as a Field Training Officer or provide information to the Supervisor conducting the Field Training Officer’s yearly evaluation so that the information regarding his/her performance as a Field Training Officer can be incorporated into the yearly evaluation.

FTO Selection/De-Selection

Selection, training, and supervision of FTOs are key elements to successful field training programs. FTP SACs are expected to develop, maintain, and oversee the selection process for FTOs in the program. Administrative guidelines should be established and set forth by the department in a general order or policy directive. Minimum qualifications and a department specific selection process
should be included in the directive. Details of how candidates are evaluated, selected, approved, and certified may also be included. The process of de-selection or decertification for FTOs who are reassigned, who no longer wish to participate in the program, or who have demonstrated unacceptable performance, as a trainer, should also be explained.

To be considered for a Field Training Officer position, the FTO candidate must have completed one year of patrol experience, possess a POST Basic Certificate, and prior to training recruits, must have completed a POST-Certified FTO Course. FTOs are required to attend 24-hours of update training every three years following completion of the Field Training Officer Course.

The CSU, Chico Police Department Field Training Officer selection process consists of a round table discussion. Police Sergeants who recommend candidates for FTO positions discuss possessed qualifications, test scores, experience and progress of each candidate, in order to recommend a list of candidates to the Chief of Police for selection.

FTOs may be de-selected from the Field Training Program due to poor performance. The procedures for de-selection shall include a memo from the FTP SAC documenting reasons such as poor performance, or resignation, to be routed through the chain of command, with the final decision resting with the Chief of Police.

Academy Liaison

In order to closely align field training with the Regular Basic Course (Academy), the FTP SAC should carefully analyze how both are organized, administered, and evaluated. A more detailed orientation may be required for departments that rely on regional training centers for new hires. Insight on special training needs of individual trainees can be gained by contacting academy staff.

Departments are encouraged to develop a system whereby FTOs and FTP SACs can monitor academy training techniques. This would be intended to ensure continuity and relevance between the academy and the department’s field training program.

POST also suggests that the FTP SAC establish liaisons with people involved in other aspects of the program and profession such as the D.A.’s Office, Parole, Probation, Public Works, Mental Health, etc. It serves as an invaluable resource to have an established liaison within each of these areas and more.
Evaluation, Documentation, and Remediation
EVALUATION

During the field training process, trainees must be guided, directed, and apprised of their progress through verbal and written feedback and evaluations. This guide provides several samples of written evaluations including Daily Observation Reports (DORs), Supervisor Weekly Reports (SWRs), and End of Phase Reports (EPRs) (Appendices I-III). Evaluations must be consistent, objective, and administered in a manner that promotes good performance and progress throughout the program. The performance objectives in the field training manual, the judgment used by the trainee, and the skills, knowledge, and competency demonstrated in performing the job-related duties of a uniformed patrol officer will serve as the basis for these evaluations.

The Process

Each trainee shall be evaluated in a number of categories which, when taken together, reflect the totality of the job for which the trainee was hired (this guide is designed to reflect general law enforcement uniformed patrol duties but could be modified to many other law enforcement jobs such as dispatching, custody, etc.). When possible, these categories should be rooted in a Job Task Analysis that has been completed specifically for the department. Job Task Analysis is the process of obtaining information about a job, and its requirements, in order to determine the knowledge, skills, behaviors, and attitudes that are required for satisfactory performance of the job in question. The CSU, Chico Police Department uses job task analysis information collected by POST. Research by POST and other law enforcement agencies has indicated that the key job task elements (competencies) for the patrol officer position are similar throughout the nation.

The evaluation procedure should be based on the behavioral anchor approach, which uses Behavior Anchored Ratings (BARs). Once the relevant job-related categories have been determined, the what to be evaluated has been identified.

How to rate these categories now becomes the issue. How is based upon the employee’s performance as measured against the department’s standards. CSU, Chico uses Standardized Evaluation Guidelines (SEGs). The SEGs have been established to ensure each FTO’s rating of a trainee will be equal and standard throughout the program. They are designed to provide a definition, in behavioral terms, of various levels of performance. The SEGs must be applied equally to all trainees, regardless of their experience, time in the program, or other incidental factors. Departments using a DOR should provide SEGs for every category listed on the face sheet of the DOR.
Because law enforcement has a wide variety of techniques and procedures, it becomes extremely important that standardization of performance appraisal occurs. *Proper* evaluation without standardization is not possible. In order to promote standardization of the evaluation process within the department, there is a need to articulate and document reference points. These reference points explain the rationale supporting the scores used by the department, such as “1” (Unacceptable), “4” (Acceptable), “7” (Superior), etc.

SEGs, evaluation “scales,” and the explanations for Unacceptable, Acceptable, Superior, Needs Improvement, and Competent may be modified to reflect the operational standards. The SEGs, evaluation “scales,” and such found in this guide may need to be modified to accurately reflect the levels of knowledge, skills, behaviors, and attitudes. Likewise, the categories listed on the Daily Observation Reports or Weekly Training Progress Reports may also be modified to reflect the “job”.

**Rating Behavior/Performance**

A written department standard or “scale” should accompany each category evaluated on the DOR or Weekly Training Progress Reports. CSU, Chico Police Department uses the “San Jose Model” which utilizes a 7-point rating scale. All trainees should be evaluated throughout the entire program utilizing the solo patrol officer standard as “acceptable” or “competent.”

The FTO’s role is to examine the trainee’s performance and choose the appropriate description as provided in the relevant SEG. The FTO selects the description that “fits” the behavior that they are evaluating; i.e., 1, 4, 7. Performance, however, does not always “fit” into the nice, neat rating box. A trainee’s performance may be somewhat better or worse than the rating descriptor. In these cases, where behavior is not “anchored” by the appropriate description, the FTO must select the score.

