

Academic Integrity Survey - Sp 2004
Chico's Undergraduate Responses
Comparison with National Undergraduate Norms

Academic Environment

Q1. Faculty understanding of policies - r7

UG STUDENTS	Population		Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion								
Very low	0.010		6	3.45	1.88478				
	0.060		9	20.70	6.61304				
Medium	0.250		50	86.25	15.23551				
	0.400		156	138.00	2.34783				
Very high	0.280		119	96.60	5.19420				
n/a	0.010		5	3.45	0.69638				
Total ==>	1.010		345	Chi square=	31.97174	5	11.07	15.09	20.52

Q1. Student support of policies - r8

UG STUDENTS	Population		Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion								
Very low	0.040		12	13.80	0.23478				
	0.130		59	44.85	4.46427				
Medium	0.390		165	134.55	6.89114				
	0.330		88	113.85	5.86932				
Very high	0.100		15	34.50	11.02174				
n/a	0.010		6	3.45	1.88478				
Total ==>	1.000		345	Chi square=	30.36603	5	11.07	15.09	20.52

Q1. Faculty support of policies - r9

UG STUDENTS	Population		Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion								
Very low	0.010		5	3.45	0.69638				
	0.060		11	20.70	4.54541				
Medium	0.260		68	89.70	5.24961				
	0.410		161	141.45	2.70203				
Very high	0.250		93	96.60	0.13416				
n/a	0.010		7	3.45	3.65290				
Total ==>	1.000		345	Chi square=	16.98049	5	11.07	15.09	20.52

Q2. Where informed and how much learned about policies: Website

UG STUDENTS	Population		Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion								
Learned little	0.330		211	113.85	82.89963				
Learned some	0.150		74	51.75	9.56643				
Learned a lot	0.050		21	17.25	0.81522				
No response	0.470		39	162.15	93.53020				
Total ==>	1.000		345	Chi square=	186.81147	3	7.82	11.35	16.27

Q2. Where informed and how much learned about policies: Student Handbook

UG STUDENTS	Population		Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion								
Learned little	0.240		105	82.80	5.95217				
Learned some	0.390		135	134.55	0.00151				
Learned a lot	0.210		68	72.45	0.27333				
No response	0.170		37	58.65	7.99186				
Total ==>	1.010		345	Chi square=	14.21886	3	7.82	11.35	16.27

Q2. Where informed and how much learned about policies: RA/fac adviser-resadv

UG STUDENTS	Population				df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed	Expected	(O-E) ² /E				
Learned little	0.360	105	124.20	2.96812	3	7.82	11.35	16.27
Learned some	0.270	135	93.15	18.80217				
Learned a lot	0.170	68	58.65	1.49058				
No response	0.200	37	69.00	14.84058				
Total ==>	1.000	345	Chi square=	38.10145				

Q2. Where informed and how much learned about policies: Faculty

UG STUDENTS	Population				df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed	Expected	(O-E) ² /E				
Learned little	0.040	6	13.80	4.40870	3	7.82	11.35	16.27
Learned some	0.270	87	93.15	0.40604				
Learned a lot	0.460	233	158.70	34.78570				
No response	0.230	19	79.35	45.89946				
Total ==>	1.000	345	Chi square=	85.49989				

Q2. Where informed and how much learned about policies: TA's

UG STUDENTS	Population				df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed	Expected	(O-E) ² /E				
Learned little	0.390	205	134.55	36.88742	3	7.82	11.35	16.27
Learned some	0.170	56	58.65	0.11974				
Learned a lot	0.060	29	20.70	3.32802				
No response	0.390	55	134.55	47.03235				
Total ==>	1.010	345	Chi square=	87.36752				

Q2. Where informed and how much learned about policies: Deans/Admins-deans

UG STUDENTS	Population				df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed	Expected	(O-E) ² /E				
Learned little	0.630	234	217.35	1.27547	3	7.82	11.35	16.27
Learned some	0.150	30	51.75	9.14130				
Learned a lot	0.060	22	20.70	0.08164				
No response	0.160	59	55.20	0.26159				
Total ==>	1.000	345	Chi square=	10.76001				

Q3. In past year, how often instructors discussed policies: Plagiarism - discplag

UG STUDENTS	Population				df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed	Expected	(O-E) ² /E				
Never	0.040	6	15.44	5.77161	4	9.49	13.28	18.47
Very seldom	0.140	39	54.04	4.18582				
Seldom	0.290	99	111.94	1.49583				
Often	0.350	119	135.10	1.91865				
Very often	0.190	123	73.34	33.62579				
Total ==>	1.010	386	Chi square=	46.99770				

Q3. In past year, how often instructors discussed policies: Group work - disccoll

