Faculty and Student Policies Committee Minutes

Faculty and Student Policies Committee
Meeting from October 10, 2013
2:30 p.m., Kendall 209

Present:  Gray, Mace, McCabe (Chair), Meadows, Mills, Postma, Rehg, Rowberg, Seipel, Sistrunk, Smith (Traver), Traver, Kotar, Shkoda, Pereda, Blakeslee (Shepherd), Aird, Lee, Karen von Bargen (guest)
Absent:  Acosta, Kirchhoff, Root

1. Approve minutes of September 19, 2013 meeting
   Approved by affirmation.

2. Approve today’s agenda
   Approved by affirmation.

3. Announcements
   a. Secretary for today’s meeting is Tim Sistrunk
   b. McCabe asked for volunteers to serve as Secretary at future FASP meetings on dates that as yet have no volunteers

4. Status Reports
   a. Lashzone. (McCabe) Recap: Do campus policies adequately address the issue of a student selling his or her own work to a (non-student) third party. Directions from last meeting: contact Academic Integrity Committee to see what they will say about how current policy addresses this issue. Report: Question conveyed to Academic Integrity Committee, no response yet.
   b. Title IX (Sexual Harassment & Assault). (McCabe) Recap: Our campus Sexual Assault Policy (EM 91-001) is out of compliance with Title IX and the Chancellor’s Office directives. Directions from last meeting: McCabe and Meadows should participate in campus efforts to rectify this issue. Report: EM 91-001 was taken off line and a stopgap list of resources to deal with sexual assault has been provided in its place. It was noted that this procedure does not rescind the flawed EM. Lee noted that the campus Title IX Coordinator is charged with enforcing compliance with Title IX and the local policy. The Chancellor’s Office has issued a system-wide directive (EO 1072) that governs CSU Chico.
Resolved: FASP recommends that a committee be formed to consider the relationship of EO 1072 to local circumstances and the question whether CSU Chico needs a campus specific policy. Patrick Mace and Deborah McCabe will serve on this committee.

c. IRA Policy. (Mills) Recap: We have no official “policy” regarding alcohol consumption during “Instructionally Related Activities”, yet we use an authorization form that purports to express one. Directions from last meeting: Mills will follow-up with Wei and Rethans regarding the continued use of the IRA Code of Student Conduct form and possible modifications to the Campus Alcohol Policy.
Report: Mills has no news.

d. 2013-14 FPPP changes. (Rehg) Recap: Changes were made to the FPPP in the summer after the Senate passed its policies last spring. Directions from last meeting: FASP recommended that Faculty Affairs implement a new protocol for FPPP changes recommended by Administrators, such that EC be provided with the list of all changes for its review prior to release of the new FPPP at the beginning of each academic year. Report: Rehg presented a list of discrepancies between FPPP items passed at Senate last spring and the 2013-14 FPPP. Lee and von Bargen explained the procedures that were followed in Faculty Affairs to alert Administrators to changes in the FPPP and to note their comments. Resolved: FASP will ask the President’s office why errors were made in recording what Senate had passed.

e. FPPP overhaul. (Mills) Recap: The portions of the FPPP addressing temporary faculty need comprehensive revision. But so does the entire FPPP. Directions from last meeting: Mills will investigate the possibility of consulting with Siegall, with advance buy in from Lee and Wei, to undertake a comprehensive reworking of the FPPP. This reworking could be primarily editorial but could also include selected substantive changes, like this one. Report: Mills will ask Wei for permission to hire a consultant for this task.

5. Discussion Items

a. FPPP 8.1.b on SET Policy. Recap: Our current SET policy requiring review of “all” classes is based on an emergency stop-gap measure put in place last spring by the Executive Committee, without full Senate review and approval. It became the default to which we reverted after the President rejected Senate’s proposal to keep our former practice of reviewing two classes. Issue: Should FASP revisit the SET policy, and if so, how? Directions from last meeting: FASP recommended that EC continue to work with the President to see if there might be a future agreement on the policy that Senate passed. FASP will also pursue a future joint meeting with the SET committee to discuss this issue, the standard university instrument, and confidentiality, especially as it relates to electronic SETs. Mills reports that the President has approved the policy passed by Senate last spring, but he is waiting for more comment from Deans and Chairs before implementing it.

b. Speech and Advocacy Policy. (McCabe) Recap: The Chancellor’s Office rejected CSU Chico’s Speech and Advocacy Policy. A request for more details to explain this rejection has been made to the President’s office (Karla Zimmerlee), but no new information had been provided by the time of this meeting. Directions from last
meeting: FASP needs to know the reasons the Chancellor’s Office rejected this policy. This is still unclear. Resolved: Mike Kotar will investigate why the Chancellor’s office rejected our policy and ways we can write a policy that adheres to their requirements.

(Noted last meeting: East Bay has a very good policy addressing particularly time, place, and manner of restrictions. FASP should develop a subcommittee to research existing policies at other campuses and develop a draft policy that conforms to law.)

6. Other

a. Sistrunk asked what is happening to the campus Intellectual Property Policy. Mills reports that it will be discussed by E.C.

Adjourned 4:15