For example, in the 7-point rating scale there are behavioral descriptions found only at numbers “1”, “4”, and “7.” In the case of report writing, the “1” rating states the trainee takes three or more times the amount of time an experienced officer takes to complete a report. If the trainee takes perhaps only 2 times the amount of time, the FTO may choose to give him/her a “2” rating and/or if the trainee shows steady improvement to being able to complete a report in only about 15-20 minutes beyond the amount of time an experienced officer would take to complete the report, a “3” might be the appropriate rating. Even if FTOs have different opinions as to when to rate a behavior or performance a “2” or a “3”, the bottom line is that both ratings indicate a less than acceptable (competent) performance. The same logic would follow for “5” or “6” ratings as well.
Although this may appear subjective, most FTOs who have completed a POST-certified Field Training Officer Course will select one score over another because they are; 1) familiar with the job, 2) have been trained to know what is expected within their program, and 3) have the best perception of the trainee’s performance that day as well as his/her progress (or lack thereof) within the program.

The most difficult part of the evaluation process for FTOs is to surrender their own opinions of what the trainee’s performance should be. FTOs MUST rate the trainee pursuant to the language in the guidelines if the trainee’s performance is consistent with the language of that guideline. FTOs shall have no discretion in this matter. It is the only way that objective evaluations will be accomplished. If each evaluator (FTO) uses the same measuring device (SEGs), you should see the same results, the same scores.

**Common Performance Evaluation Errors**

If the objectivity of the evaluation process is called into question, it is most likely because one or more FTOs did not follow the guidelines or standards established by the department. It may be that one or more of the following “errors” entered into the evaluation process.

The **ERROR OF LENIENCY** occurs when the FTO assigns scores beyond those that are deserved. In a field training program, this often occurs because the FTO introduces the variable of “experience” or the amount of time the trainee has spent in the program. In other words, the FTO recognizes the performance as less than adequate but considers it “OK” given the amount of experience the trainee has had. The same performance, seen several weeks later, may result in the awarding of an “Unacceptable” score. If the performance does not change, the score should remain the same regardless of how long the employee has been in the program. Remember… All trainees shall be evaluated throughout the entire program utilizing the solo patrol officer standard as “acceptable” or “competent.”

The **ERROR OF PERSONAL BIAS** (also called the “Halo” or “Horns” effect) occurs when the FTO allows personal feelings about the employee to affect the ratings. Particular “likes” or “dislikes” limit appraisal objectivity. What is rated in the field training program is whether or not an individual can safely, effectively, and competently do the job as described...that’s all!

The **ERROR OF CENTRAL TENDENCY** is seen when the FTO routinely “bunches” scores toward the center of the rating scale. This error is often present in field training programs when departments using the numeric scale require written comments for scores of 1, 2, 6, and 7. Some FTOs, not wishing
to take the time to document, will assign scores of 3, 4, or 5 routinely to avoid the “mandatory” reporting rule. Central tendency errors also occur when the FTO does not give close attention to performance and, to be on the “safe side,” or to avoid any controversy, rates in the middle of the scale. CSU, Chico Police Department uses the numeric scale and only requires that scores of “1” and “7” be documented which allows for more latitude in the scoring.

The **ERROR OF RELATED TRAITS** happens when the FTO gives the same rating to traits that he/she considers related in some way. The value of rating each trait separately is lost and the overall rating loses specificity.

The **ERROR OF EVENT BIAS** comes into play when one or two traits (or a particular behavior) dominate the appraisal. The FTO may evaluate all remaining traits based on the dominant trait or performance. An outstanding bit of work or a severe mistake, not treated as an individual occurrence, may bring about the “Halo” or “Horns” effect.

**“NO ROOKIE EVER GETS A 7” (or Exceeds Standards, Superior, etc)** is a belief too often expressed. The SEGs and rating descriptions should be based on real life experiences and should not reflect artificial standards. While it may be difficult for many trainees to perform at a “Superior” level in a number of categories, that score could be attainable for some. There is no place for unrealistic expectations/goals in a job-related performance evaluation system.

The **ERROR OF “ROOM TO GROW”** occurs when the FTO, wanting to “motivate” the trainee to work harder, assigns a score less than what the trainee deserves. When a trainee fails to get the recognition that he/she deserves, there may be a loss, rather than a gain, in terms of motivation.

The **ERROR OF AVERAGING SCORES.** FTOs who assign a score based on an average of the trainee’s performance for the day have selected a score that is not accurate. For example, a trainee, stopping at thirty or more traffic lights during the day, goes through one without stopping. Some will say that “on the average” the trainee obeys traffic signals and an acceptable rating is given. It is not acceptable to go through a red light but the score suggests to the trainee that it is “OK.” Additionally, no one will know what the trainee did unless the FTO includes a written comment about the fault.

FTOs are often uncomfortable about giving an “Unacceptable” rating when a trainee has performed well in an area throughout the day with one or two exceptions. Objective evaluation requires that the FTO acknowledge the mistake(s) by assigning a score less than “Acceptable.” The FTO must give the trainee an “Unacceptable” rating in an area regardless of how minor or infrequent the mistake(s) when weighed against the trainee’s otherwise good performance. The FTO will mediate any hard feelings on the part of the trainee
by adding documentation that acknowledges the good performance as well as the mistake.

Finally, there are other errors that trainers must guard against. These are biases that have a tendency to influence us when rating the performance of another. Taking into account a trainee’s standing in the academy class; relationship to another member of the department; the presence or absence of educational achievement; age, gender, race or sexual orientation; physical appearance; etc., are only a few of a person’s characteristics that dilute objectivity. Performance-related evaluations tend to be more objective and to center on what the individual does rather than who the individual is. Employees want their performance, not their personality, discussed during a performance review. In this way, defensiveness on the part of the trainee will diminish, and the FTO will be able to avoid these common appraisal errors.

The only measure that FTOs should use when evaluating the behavior and performance of a trainee is the department’s Standardized Evaluation Guidelines.

**Evaluation Comments/Narratives/Documentation**

To make the most effective use of the narrative portions of written evaluations, it is important for the FTO to remember four “goals” of documentation. To provide meaningful evaluation, the documentation should be:

1. CLEAR
2. CONCISE
3. COMPLETE
4. CORRECT

The following suggestions will support the FTO in accomplishing the documentation goals.
1. **Set the stage.**
   Provide a description of the situation or conditions that are present when the trainee performs. This will allow the reader to more fully understand what occurred.

   *Example: The trainee, using excellent defensive driving techniques, brought an 80 mph, high-speed chase to a successful halt.*

2. **Use verbatim quotes.**
   It is sometimes clearer to report what was said rather than attempt to describe the effect of the words.