UG STUDENTS	Population				df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed	Expected	(O-E) ² /E				
Never	0.100	30	37.40	1.46417	4	9.49	13.28	18.47
Very seldom	0.190	60	71.06	1.72141				
Seldom	0.290	91	108.46	2.81073				
Often	0.310	113	115.94	0.07455				
Very often	0.110	80	41.14	36.70636				
Total ==>	1.000	374	Chi square=	42.77722				

Q3. In past year, how often instructors discussed policies: Attribution - discattr

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Never	0.040	12	15.36	0.73500				
Very seldom	0.120	35	46.08	2.66420				
Seldom	0.220	55	84.48	10.28729				
Often	0.370	112	142.08	6.36829				
Very often	0.260	170	99.84	49.30314				
Total ==>	1.010	384	Chi square=	69.35792	4	9.49	13.28	18.47

Q3. In past year, how often instructors discussed policies: Internet - discnet

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Never	0.070	21	26.74	1.23215				
Very seldom	0.160	43	61.12	5.37196				
Seldom	0.240	66	91.68	7.19309				
Often	0.320	120	122.24	0.04105				
Very often	0.210	132	80.22	33.42269				
Total ==>	1.000	382	Chi square=	47.26094	4	9.49	13.28	18.47

Q3. In past year, how often instructors discussed policies: False research-discre

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Never	0.250	80	85.25	0.32331				
Very seldom	0.200	65	68.20	0.15015				
Seldom	0.230	73	78.43	0.37594				
Often	0.210	66	71.61	0.43949				
Very often	0.110	57	37.51	10.12690				
Total ==>	1.000	341	Chi square=	11.41579	4	9.49	13.28	18.47

Q4. How frequently occur on campus: Plagiarism written assignments - plagoth

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Never	0.020	0	6.82	6.82000				
Very seldom	0.220	57	75.02	4.32845				
Seldom	0.450	154	153.45	0.00197				
Often	0.230	100	78.43	5.93223				
Very often	0.080	30	27.28	0.27120				
Total ==>	1.000	341	Chi square=	17.35386	4	9.49	13.28	18.47

Q4. How frequently occur on campus: Inappropriate share grp assignments-colloth

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Never	0.020	2	6.78	3.36997				
Very seldom	0.140	23	47.46	12.60623				
Seldom	0.340	112	115.26	0.09221				
Often	0.340	121	115.26	0.28585				
Very often	0.160	81	54.24	13.20239				
Total ==>	1.000	339	Chi square=	29.55665	4	9.49	13.28	18.47

Q4. How frequently occur on campus: Cheating during test - testoth

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Never	0.060	9	20.52	6.46737				
Very seldom	0.370	102	126.54	4.75906				
Seldom	0.350	143	119.70	4.53542				
Often	0.160	66	54.72	2.32526				

Very often	0.070	22	23.94	0.15721				
Total ==>	1.010	342	Chi square=	18.24432	4	9.49	13.28	18.47

Q5. How often seen another cheating during test - suspect

UG STUDENTS	Population	Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion							
Never	0.500	148	171.50	3.22012				
Once	0.130	43	44.59	0.05670				
A few times	0.240	110	82.32	9.30737				
Several times	0.080	26	27.44	0.07557				
Many times	0.050	16	17.15	0.07711				
Total ==>	1.000	343	Chi square=	12.73686	4	9.49	13.28	18.47

Specific Behaviors

Q1. How serious consider this form of cheating: Group work for indiv work - ser2

UG STUDENTS	Population	Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion							
Not cheating	0.230	48	72.45	8.25124				
Trivial cheating	0.440	143	138.60	0.13968				
Moderate cheating	0.260	97	81.90	2.78400				
Serious cheating	0.080	27	25.20	0.12857				
Total ==>	1.010	315	Chi square=	11.30350	3	7.82	11.35	16.27

Q1. How serious consider this form of cheating: Q/A from someone took test-ser5

UG STUDENTS	Population	Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion							
Not cheating	0.130	22	40.69	8.58481				
Trivial cheating	0.200	52	62.60	1.79489				
Moderate cheating	0.310	111	97.03	2.01135				
Serious cheating	0.360	128	112.68	2.08291				
Total ==>	1.000	313	Chi square=	14.47396	3	7.82	11.35	16.27

Q1. How serious consider this form of cheating: Copy computer program - ser6

UG STUDENTS	Population	Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion							
Not cheating	0.060	10	17.16	2.98751				
Trivial cheating	0.100	20	28.60	2.58601				
Moderate cheating	0.320	73	91.52	3.74771				
Serious cheating	0.520	183	148.72	7.90155				
Total ==>	1.000	286	Chi square=	17.22278	3	7.82	11.35	16.27

Q1. How serious consider this form of cheating: Helping someone cheat - ser7

UG STUDENTS	Population	Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion							
Not cheating	0.060	9	18.24	4.68079				
Trivial cheating	0.070	7	21.28	9.58263				
Moderate cheating	0.200	62	60.80	0.02368				
Serious cheating	0.670	226	203.68	2.44591				
Total ==>	1.000	304	Chi square=	16.73301	3	7.82	11.35	16.27