   *Example: The trainee, when logging an arrestee’s property and finding $535 in his wallet, remarked, “Where does a low life jerk like you get this much money?” This angered the arrestee and resulted in a physical confrontation.*

3. **Report the facts — avoid conclusions.**
   Report what occurred. Do not include your interpretation of why something occurred. In the example below, there are several possible reasons why the trainee is not making the traffic stops other than a lack of motivation or confidence.

   *Example: The trainee lacks motivation or confidence. Despite training in vehicle violation stops, the trainee, although admitting that he saw the violation, had to be told to make these stops on five separate occasions.*

4. **Remember your audience.**
   When writing your evaluation(s), consider who may be reading the report. In addition to the trainee, your report may be read by your supervisor, department head, an attorney representing your department or the trainee, an arbitrator, or judge. These readers will form opinions of your abilities based on what they read.

5. **Watch your grammar, spelling, and legibility. Avoid slang, jargon, and swearing.**
   Not everyone who will be reading your evaluation(s) understands radio codes and penal code sections. Explain any code sections used. Be professional and model your expectations.

6. **Speak to performance, not personality.**
   Criticize the act, not the person. Criticizing the person brings about defensiveness. While more difficult to do in written vs. verbal form, the “Impersonal” style of documentation relieves some of the stress.
Example: Rather than write “You did a poor job of handling the disturbance call..." try “Trainee Jones did a poor job of handling...,” etc.

7. **Use lists, if appropriate.**
The use of a “list” approach will sometimes save time and space.
*Example: The trainee, when asked, failed to accurately identify the following ten code definitions: 10-7, 10-8, 10-16, 10-27, 10-28, 1029, 10-35, and 10-62.*

8. **Think remedial.**
What has been tried? How did it work? What will you try next? Document your training plans and the results thereof.

9. **Use quantification whenever possible.**
Quantification or the documentation of a standard that is familiar to every reader adds clarity to the documentation.
*Example: It took Bill five tries to successfully complete a burglary report. See attached.*

10. **Do not predict.**
Avoid statements such as “I am sure that Ann, with a little more effort, will be able to master the radio,” or “Charlie’s skills will no doubt improve as the weeks go by.” Rather than make statements of this nature, the FTO should write what the behavior should produce; i.e., “When Bill can complete reports of this nature within 30 minutes or less, he will be performing at an acceptable level.” Predictions set up false expectations.

If FTOs can write acceptable reports, they should be able to write acceptable evaluation narratives. One way to keep documentation of this type in perspective is to write as though telling a story to a close friend or co-worker who was not present when the behavior was observed. Would all the details be included or just generalities? When in doubt, reread what’s written and ask if you REALLY know what happened from what was written. Another approach is to have another FTO or supervisor read the narrative. Do they have any questions? If so, the documentation may need more work.

**Discussing Evaluations**

The FTO and trainee’s discussion of evaluations is a particularly important aspect of the field training program. Merely completing the evaluation and having the trainee sign it will not achieve the objectives of a proper evaluation.

The performance evaluation must:
1. Be understood by the trainee. This does not mean the trainee has to be in agreement with the entire evaluation, just that he/she understands it.

2. Be the basis for plans to help the trainee improve performance as needed.

3. Give the trainee recognition for strong points and acceptable performance as well as call attention to weak areas and/or deficient performance.

FTOs should allow ample time to discuss evaluations with trainees. Discussions should be held where privacy can be maintained with little or no interruptions. These discussions should be a “two-way conversation.” Trainees should be encouraged to express how they feel. Trainees should be encouraged to be more self-aware and perhaps, even be given a chance for self-evaluation.

FTOs should listen to what the trainees have to say and not show disapproval when they do respond to the evaluation. FTOs should re-emphasize that performance is being discussed and not a defense of the evaluation.

Once a discussion has been completed, the FTO should ensure that the trainee signs the evaluation and has the opportunity to provide written comments or speak with the FTP SAC if desired.
Daily Observation Report

The Daily Observation Report (DOR) is to be completed by the FTO at the end of each shift that the trainee is assigned to work during the field training program. Days where the trainee receives no evaluation by a qualified FTO (i.e., Orientation, days off sick or injured, non-enforcement or special assignments, etc.) can also be documented on the DOR. Only the headings and narrative portions should be completed for those shifts. The DOR is used to record the trainee’s performance, specific training or instruction presented, and any other information of importance related to the trainee’s activities in the training program that day.

This report is the permanent record of the trainee’s progress in terms of performance, skills, knowledge, the improvements needed, and the FTO’s efforts to bring about change. It is the principle document used for determining the trainee’s status in the program.

The form shall be completed at or near the end of each shift and reviewed with the trainee unless unusual circumstances exist. It is important that this feedback be shared with the trainee as close to the events documented so that he/she can have the benefit of utilizing the feedback in advance of the next call for service and/or shift.

The DOR is designed to rate observed behavior with reference to either a numerical (i.e., 1, 4, and 7). The form lists specific categories of behavior (i.e., officer safety, driving skill, appearance, etc.). Each category must be rated or an indication made that the performance was “not observed” (N.O.) during the shift covered by that DOR. Circling or marking the appropriate number records the numeric rating based on the Standardized Evaluation Guideline for each category. Ratings such as Unacceptable, Below Standard, Far Exceeds Standards, and/or Superior should be explained on the reverse side of the form.
CSU, Chico Police Department's DORs have an "N.R.T." box on the face of the form. "N.R.T." means "Not Responding to Training." In addition to a numerical rating in the particular category, this box may also be marked or the N.R.T. box alone may be marked. N.R.T. is assigned after reasonable remedial efforts have failed to result in improvement. Citing N.R.T. is a serious step and is considered a "red flag" for the trainee and the FTP SAC. From this point, if improvement is not made, termination may result. It is expected there will be significant documentation about the problem before this step is taken. The decision to assign N.R.T. is somewhat subjective but one that can be reasonably justified.

The FTO must first get a sense of the difficulty of the task. Is it an easy task or one that is rather difficult to learn? Once the difficulty or complexity is known, the FTO must then get an idea of how many tries the trainee has had at task completion. This process is a search for the presence or absence of balance (i.e., Has the trainee had enough opportunities to effectively complete the task given the difficulty?). If the answer is "Yes," N.R.T. is appropriate. If "No," continue with remediation.

---

Note ➔

We must be sure that any remediation that has been given is perceived as that likely to bring about the desired change. The quantity and quality of remediation will be examined to ensure that the strategies employed would likely lead to improvement.

---

The "R.T." found on some DORs refers to remedial training (or the time spent by the FTO in the correction or review of previously taught information or procedures). When 10 minutes or more is spent in any one category, the FTO shall record the number of minutes in the appropriate box. If the FTO spends less than 10 minutes in the task area, a "check" or "X" is sufficient. More information on remedial training and strategies begins on Page II-13.

CSU, Chico Police Department's DORs have a Daily Score ("D.S.") box on the left side of the face of the form. This column of boxes can serve several purposes. After the FTO has reviewed the DOR with the trainee, the trainee should transfer (write) each score from the rating scale into the box in this column. This practice serves to reinforce how the trainee performed on that day. Secondly, these scores can later be transferred to a chart reflecting rated performance over a period of time. The tracking of these scores produces a picture of improvement or lack thereof. A chart of this type can also be used by the FTP SAC to identify potential inconsistencies in individual FTO ratings. A Sample DOR with the supplementary SEGs can be found in Appendices I & II.

The reverse side of the DOR is designed for narrative comments. Both negative and positive performance shall be noted by the FTO. Steps taken to assist trainees in improving their performance should also be noted here.
All DORs are to be signed and dated by both the trainee and the FTO. The FTP SAC must monitor the trainee’s progress through the review and signing of these DORs and through the review of the Supervisor’s Weekly Report (SWR).

**Supervisor’s Weekly Report**

In an effort to ensure accountability, supervision, and participation from a higher level within the department, CSU, Chico Police Department’s Field Training Program requires the Supervisor (Sergeant) to complete an evaluation of the trainee’s performance and progress each week. The evaluation will be completed and administered to the trainee by the Supervisor (Sergeant). This report is useful not only to report a trainee’s performance but also to serve as a check and balance of the FTO’s evaluation of the trainee.

The Supervisor’s Weekly Report (SWR) contains a sentence in which the supervisor advises the trainee that his/her performance for that week was either “acceptable” or “unacceptable.” The Supervisor (Sergeant) will also advise the trainee as to the level of his/her overall performance at that point in the program. This report provides additional feedback to the trainee and an opportunity for the trainee to discuss other training issues with a supervisor, if needed. The SWR should be signed and dated by both the trainee and the Supervisor (Sergeant). A sample SWR can be found in Appendix III.

**End of Phase Report**

CSU, Chico Police Department’s FTOs will complete an End of Phase Report (EPR). EPRs detail the trainee’s significant strengths and weaknesses, as well as list specific training provided during the phase. The EPRs also list recommendations for training needed by the trainee during the next phase of instruction.

In this report, FTOs will indicate their judgment as to the actual level of performance demonstrated by the trainee. The EPR will be discussed in a field training staff meeting with the FTP SAC, the trainee’s current FTO, and the trainee’s next FTO. Special training problems should be clarified and addressed with the development of a specific training regimen for the next phase of instruction. The EPR should be signed and dated by the trainee, the FTO, and the FTP SAC. A sample EPR can be found in Appendix IV.
Completion Record/Competency Attestation

Upon the trainee’s successful completion of the field training program, it will be the responsibility of the Final Phase FTO to complete a competency attestation of the trainee’s ability to perform the duties of a solo patrol officer.

After assuring that all the materials from the field training program guide have been covered and signed off, and after personally observing the trainee’s acceptable performance in all of the functional areas or categories, the FTO will initiate a Completion Record/Competency Attestation form to be routed through the chain of command. The form should be signed and dated by the trainee, the Final Phase FTO, the FTP SAC, and the department head (or his/her designee). This form will become a permanent part of the trainee’s training record. A sample of the Completion Record/Competency Attestation form can be found in Appendix VIII.
Most FTOs will report that training is an "ongoing" process that is the result of the natural interactions between themselves and the trainee. Simple comments such as "keep your gun hand clear" or "this word is spelled..." often take place simultaneously to the observed mistake. Some training may have to take place at another time or location away from the actual event. What is important to remember is that; 1) a mistake or performance deficiency must be corrected, and 2) that correction should come as soon as practical after the behavior without interfering with the department's service responsibilities. Most performance mistakes are relatively simple to fix and are corrected almost immediately. The problems that do not seem to go away, or are repeated, call for a more formal approach known as remedial training.

Remedial training is defined as: A correction or review of previously taught information or procedures. "Previously taught" should not include any training that the trainee may have received in the Regular Basic Course (Academy). Remedial training becomes necessary when the trainee’s job performance is evaluated as less than acceptable after having been provided with sufficient training or intervention that should have corrected and improved the job performance.

While the FTO's role is to help the trainee overcome performance deficiencies and give him/her every opportunity to learn and perform, some performance deficiencies have as their root cause something that the FTO cannot correct. Examples might be immaturity, absence of a positive self-image, lack of common sense and worldliness, lack of life experience, stress, and fear. These are attitudinal based and are occasionally so deeply ingrained in the trainee's behavioral package that they cannot be overcome. It would be wrong to automatically assume that a failure to perform well is linked to one of these reasons. It is more likely that inexperience and an absence of sufficient practice has led to the problem. Remedial training should begin as soon as the ongoing deficiency is noted.
Since formal remedial training may require an extended stay in the field training program, there are several steps the FTO can take when trying to resolve the deficiency:

1. Being as specific as possible, identify and describe the deficiency. Do not overlook calling upon the trainee to help in this endeavor.

2. Reflect on, and determine, what has been tried and found to be effective with similar performance problems.

3. Develop a plan which clearly identifies what the new officer is expected to accomplish, under what conditions, within what time frame, and using what resources.

4. Implement the plan and evaluate its success. If the desired level of performance (goal) was not achieved, return to step one.