Q1. How serious consider this form of cheating: False lab data - ser8

UG STUDENTS	Population	Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion							
Not cheating	0.090	15	25.56	4.36282				
Trivial cheating	0.260	56	73.84	4.31021				
Moderate cheating	0.360	113	102.24	1.13241				

Serious cheating	0.300	100	85.20	2.57089				
Total ==>	1.010	284	Chi square=	12.37632	3	7.82	11.35	16.27

Q1. How serious consider this form of cheating: Help on assignment - ser3

UG STUDENTS	Population		Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed							
Not cheating	0.180	31	54.18	9.91717					
Trivial cheating	0.350	116	105.35	1.07663					
Moderate cheating	0.330	113	99.33	1.88129					
Serious cheating	0.140	41	42.14	0.03084					
Total ==>	1.000	301	Chi square=	12.90593	3	7.82	11.35	16.27	

Q1. How serious consider this form of cheating: Copy w/o footnote - ser12

UG STUDENTS	Population		Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed							
Not cheating	0.100	19	30.80	4.52078					
Trivial cheating	0.330	85	101.64	2.72422					
Moderate cheating	0.370	140	113.96	5.95017					
Serious cheating	0.200	64	61.60	0.09351					
Total ==>	1.000	308	Chi square=	13.28868	3	7.82	11.35	16.27	

Q1. How serious consider this form of cheating: Turning in paper mill - ser13

UG STUDENTS	Population		Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed							
Not cheating	0.050	7	15.00	4.26667					
Trivial cheating	0.040	8	12.00	1.33333					
Moderate cheating	0.180	34	54.00	7.40741					
Serious cheating	0.730	251	219.00	4.67580					
Total ==>	1.000	300	Chi square=	17.68321	3	7.82	11.35	16.27	

Q1. How serious consider this form of cheating: Copying material as own - ser16

UG STUDENTS	Population		Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed							
Not cheating	0.050	8	15.00	3.26667					
Trivial cheating	0.040	5	12.00	4.08333					
Moderate cheating	0.150	26	45.00	8.02222					
Serious cheating	0.760	261	228.00	4.77632					
Total ==>	1.000	300	Chi square=	20.14854	3	7.82	11.35	16.27	

Q2. How likely student would report violations - L2

UG STUDENTS	Population		Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed							
Very unlikely	0.250	96	85.50	1.28947					
Unlikely	0.570	207	194.94	0.74609					
Likely	0.170	36	58.14	8.43102					
Very likely	0.020	3	6.84	2.15579					
Total ==>	1.010	342	Chi square=	12.62238	3	7.82	11.35	16.27	

Q3. How strongly agree: Cheating serious problem - agree3

UG STUDENTS	Population		Observed	Expected	(O-E)^2/E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed							
Disagree strongly	0.090	18	31.05	5.48478					
	0.320	83	110.40	6.80036					
	0.440	199	151.80	14.67615					
	0.120	34	41.40	1.32271					
Agree strongly	0.040	11	13.80	0.56812					
Total ==>	1.010	345	Chi square=	28.85212	4	9.49	13.28	18.47	

Q3. How strongly agree: Review process for acad dishonest is fair - agree4

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Disagree strongly	0.030	8	10.35	0.53357	4	9.49	13.28	18.47
	0.080	16	27.60	4.87536				
	0.590	196	203.55	0.28004				
	0.250	114	86.25	8.92826				
Agree strongly	0.040	11	13.80	0.56812				
Total ==>	0.990	345	Chi square=	15.18536				

Q3. How strongly agree: Faculty change exams regularly - assess1

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Disagree strongly	0.040	21	13.68	3.91684	4	9.49	13.28	18.47
	0.140	58	47.88	2.13898				
	0.260	57	88.92	11.45846				
	0.430	157	147.06	0.67186				
Agree strongly	0.140	49	47.88	0.02620				
Total ==>	1.010	342	Chi square=	18.21234				

Q3. How strongly agree: Amount of work is reasonable - access2

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Disagree strongly	0.040	5	13.76	5.57686	4	9.49	13.28	18.47
	0.140	30	48.16	6.84771				
	0.100	16	34.40	9.84186				
	0.600	217	206.40	0.54438				
Agree strongly	0.130	76	44.72	21.87921				
Total ==>	1.010	344	Chi square=	44.69002				

Q3. How strongly agree: Difficulty of exams is appropriate - assess3

UG STUDENTS	Population		Expected	(O-E) ² /E	df	0.05	0.01	0.001
	Proportion	Observed						
Disagree strongly	0.040	5	13.56	5.40366	4	9.49	13.28	18.47
	0.130	41	44.07	0.21386				
	0.100	19	33.90	6.54897				
	0.610	203	206.79	0.06946				
Agree strongly	0.120	71	40.68	22.59839				
Total ==>	1.000	339	Chi square=	34.83434				