Consider using a Remedial Training Assignment Worksheet (Appendix V) when developing a remedial plan. Be sure to document the plan, the FTO’s efforts, and the results.

Remedial Training Strategies

The following section is designed to assist FTOs in recognizing and correcting training deficiencies and/or performance problems. It describes some of the commonly reported trainee problems and offers strategies for resolving them. For any identified deficiency/problem, the types of remedial training strategies are limited only by imagination and feasibility; however, no training should be dangerous, demeaning, harassing, or expose the department to liability. Department policies, procedures, or safety standards must never be violated for the sake of training.

The following strategies can be appropriate for assisting trainees in gaining proficiency with items in the field training program guide or in designing written training plans.

Role Plays and Scenarios

These can be used for a variety of performance tasks. Care should be taken regarding the following:

1. All participants must be made aware that the situation is a training exercise, not an actual event.

2. No loaded weapons should ever be used in field training scenarios.

3. Notification of other potentially involved parties (i.e., dispatch, neighboring departments, patrol and/or field training supervisors, etc).
4. Choice of location (so as not to involve unknowing citizens or other officers).

5. Selection of role players who understand the win-win philosophy (If the trainees do it right, they win!).

*Role Reversals*

Similar to role plays, here the FTO reverses roles with the trainee. The trainee then watches the FTO perform a task in the same incorrect manner that the trainee did earlier. The trainee is then required to critique the FTO and offer suggestions for improvement.

*Commentary Driving*

The trainee is advised to maintain a running commentary of what is observed while operating the vehicle (in the case of Driving Skills) or while acting as either the driver or passenger (in the case of Patrol Observation and Orientation Skills).

When Driving Skills are being taught, the trainee’s recitation should focus on street/traffic conditions, traffic control devices, and defensive driving information. When Patrol Observation is being taught, the trainee should direct his/her attention to people and things that would be of police interest. The intent of this training is to move the trainee from “looking” as a civilian to “seeing” as a police officer does. When Orientation Skills are being taught, the trainee provides a commentary of the: 1) direction of travel, 2) location by intersection, and 3) identification of landmarks.

*Verbalization*

This technique is useful for those trainees who routinely know what to do but once subjected to stressful situations are unable to perform the required task(s).

Trainees are instructed to talk out their thoughts. If they are en route to a call, they must describe the call to the FTO, tell how they will get there and, once there, what their actions will be. In this way, they must organize their thoughts and present them to the FTO in a clear and logical manner.

An important benefit for trainees from this exercise is not only the “putting in order” of their thoughts and actions but also the slowing of their thought processes and prevention of “overload.” By having them “talk out” their thoughts, their thinking will revert to a slower, more understandable pace. This process should have a calming effect and reduce stress.
**Flash Cards**

Having trainees make flash cards enhances the learning process by using more than one learning style. Flash cards are particularly effective with subjects such as Radio Codes, Orientation Skills, Vehicle or Criminal Statutes and Elements, and Spelling.

**Spelling Quizzes**

The FTO keeps track of words that are frequently misspelled. The trainee is provided a list of these words and advised a few days in advance of the quiz. If the trainee finds it helpful, he/she may wish to practice writing the words a number of times.

**Self-Evaluations**

This technique, especially valuable when the trainee has difficulty accepting feedback, entails having the trainee keep notes during the shift and complete a DOR at the end. The DOR should be labeled “Self-Evaluation.” As with the FTO’s evaluation, both parties review and compare their DORs at the end of the shift.

**Directing Traffic**

1. FTO draws diagrams for trainee to place self, flow of traffic, ideal locations for fire and medical response, etc.
2. Shut down an intersection and let trainee practice. Start with quiet intersections and build to busier.
3. Have trainee speak with other FTOs, traffic officer, etc.
4. Have trainee speak with fire and medical responders for their perspective(s).
5. Request assignments for these types of calls.
Traffic Stops

1. Role play, in a parking lot, using other FTOs and vehicles.
2. Videos
   a. Professionally made.
   b. Film trainees in action so they can watch themselves.
3. Have trainee speak/ride with a traffic officer, etc.
4. FTO draws diagram for the trainee to place self, vehicle positions, ideal locations for stop, etc
5. Use miniature cars for placement.
6. Develop a checklist – first written, then mental.
7. Verbal and written quizzesing on traffic codes and elements.
8. Have trainee practice completing citations and warnings on copied blank forms.

Report Writing

1. Use report writing exercises.
2. Pull some good and bad reports as examples. Be sure to remove the author’s name.
3. Interview detectives, instructors, attorneys, and judges as to what they think makes a good report.
4. Have trainee enroll in a writing class.
5. Have trainee obtain and read library books on the subject.
6. Develop checklist to include elements of crimes for the more common calls.
7. Suggest trainee purchase a spell checker.
8. Have trainee recite the elements of a crime and describe how the elements were accomplished and in what sequence.
9. Have trainee spend time working with an in-house expert or academy instructor.
DUI

1. Role reversal with FTO making actual stops and trainee doing the critique.
2. Role plays in a parking lot using other FTOs and vehicles.
3. Videos
   a. Professionally made.
   b. Film trainees in action so they can watch themselves.
   c. Previous DUI arrests.
4. Interview DUI officers, instructors, and attorneys.
5. Review old DUI reports.
6. Review actual case law at library.
7. Have trainee ride with a traffic officer.
8. Develop a checklist for procedures and forms.

Courtroom Demeanor

1. Interview detectives, instructors, attorneys and judges as to what they think makes a good witness.
2. Have trainee observe a trial.
3. Conduct a mock trial.
4. Have trainee perform a courtroom role play, using one of his/her citations or arrests.

Investigative Procedures

1. Interview detectives, instructors, and attorneys as to what they think makes a good investigation.
2. Verbal and written quizzes on elements of crimes.
3. Have trainee spend some time with an I.D. technician.
4. Tour a crime laboratory.
5. Follow one of the trainee’s cases through with the assigned detective.
6. Create a mock crime scene.
Felony Stops

1. Practice visualization techniques.
2. Role plays with trainee as officer and suspect, in daylight and darkness.
3. FTO draws diagrams for trainee to place self, vehicle positions, ideal locations for stop, etc.
4. Develop a checklist for verbal commands.

Domestic Disputes

1. Use models (dolls, playhouse, etc.) for placement.
2. Role play using other FTOs.
3. Interviews with victim’s advocate or groups.
4. Attend an Order of Protection hearing.
5. Request assignments for these types of calls.

Orientation Skills

1. Give trainee a copy of a map that contains the streets but no names. Trainee fills in the names.
2. Verbal and written quizzes on the hundred blocks, landmarks, and other important locations.
3. Throughout shift ask trainee, “Where are we now?”
4. Give the trainee addresses, transparencies, and a marker. Have trainee trace the route to the location.
5. Have trainee obtain and study overhead maps from highway department or run maps from the fire department.
6. Demonstrate efficient ways to use the Thomas Guide, including checking the index.
Radio Procedures and Codes

1. Role plays
   a. What is going on with other officers?
   b. Sample sentences/codes.
   c. Describe scenario. Ask trainee how to say it on the radio.

2. Obtain a tape recorder that you and the trainee use as a radio in role plays.

3. Have trainee speak in codes rather than plain text/English.

4. Assign trainee to a shift in Communications to work with a dispatcher.
   Have trainee log the codes and then decipher into plain text/English, turning in the final product.

5. Have trainee listen to a scanner.

6. Have trainee read all license plates phonetically.

7. Listen to old communications tapes.

Accident Investigation

1. Have trainee ride with an accident investigator.

2. Develop a checklist for steps in completing an accident report.

3. Review past reports and diagrams.

4. Create a scenario and have the trainee draw a diagram.

5. Request assignments for these types of calls.

6. Using crayon attached to the corners of a block, show tire skids, etc.

7. Visit driving track skid pan.

8. Observe an autopsy for occupant injuries, etc.

9. Visit a junkyard for damage estimates, etc.

Rapport with Citizens

1. Increase exposure to public.
   b. Traffic stops.
   c. Neighborhood watch and crime prevention meetings.
   d. Front desk.

2. Have trainee spend a shift with a public information officer.

3. Role plays.
5. Assign trainee to work with a department volunteer.

**Total Confusion**

1. Have trainee complete a self-evaluation.
2. Develop a flow chart of basic tasks.
3. Have trainee speak with and/or observe FTOs, sergeants, and/or staff psychologist.
4. Flash cards.
5. Read past case reports.
6. Role play simple tasks.
7. Have trainee list his/her perceptions of the job.

**Summary**

For remedial training strategies, always remember to:

1. Diagnose the true problem.
2. Provide feedback.
3. Use all the resources available.
4. Be creative.
5. Document the trainee’s performance and your efforts.
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Field Training Program Packages
FIELD TRAINING
PROGRAM PACKAGES

POST regulations require departments seeking approval of their field training programs to submit a field training program package along with an Application for POST-Approved Field Training Program, POST form 2-229, signed by the department head. Prior to submitting the package and application, a department representative should review the department’s current policies, procedures, and program content against POST’s minimum standards/requirements for program content, operations, and personnel. When necessary, the department representative shall make changes to comply with the POST minimum standards/requirements before submitting the package.

A field training program package submitted for approval shall minimally include:

1. a written description of the department’s specific selection process for Field Training Officers,
2. an outline of the training proposed for department trainees,
3. a written description of the evaluation process for trainees and Field Training Officers, and
4. copies of supporting documents (i.e., field training program guides, General Orders related to field training program personnel and their training, policies and procedures, and/or evaluation forms).

Some departments may include all of the above information in their field training program guides while others will need to make and send copies of the separate documents, G.O.s, policies and procedures, etc., with their field training program guide to complete the necessary package.

A field training program guide or manual is vital to the success of any field training experience. The guide should be used to instruct newly assigned patrol officers in the various duties that they will most likely perform during their careers. The guide should serve as the “lesson plan” for the Field Training Officer’s instruction. Each department is encouraged to develop a training guide, manual, or workbook for its field training program. These guides should minimally contain two parts, a program orientation portion and a list of performance objectives.
Elements of a Field Training Program Guide

The first part of the guide should contain information explaining the field training program and its operation. It should be provided to trainees at or prior to the time they enter the program. While this portion of the guide may not contain all the information found in the department administrative manuals and general orders, certain excerpts from these documents should be incorporated into this section of the training guide.

While a department may incorporate whatever it wishes in this part of the guide, several items recommended for inclusion are:

1. Goals and Objectives of the Field Training Program
2. Chain of Command and Supervision Information
3. Explanation of the Elements of the Field Training Program
4. Role/Expectations of Trainees and Field Training Officers
5. Explanation of the Evaluation Process
6. Copies of the evaluation instruments (i.e., DORs; SEGs; Weekly Training Progress Reports; etc.) and other program forms with brief explanations

Providing trainees with this information at the start of the program serves several purposes. It clarifies the trainee’s role in the training process, improves understanding of the mechanics of the program, enhances the credibility of the FTO, and reduces a good deal of apprehension normally found in any training program.

The second part of the field training guide should contain performance objectives incorporating the knowledge, skills, abilities, and attitudes that the FTO is required to impart to the trainee and then evaluate the trainee’s ability to retain and competently perform the same. These objectives are designed to ensure that trainees receive specific training in designated topics or areas. These training topics are generally broken into weekly and/or phase segments. Responsibility for covering the performance objectives and other listed tasks lies with the FTO to whom the trainee is assigned for that specific week, group of weeks, or phase. If the department has organized these topics or areas into a
specific format, standardization will occur since each FTO will cover the same material with every trainee during the same assignment period. Training in and completion of the designated topics or areas will give trainees the foundation to draw from when handling incidents that have not been actively demonstrated. It will be impossible to train a newly assigned officer in every area that may be encountered throughout a career but this program should provide a firm foundation on which to build.

Field Training Officers should, at a minimum, instruct in the areas that are outlined in each specific topic. To further assure accountability, columns or sign-off boxes can be placed on each page of the guide wherein the FTO indicates, by placing his/her initials and badge number: (1) the date the material or objective was discussed, instructed, or demonstrated, and (2) the date the trainee displayed adequate competence. The FTO should also identify the manner in which the skill, knowledge, or ability was performed (i.e., written test, verbal test, scenario/role-play, or field performance). Additionally, there should be a place for the trainee’s initials, badge number, and date wherein the trainee acknowledges having received the instruction.

Finally, departments should strongly consider the inclusion of various resource materials in the guide or perhaps the development of a separate resource materials guide. Examples may include important policies, run maps, municipal codes, etc. The purpose of this is twofold. First, the material remains as a reference for the trainee and, secondly, the FTO will use these materials as the lesson plan rather than attempting to “ad lib” when it comes time to instruct on the particular topic. If a policy or procedure is included in the guide or a separate resource manual, it is much easier for the FTO to teach from the actual policy rather than from memory of the policy. This also allows for better documentation that the material was covered.

In addition to the instruction the trainee will be receiving from the FTO, it is possible the trainee will need to do some further studying. The training staff should maintain a library that could include the Regular Basic Course Training and Testing Specifications, Learning Domain Workbooks, POST training videos and telecourses, and any other department-developed training aids. Trainees are also advised to maintain copies of the Penal Code, Vehicle Code, and Municipal Codes (or Quick-codes of same), and know the location(s) of other reference materials including a list of community service resources.

It should be the responsibility of the Field Training Program SAC to oversee the development and maintenance of the department’s field training program guide. Each FTP SAC should designate a committee to review, and keep
current, the materials presented in the department’s guide. While the concepts, tasks, and performance objectives of field training programs statewide are extremely similar, the field training program guide, manual, or workbook is one item that should be individually developed (tailor-made) by and for each department. When done right, no one department’s guide could be duplicated and used by a second because of the differences in the codes, policies, philosophies, service areas, streets and locations, and so on. Constant revision based on input from trainees, FTOs, and other program staff will make the department’s field training program guide a viable resource and basis for a successful field training program.
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CSU, Chico Police Field Training Program

The CSU, CHICO POLICE DEPARTMENT Field Training Program is designed to be used by an FTO and trainee as a basis for instruction and study. The program contains statements of performance (i.e., objectives) that begin by introducing the newly assigned officer to the department and patrol duties, and progresses to performance independent of the FTO. The Training Program simply directs a training response to needs or situations that could be encountered by any police officer in the state who is assigned to general law enforcement uniformed patrol duties. The trainee is required to obtain and learn the department’s directives and policies for each objective. The FTO has a duty to assist by directing the study and diligently testing the trainee’s knowledge. This program also requires the trainee to apply skills and knowledge that were acquired in the Regular Basic Course (Academy). The FTO must help the trainee apply these skills and knowledge in a real life environment with actual law enforcement incidents.

The purpose of this Field Training Program Guide is to provide administrators of POST-participating agencies with information and assistance in applying POST field training program regulations within their field training program. A standardized program and forms have been developed to guide the Department’s Field Training Officers (FTOs) through the initial orientation and field training of newly assigned patrol officers. The program is designed to assist the new officers in making the transition from what they learned in the academy to performing general law enforcement uniformed patrol duties competently in the field.

The CSU, Chico Police Department’s standardized program (with its structured learning content and applicable regulations) are an accumulation of the best aspects of existing field training programs throughout our state and the nation. They were designed and provided with the following criteria in mind:

Defensible/Fair – The program 1) ensures proper selection and training of FTOs, 2) allows trainee feedback mechanisms, 3) provides a comprehensive list of performance objectives, and 4) utilizes a standardized evaluation process.

Effective/Manageable – The program is performance-based and includes adequate documentation, minimum time completion requirements, and competency specifications.
Adaptable/Flexible – The program is adaptable to any size or type of agency. Flexibility is afforded as agencies are able to incorporate agency-specific policies and procedures and other local references/resources into the program.

This POST-approved field training program is intended to achieve the following goals:

- To produce a competent peace officer capable of working a uniformed, solo patrol assignment in a safe, skillful, productive, and professional manner.

- To provide standardized training to all newly assigned patrol officers in the practical application of learned information.

- To provide clear standards for rating and evaluation which give all trainees every reasonable opportunity to succeed.

- To enhance the professionalism, job skills, and ethical standards of the law enforcement community.
The CSU, CHICO POLICE DEPARTMENT Field Training Program is used to train newly assigned officers who have recently graduated from the Regular Basic Course (Academy), who have been employed through lateral entry, or any others who are on their initial assignment to general law enforcement uniformed patrol duties. The following areas are intended to clarify the application of the CSU, CHICO POLICE DEPARTMENT Field Training Program:

**Structured Learning Content Topics/Instructional Areas**

As mentioned earlier, the duties of general law enforcement uniformed patrol officers are quite similar within the state and the nation. Research and experience have demonstrated that new officers should demonstrate competency in the following topics or areas:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency Orientation (including Standards and Conduct)</th>
<th>Traffic (including DUI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ethics</td>
<td>Use of Force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership</td>
<td>Search and Seizure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrol Vehicle Operations</td>
<td>Radio Communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Officer Safety</td>
<td>Self Initiated Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report Writing</td>
<td>Investigations/Evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Codes and Law</td>
<td>Community Relations/Professional Demeanor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department Policies (General Orders, Local Policies, and Philosophies)</td>
<td>(including Cultural Diversity, Community Policing, and Problem Solving)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patrol Procedures (including Domestic Violence and Pedestrian and Vehicle Stops)</td>
<td>Tactical Communication/Conflict Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control of Persons, Prisoners, and Mentally Ill (Adults and Juveniles)</td>
<td>Additional Agency Specific Topics (may include Community Specific Problems, Special Needs Groups, etc.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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III-3
Format

The CSU, CHICO POLICE DEPARTMENT Field Training Program has the above listed 19 topics or areas of instruction segmented. Each contains knowledge-and performance-based objectives for the trainee to accomplish. Each topic may be presented; wholly or in portions, in a suitable training period that will meet the department’s needs (i.e., one day, one week, or one month). The objectives in each of the listed topics build from basic issues to more complex to assist in an incremental learning approach. This is intended to enhance retention so the trainee is able to relate some element of past instruction to each new subject. The CSU, CHICO POLICE DEPARTMENT Field Training format allows flexibility but is designed to hold the trainee responsible for each of the required performance objectives.

Training and Testing Methods

Although the CSU, CHICO POLICE DEPARTMENT Field Training Program is written in performance-based objectives, there is no intention to restrict methods of presentation or measuring of the trainee’s acceptable performance of the task(s).

Because of the very nature of patrol work, not every field incident that the CSU, CHICO POLICE DEPARTMENT Field Training Program requires a trainee to perform will occur within the time frame of the program. The FTO should improvise by volunteering, when possible, for assignments that will assist in meeting the training objectives. In some cases, it may be necessary for the FTO to set up a scenario exercise or rely on the trainee’s verbal or written explanation of handling the situation coupled with his/her performance in similar incidents.

Initially, the trainee must be provided with, and allowed the opportunity to study written documents, policy directives, training bulletins, or general orders that the trainee is responsible for knowing. The FTO should then proceed through the field training guide discussing, instructing, or demonstrating each performance objective. The FTO should encourage the trainee to take increasing responsibility for field performance based on the nature of incidents and the amount of experience the trainee has in the program. This responsibility ultimately includes the satisfactory completion of each performance objective. It is the intention of the field training program to have new officers demonstrate their satisfactory completion of, or competency, in these performance objectives through actual, on-duty handling of field situations. This is, for obvious reasons, the preferred method of demonstrating that the trainee comprehends and can apply what has been taught. Based on a variety of reasons (calls for service, type of department, demographics, location, etc.) however, trainees may have to
demonstrate successful comprehension and competency through alternative means. The methods for “competency demonstration” used in the CSU, CHICO POLICE DEPARTMENT Field Training Program are:

1. Department-Constructed Knowledge Tests. Some portions of the field training program may require department-constructed knowledge tests that measure the skills and knowledge required to achieve one or more performance objectives. These tests may be in written or verbal format. When a written department-constructed knowledge test is used, trainees should earn a score equal to or greater than, the minimum passing score established by the department. Trainees who fail a written department-constructed knowledge test on the first attempt should: a) be provided an opportunity to review the test results in a manner that does not compromise test security; b) have a reasonable time, established by the department, to prepare for a retest; and c) be provided an opportunity to retake a department-constructed, parallel form of the same test. If the trainee fails the retest, it will be the department’s responsibility to determine if the trainee should be given another retest or terminated from the field training program.

Special Note: These tests should be standardized to ensure accuracy and fairness and may also serve as an additional evaluation instrument.

2. Scenario Tests. Some portions of the field training program may require scenario tests, which are job simulation tests, that measure the skills and knowledge required to achieve one or more performance objectives. When a scenario test is used, trainees should demonstrate their competency in performing the tasks required by the scenario test. Competency means that the trainee performed at a level that demonstrates he/she is able to perform as a solo patrol officer. A qualified field training officer should make this determination. Trainees who fail to clearly demonstrate competency when first tested should be provided with an opportunity to be retested. The retest should occur after a qualified field training officer has provided documented remedial training to the trainee. The duration of, and subject matter covered in the remedial training shall be determined by the department. If the trainee fails to demonstrate competency on the retest, it will be the department’s responsibility to determine if the trainee should be given another retest or terminated from the field training program.
Special Note: Officer safety must be of the utmost concern during the use of any simulated/scenario exercises. At no time are loaded weapons to be used in any scenario testing during the field training program. (Departments may wish to refer to the POST Guidelines for Student Safety in Certified Courses that contain specific guidelines for scenario training and event simulations that may prove helpful in organizing such testing.)

3. Field Performance Tests. Most portions of the field training program will require field performance tests which are generally in the form of calls for service, traffic enforcement, self-initiated activity, etc. When field performance tests occur, trainees must demonstrate their competency in performing the tasks required of a solo patrol officer. A qualified field training officer should make this determination. Trainees who fail to clearly demonstrate competency during a field performance test should be provided remedial training. The remedial training should be provided and documented by a qualified field training officer. The duration of, and subject matter covered in, the remedial training shall be determined by the department. If the trainee does not respond to remedial training and fails to demonstrate competency on subsequent and/or repeated field performance tests, it will be the department’s responsibility to determine if the trainee and/or department will benefit from additional remedial training and testing or if the trainee should be terminated from the field training program.
The CSU, CHICO POLICE DEPARTMENT Field Training Program Model

This standardized Field Training Program has been developed through input from various departments and experts throughout the state and nation. It is not intended to be a stand alone, state-of-the-art program. It is further intended to assist the process in which the trainee receives on-the-job instruction to complement or reinforce classroom (academy) training.

When combined with a valid trainee evaluation program, this field training program, properly administered and supervised, can and should be one of the most important phases of basic training for law enforcement officers. CSU, CHICO POLICE DEPARTMENT field training regulations and this sample provide for the foundational field training needed to supplement classroom training, as well as the appropriate guidance and supervision required to allow the trainee to safely, effectively, and competently apply basic law enforcement principles within the community being served. Figure 3 represents the phase training overview of the CSU, CHICO POLICE DEPARTMENT Field Training Program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase I</th>
<th>Phase II</th>
<th>Phase III</th>
<th>Phase IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Orientation/</td>
<td>• Leadership</td>
<td>• Patrol Procedures</td>
<td>• Self-initiated Activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department Policies</td>
<td>• California Codes and</td>
<td>Part 2</td>
<td>• Primary FTO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Officer Safety</td>
<td>Law</td>
<td>• Investigations/</td>
<td>Observation Phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ethics</td>
<td>• Search and Seizure</td>
<td>Evidence</td>
<td>(usually in plain clothes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Use of Force</td>
<td>• Report Writing</td>
<td>• Tactical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Patrol Vehicle</td>
<td>• Control of Persons/</td>
<td>Communications/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operations</td>
<td>Prisoners/ Mentally III</td>
<td>Conflict Resolution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Community Relations/</td>
<td>• Patrol Procedures Part I</td>
<td>• Traffic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Demeanor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Radio Communications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 weeks in duration</td>
<td>4 weeks in duration</td>
<td>4 weeks in duration</td>
<td>2 weeks in duration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Phase V

Solo Patrol Officer/On Probation
Evaluations by Supervisor throughout probation (every 3 months)